COMMISSION TO INQUIRE INTO CHILD ABUSE PUBLIC HEARING

HELD AT HERBERT PARK HOTEL BALLSBRIDGE, DUBLIN 4

ON TUESDAY, 6TH JUNE 2006 - DAY 224

EVIDENCE OF FR. MICHAEL HUGHES

BEFORE:

MR. JUSTICE SEÁN RYAN

CHAIRPERSON OF THE INQUIRY

and

MS. MARIAN SHANLEY

MR. FRED LOWE

224

I hereby certify the following to be a true and accurate transcript of my shorthand notes of the evidence in the above-named action.

MEMBERS OF THE COMMISSION PRESENT:

REGISTRAR TO INVESTIGATION COMMITTEE: MR. B. REEDY

MS. K. FERGUS SC MR. P. WARD BL COUNSEL FOR THE COMMISSION:

Instructed by: MS. E. McHUGH

FOR THE OBLATES OF MARY IMMACULATE:

MR. C. MAGUIRE SC

Instructed by:

MR. M. DOWLING BL

Instructed by: LAVELLE COLEMAN

MR. T. O'LEARY SC

Instructed by:

COPYRIGHT: Transcripts are the work of Gwen Malone Stenography Services and they must not be photocopied or reproduced in any manner or supplied or loaned by an appellant to a respondent or to by other party without written permission of Gwen Malone Stenography Services.

<u>I NDEX</u>

<u>WI TNESS</u>	EXAMI NATI ON	QUESTION NO.
FR. HUGHES		
EXAMINED -	MR. O'LEARY	1 - 307
QUESTI ONED	- THE COMMISSION	308 - 333
EXAMINED -	MR. MAGUIRE	334 - 347
FURTHER QUE	STI ONED	
_	THE COMMISSION	348 - 371

1	THE HEARING COMMENCED AS FOL	LOWS ON TUESDAY, 6TH JUNE
2	<u>2006:</u>	
3		
4	THE CHAIRPERSON:	Good morning.
5	MS. FERGUS:	Good morning, Chairman,
6		this is the final
7	institution in our Phase((INTERJECTION).
8	THE CHAIRPERSON:	Just give the cameras a
9		chance. Very good.
10	MS. FERGUS:	Good morning, Chairman, as
11		I was saying this is the
12	final institution in our Pha	ase III public hearing.
13	Today's public hearing is in	n relation to St. Conleth's
14	Reformatory School in Dainge	ean run by the Oblates of
15	Mary Immaculate in Ireland.	Fr. Michael Hughes, the
16	Provincial (sic) Archivist t	the Oblates, will be giving
17	evidence today, as he did in	n Phase I of our hearings on
18	9th May 2005.	
19		
20	The Commission has heard evi	dence at Phase II from 28
21	complainants, two institution	onal responses and two
22	individual respondents at th	ne private hearings. I am
23	going to as the usual, \ensuremath{I}	am going to hand over to
24	Mr. 0' Leary.	
25	MR. O' LEARY:	Indeed. I think
26		Mr. Maguire wants to say
27	something before we start.	
28	THE CHAIRPERSON:	Before we do that we will
29		have Fr. Hughes sworn.

1		
2	FR. MI CHAEL HUGHES, HAVING E	BEEN SWORN, WAS EXAMINED, AS
3	FOLLOWS, BY MR. O'LEARY:	
4		
5	THE CHAIRPERSON:	Please sit down,
6		Fr. Hughes. Yes,
7	Mr. Magui re.	
8	MR. MAGUIRE:	Chairman, just two matters
9		and I don't think there
10	will be a problem about them	n, but they both relate TO
11	documentation. In respect of	of documents which were
12	furnished to us by the Commi	ssion on 31st May, which
13	are documents to be relied u	upon, which may be relied
14	upon by counsel, in that the	ere are documents which we
15	can't seem to locate elsewhe	ere so they are new to us.
16	It is a Department of Educat	ion file 285. Now, it
17	doesn't cause us a problem t	to deal with them as far as
18	we are aware, but I thought	I would just mention that.
19		
20	I suppose it is more pertine	ent because, as you know,
21	present witness, he is not t	the Provincial, he's the
22	Archivist in the Order and h	ne operates from the
23	documents that obviously we	all have been operating
24	from in relation to dealing	with matters.
25		
26	Also, Chairman, we have rece	eived two lever arch folders
27	on Friday at 5:00 p.m. I thi	nk in relation to the
28	documents which are apparent	ly are now being relied
29	upon.	

1	THE CHAIRPERSON:	Which Mr. O'Leary is going
2		to rely on.
3	MR. O' LEARY:	Yes, that's right. It is
4		something, Chairman, that
5	we can deal with during the	course of the hearing, if
6	it arises. There is nothing	g new in the documents, I
7	believe, I think Mr. Maguir	e accepts that. They are
8	simply for ease of reference	e from time to time but all
9	the documents will be in the	e possession, I think, in
10	any event of Fr. Hughes.	
11	THE CHAIRPERSON:	Yes. The way we have
12		approached this,
13	Mr. Maguire, is that the mo	re time a witness has had
14	the documents the more we ca	an expect him or her to be
15	familiar with them and vice	versa. Obviously, somebody
16	may well say "yes, no probl	em because I remember this
17	or I am familiar with that"	, or "I know the general
18	area so this doesn't surpri	se me." Sometimes, not
19	always. But on other occas	ions, if that happens
20	Fr. Hughes, if it happens t	hat you are not in a
21	position, let us know and w	e will see what we are we
22	are not here to sort of set	traps for people. We want
23	to get the information that	we have. So if you have a
24	problem with it tell us, we	'II do something about it to
25	meet that situation.	
26	MR. O' LEARY:	Absolutely, Chairman.
27	THE CHAIRPERSON:	That's the way to do that.
28	MR. O' LEARY:	Indeed. If it arises it
29		arises, but I will

THE CHAIRPERSON: No, carry on as you would normally and we will deal with any issues that arises. MR. MAGUIRE: I accept that what Mr. O'Leary is true, that we have had the documentation in question, I am just pointing out(INTERJECTION). THE CHAIRPERSON: No, I understand, Mr. Maguire, and even if someone says, "look, I know I should be familiar with this but I just don't happen to be", we will deal with that situation. MR. O'LEARY: I am obliged, Chairman. (To the witness) Just briefly. Fr. Hughes, I think you, in fact, gave the opening statement and prepared a statement for the purposes of this Commission; isn't that right? A. Yes. I think in that such will establish your qualifications, you effectively are a professional archivist; is that correct? A. I wouldn't call myself professional, self-taught. Self-taught archivist. But you were given the job by the Provincial? A. Yes, that's right. To prepare a statement based on your self-taught skills as an archivist; is that right?	1			certainly try to avoid any	. (IN	TERJECTION).
with any issues that arises. MR. MAGUIRE: I accept that what Mr. O'Leary is true, that we have had the documentation in question, I am just pointing out(INTERJECTION). THE CHAIRPERSON: No, I understand, Mr. Maguire, and even if someone says, "look, I know I should be familiar with this but I just don't happen to be", we will deal with that situation. MR. O'LEARY: I am obliged, Chairman. (To the witness) Just briefly. Fr. Hughes, I think you, in fact, gave the opening statement and prepared a statement for the purposes of this Commission; isn't that right? A. Yes. 2 Q. I think in that such will establish your qualifications, you effectively are a professional archivist; is that correct? A. I wouldn't call myself professional, self-taught. Self-taught archivist. But you were given the job by the Provincial? A. Yes, that's right.	2			THE CHAIRPERSON:	No,	carry on as you would
MR. MAGUIRE: I accept that what Mr. O'Leary is true, that we have had the documentation in question, I am just pointing out(INTERJECTION). THE CHAIRPERSON: No, I understand, Mr. Maguire, and even if someone says, "look, I know I should be familiar with this but I just don't happen to be", we will deal with that situation. MR. O'LEARY: I am obliged, Chairman. (To the witness) Just briefly. Fr. Hughes, I think you, in fact, gave the opening statement and prepared a statement for the purposes of this Commission; isn't that right? A. Yes. I wouldn't call myself professional, self-taught. Self-taught archivist. But you were given the job by the Provincial? A. Yes, that's right.	3				norm	nally and we will deal
Mr. O'Leary is true, that we have had the documentation in question, I am just pointing out(INTERJECTION). THE CHAIRPERSON: No, I understand, Mr. Maguire, and even if someone says, "look, I know I should be familiar with this but I just don't happen to be", we will deal with that situation. 10 MR. O'LEARY: I am obliged, Chairman. 11 (To the witness) Just briefly. Fr. Hughes, I think you, in fact, gave the opening statement and prepared a statement for the purposes of this Commission; isn't that right? A. Yes. 20 I think in that such will establish your qualifications, you effectively are a professional archivist; is that correct? A. I wouldn't call myself professional, self-taught. 23 G. Self-taught archivist. But you were given the job by the Provincial? A. Yes, that's right. 24 Q. To prepare a statement based on your self-taught skills	4			with any issues that arises.		
we have had the documentation in question, I am just pointing out(INTERJECTION). THE CHAIRPERSON: No, I understand, Mr. Maguire, and even if someone says, "look, I know I should be familiar with this but I just don't happen to be", we will deal with that situation. MR. O'LEARY: I am obliged, Chairman. (To the witness) Just briefly. Fr. Hughes, I think you, in fact, gave the opening statement and prepared a statement for the purposes of this Commission; isn't that right? A. Yes. I think in that such will establish your qualifications, you effectively are a professional archivist; is that correct? A. I wouldn't call myself professional, self-taught. Self-taught archivist. But you were given the job by the Provincial? A. Yes, that's right.	5			MR. MAGUIRE:	I ac	ccept that what
pointing out(INTERJECTION). THE CHAIRPERSON: No, I understand, Mr. Maguire, and even if someone says, "look, I know I should be familiar with this but I just don't happen to be", we will deal with that situation. MR. O'LEARY: I am obliged, Chairman. (To the witness) Just briefly. Fr. Hughes, I think you, in fact, gave the opening statement and prepared a statement for the purposes of this Commission; isn't that right? A. Yes. I think in that such will establish your qualifications, you effectively are a professional archivist; is that correct? A. I wouldn't call myself professional, self-taught. Self-taught archivist. But you were given the job by the Provincial? A. Yes, that's right.	6				Mr.	O'Leary is true, that
THE CHAIRPERSON: No, I understand, Mr. Maguire, and even if someone says, "look, I know I should be familiar with this but I just don't happen to be", we will deal with that situation. 14 1 0. MR. O'LEARY: I am obliged, Chairman. (To the witness) Just briefly. Fr. Hughes, I think you, in fact, gave the opening statement and prepared a statement for the purposes of this Commission; isn't that right? A. Yes. 1 2 0. I think in that such will establish your qualifications, you effectively are a professional archivist; is that correct? A. I wouldn't call myself professional, self-taught. Self-taught archivist. But you were given the job by the Provincial? A. Yes, that's right. 4 0. To prepare a statement based on your self-taught skills	7			we have had the documentation	on in	question, I am just
Mr. Maguire, and even if someone says, "look, I know I should be familiar with this but I just don't happen to be", we will deal with that situation. 14 1 0. MR. O'LEARY: I am obliged, Chairman. 15 (To the witness) Just briefly. Fr. Hughes, I think you, in fact, gave the opening statement and prepared a statement for the purposes of this Commission; isn't that right? A. Yes. 2 0. I think in that such will establish your qualifications, you effectively are a professional archivist; is that correct? A. I wouldn't call myself professional, self-taught. 3 0. Self-taught archivist. But you were given the job by the Provincial? A. Yes, that's right. 4 0. To prepare a statement based on your self-taught skills	8			pointing out(INTERJECTION	١) .	
someone says, "look, I know I should be familiar with this but I just don't happen to be", we will deal with that situation. 14	9			THE CHAIRPERSON:	No,	I understand,
this but I just don't happen to be", we will deal with that situation. 14 1 0. MR. O'LEARY: I am obliged, Chairman. 15 16 (To the witness) Just briefly. Fr. Hughes, I think 17 you, in fact, gave the opening statement and prepared a 18 statement for the purposes of this Commission; isn't 19 that right? 20 A. Yes. 21 2 0. I think in that such will establish your 22 qualifications, you effectively are a professional 23 archivist; is that correct? 24 A. I wouldn't call myself professional, self-taught. 25 3 0. Self-taught archivist. But you were given the job by 26 the Provincial? 27 A. Yes, that's right. 28 4 0. To prepare a statement based on your self-taught skills	10				Mr.	Maguire, and even if
that situation. 14	11			someone says, "look, l know	I sh	ould be familiar with
14 1 0. MR. O'LEARY: I am obliged, Chairman. 15 16 (To the witness) Just briefly. Fr. Hughes, I think 17 you, in fact, gave the opening statement and prepared a 18 statement for the purposes of this Commission; isn't 19 that right? 20 A. Yes. 21 2 0. I think in that such will establish your 22 qualifications, you effectively are a professional 23 archivist; is that correct? 24 A. I wouldn't call myself professional, self-taught. 25 3 0. Self-taught archivist. But you were given the job by 26 the Provincial? 27 A. Yes, that's right. 28 4 0. To prepare a statement based on your self-taught skills	12			this but I just don't happer	n to	be", we will deal with
(To the witness) Just briefly. Fr. Hughes, I think you, in fact, gave the opening statement and prepared a statement for the purposes of this Commission; isn't that right? A. Yes. 2 Q. I think in that such will establish your qualifications, you effectively are a professional archivist; is that correct? A. I wouldn't call myself professional, self-taught. 3 Q. Self-taught archivist. But you were given the job by the Provincial? A. Yes, that's right. 4 Q. To prepare a statement based on your self-taught skills	13			that situation.		
(To the witness) Just briefly. Fr. Hughes, I think you, in fact, gave the opening statement and prepared a statement for the purposes of this Commission; isn't that right? A. Yes. 2 Q. I think in that such will establish your qualifications, you effectively are a professional archivist; is that correct? A. I wouldn't call myself professional, self-taught. 3 Q. Self-taught archivist. But you were given the job by the Provincial? A. Yes, that's right. 4 Q. To prepare a statement based on your self-taught skills	14	1	Q.	MR. O' LEARY:	I am	n obliged, Chairman.
you, in fact, gave the opening statement and prepared a statement for the purposes of this Commission; isn't that right? A. Yes. 20 A. Yes. 21 2 Q. I think in that such will establish your qualifications, you effectively are a professional archivist; is that correct? A. I wouldn't call myself professional, self-taught. 25 3 Q. Self-taught archivist. But you were given the job by the Provincial? A. Yes, that's right. 28 4 Q. To prepare a statement based on your self-taught skills	15					
statement for the purposes of this Commission; isn't that right? A. Yes. 1 2 0. I think in that such will establish your qualifications, you effectively are a professional archivist; is that correct? A. I wouldn't call myself professional, self-taught. Self-taught archivist. But you were given the job by the Provincial? A. Yes, that's right. Yes, that's right.	16			(To the witness) Just brief	⁼l y.	Fr. Hughes, I think
that right? A. Yes. 1 2 0. I think in that such will establish your qualifications, you effectively are a professional archivist; is that correct? A. I wouldn't call myself professional, self-taught. 3 0. Self-taught archivist. But you were given the job by the Provincial? A. Yes, that's right. 4 0. To prepare a statement based on your self-taught skills	17			you, in fact, gave the openi	ng s	tatement and prepared a
A. Yes. 2	18			statement for the purposes of	of th	is Commission; isn't
2 Q. I think in that such will establish your qualifications, you effectively are a professional archivist; is that correct? A. I wouldn't call myself professional, self-taught. 3 Q. Self-taught archivist. But you were given the job by the Provincial? A. Yes, that's right. 4 Q. To prepare a statement based on your self-taught skills	19			that right?		
qualifications, you effectively are a professional archivist; is that correct? A. I wouldn't call myself professional, self-taught. 3 Q. Self-taught archivist. But you were given the job by the Provincial? A. Yes, that's right. 4 Q. To prepare a statement based on your self-taught skills	20		A.	Yes.		
archivist; is that correct? A. I wouldn't call myself professional, self-taught. Self-taught archivist. But you were given the job by the Provincial? A. Yes, that's right. To prepare a statement based on your self-taught skills	21	2	Q.	I think in that such will es	stabl	ish your
A. I wouldn't call myself professional, self-taught. Self-taught archivist. But you were given the job by the Provincial? A. Yes, that's right. 4 Q. To prepare a statement based on your self-taught skills	22			qualifications, you effective	/el y	are a professional
25 3 Q. Self-taught archivist. But you were given the job by 26 the Provincial? 27 A. Yes, that's right. 28 4 Q. To prepare a statement based on your self-taught skills	23			archivist; is that correct?		
the Provincial? A. Yes, that's right. To prepare a statement based on your self-taught skills	24		A.	I wouldn't call myself profe	essi o	nal, self-taught.
27 A. Yes, that's right. 28 4 Q. To prepare a statement based on your self-taught skills	25	3	Q.	Self-taught archivist. But	you	were given the job by
28 4 Q. To prepare a statement based on your self-taught skills	26			the Provincial?		
	27		A.	Yes, that's right.		
as an archivist; is that right?	28	4	Q.	To prepare a statement based	d on	your self-taught skills
	29			as an archivist; is that rig	ght?	

1		A.	That's right, yes.
2	5	Q.	And that formed the basis of your statement and indeed
3			your evidence in what we call Phase I of the Inquiry;
4			is that right?
5		A.	That's right, yes.
6	6	Q.	And in terms of the documents that you analysed, were
7			there personnel files in being, or did you interview
8			the various Brothers, I am not going to mention their
9			names, but how did you come to the, shall we say, facts
10			that are stated in your statement?
11		A.	Do you mean with regard to personnel?
12	7	Q.	Yes.
13		A.	There are personnel file, of course, which have been
14			the subject of discovery and given to the Commission.
15			And with regard to interviewing former staff members,
16			many of whom of course are dead now, we had the we
17			did have a plan, as I explained I think in the first
18			hearing, that we thought when the thing first started
19			that we should conduct a little inquiry to see what we

22 8 Q. Yes.

20

21

A. And this Inquiry, so we had to drop that of course. So the only interviews I did, and they were quite a number of them, who were in connection with summons -- plenary summons and the complaints that came to the Commission and things like that.

Garda inquiries and court proceedings.

could find out. But this was immediately superseded by

9 Q. I know, I understand that. I know you didn't conduct your own inquiry, I am not asking that, but you did

interview the Brothers who were still alive or able to

1

2 help you in relation to the matter; is that right? 3 Α. That's right, that's right. 4 10 Q. How many of those were there? How Brothers did you 5 interview who actually worked in Daingean? There would have been about a dozen, I think. 6 A. Some of 7 them have died since, I should say. 8 11 And I think did two give evidence in the Phase II Q. 9 Inquiry, I am not going to go into their names 10 obvi ousl y? 11 Α. In Phase II, yes, two of them did. Of the 11? 12 12 Q. 13 Yes. Α. 14 You yourself then, I presume, as part of the job you 13 0. 15 were given by your Provincial, you attended the Phase 16 II part of this Inquiry; is that right? 17 I did, yes, I did. Α. For the, as Ms. Fergus says, 28 complainants and indeed 18 0. 14 19 the two Brothers, you were there; is that right? 20 A. I was there, yes. 21 I presume you heard what was said? 15 Q. 22 Α. I did. And I want to ask you in that period of time, let's 23 16 Q. 24 call it over the last year or so, did you go back to 25 the various Brothers that you had spoken to for the 26 purpose of making your original statement, when you had 27 heard what had been said at the private part of the 28 hearings at Phase II? 29 A. No.

Do you understand the point I am making, you know, you 1 17 Q. 2 would have heard various allegations and I will go into 3 them, not in terms of specific ones but in general terms, let's say of sexual abuse, you were aware of 4 5 some allegations being made of sexual abuse; isn't that 6 ri aht? 7 Α. Yes. 8 0. Is that correct? 18 9 That's correct, yes. Α. 10 19 Q. You heard that, you were here, it was not actually 11 physically here, but you were in a room when those 12 allegations were made; isn't that right? 13 That's right, yes. Α. 14 Did you, on foot of hearing that information, 20 0. I see. 15 including, it appears to be, allegations of rape, 16 allegations of forced oral sex, allegations of 17 voyeurism, where one Brother measured the growth of the 18 penis of one of the pupils? 19 Α. I never heard that. 20 Q. 21 You never heard that? Did you hear about the 21 allegations of rape? 22 A. I think so yes. You think so? 23 22 Q. 24 Yeah, I did. Α. 25 23 Q. So we are on common ground here. You did hear that; 26 didn't you? 27 Α. 0h yeah. 28 24 0. You would have heard allegations of sexual assault,

29

including oral sexual assault, did you hear that?

1		Α.	Yes.
2	25	Q.	You did, okay. You would have heard that in certain
3			instances this would have happened on a number of
4			occasions, isn't that right, you heard that, that was
5			the allegation?
6		A.	Yes, yes.
7	26	Q.	Is that right?
8		A.	Yes.
9	27	Q.	I see. What I am suggesting to you now, is that when
10			you heard all of this did you go and continue on your
11			inquiries on foot of what you yourself had heard over a
12			long number of days of hearings?
13		A.	Well, as I understood it, there was absolute
14			confidentiality surrounding those hearings and I really
15			don't see how I could have gone to question people on
16			the basis of what I heard in those hearings, frankly.
17	28	Q.	You mean you wouldn't even have asked them generally
18			about whether or not they would have known that these
19			types of things were going on?
20		A.	I had already asked them those questions before.
21	29	Q.	And you felt that nothing you had heard during the
22			inquiry(INTERJECTION)?
23		A.	No, no.
24	30	Q.	Nothing at all?
25		A.	No, no.
26	31	Q.	Were you shocked by what you heard during the Phase II
27			of this Inquiry?
28		A.	You must realise that we had the written complaints

29

previously, so you couldn't say I was shocked in the

1 sense that I hadn't heard of them before. And 2 I... (INTERJECTION) 3 32 Q. I understand. But there is a difference, isn't there? I don't want to cut you off at all, I don't mean to, 4 5 but I think we are going towards the same point. may agree or disagree with me, there is a difference 6 7 between hearing someone, do you understand, describing 8 something like a rape? 9 That's right. Α. 10 33 Q. Involving somebody much older than them and they of a 11 particular age. Did that have an effect on you? 12 Α. An emotional effect, do you mean? 13 34 Yes. 0. 14 Α. I am not a very emotional person. 15 35 0. You are not an emotional person? 16 Α. No. Naturally I am very disturbed if such things 17 should be true. 18 0. Yes? 36 19 Α. Let me see now. What you are really asking me, I think, is what my judgment on those hearings was, is 20 21 that what you are asking me really? 22 37 Q. I am not, in fact. I am saying when you heard these people giving evidence what effect did it have on you? 23 24 You say you are not emotional, it had no effect on you; 25 is that right? 26 Well, naturally it was very unpleasant, yes. Α. Very unpleasant? 27 Q. 38 Yes. 28 Α. 29 39 Q. Did some of the images remain with you, what had been

1 sai d? 2 Α. No. 3 40 Q. They didn't? 4 No. I haven't allowed it to affect me psychologically, Α. 5 if that's what you mean. You hadn't? 6 41 0. 7 Α. No. 8 42 0. And that's something that you intentionally did, you 9 set out to do? 10 I think one has to, I mean how can one carry on if Α. 11 one's going to break down. Would some of the testimony, if you hadn't, as it 12 43 Q. Yes. 13 were, hardened yourself, might it have caused you to 14 break down? I don't know. 15 Α. 16 44 0. You don't know? 17 Α. No. But you remember what was said, don't you? Do you 18 45 0. 19 remember what was said or have you screened it out now? 20 Well I remember in a general way, yes. A. 21 In a general way? 46 Q. 22 A. Yes. 23 Well, I mean, be clear, I am not going to mention any 47 Q. 24 people's names, do you understand? 25 Α. Yes. 26 But just in specific example, do you remember the 48 Q. 27 concept of what was called "the gobbler's cup", that 28 the boys within -- do you understand what I mean?

29

A.

I do.

1 49 Q. That the boys within Daingean itself would mark out the 2 person who was being subject to abuse, according to 3 themselves, and that they would actually mark the cup of the boy who was being abused because nobody wanted 4 5 to drink out of "the gobbler's cup", do you remember that? 6 7 Yes. Α. 8 50 0. For me, do you understand, and I know it is difficult 9 for you, but that has a kind of searing resonance, do 10 you understand what I mean by that, that expression, "the gobbler's cup", you would agree with that? 11 12 Α. Yes. 13 51 Q. For instance, it is unlikely that anyone would make up 14 such a term; is that right? 15 Α. I think so. 16 52 0. And, therefore, it is likely that that is true; 17 isn't that right? 18 Yes, yes. Α. 19 53 Q. And therefore if that is true, it was likely that there was some form of sexual abuse taking place in Daingean; 20 21 isn't that right? 22 A. Yes. 23 54 Q. Yes. In relation to your study of Daingean, I presume 24 just on a personal basis you had no knowledge of what 25 went on or you were never appointed or attached to

26

27

28

29

Α.

short periods.

Daingean at any stage yourself; isn't that right?

As I explained in the first hearing, I was there for --

as a student, doing supervision during two summers for

- Short periods of time?
 A. Yes. That would be on the square, as they called it, or playground.
- Yes. You would have also heard then from people giving evidence, sworn evidence before the Phase II Inquiry, of what they would regard as the regime of physical abuse that took place, that was taking place at the time? You heard that, didn't you?
- 9 A. I did.
- 10 57 Q. So again, so we are in agreement, as it were, and I
 11 don't think at this stage we need to refer to a
 12 document but certainly if you wish to, please do so.
- 13 A. No, you go ahead.
- 14 But in general terms use would have heard of not just 58 0. 15 an organised system of discipline, do you understand, 16 not just the organised one where there was a dean of 17 discipline, and there was a -- well, we will get on to 18 the Punishment Book and whether or not that was there 19 or not, all of that organised side but there was also 20 what appeared to be a random form of physical violence 21 meted out to the students, what I mean by that is 22 punches being delivered, straps being used in the yard, individual Brothers taking responsibility to mete out 23 24 physical punishment. Did you hear that?
- A. I did, yes. But whether I accept it or not is another question.
- 27 59 Q. That's fine. But you heard those, we'll call them, 28 allegations for the purpose of our discussion?
- 29 A. Yes.

- 1 60 Q. So you know what I am talking about; isn't that right?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 61 Q. Why do you say you don't accept it?
- 4 A. Because I think some evidence about physical abuse was
- 5 certainly credible.
- 6 62 Q. Was credible?
- 7 A. Some of it was, yes.
- 8 63 Q. Which one, or which parts?
- 9 A. I would have to go into each particular case and I
- 10 can't do that.
- 11 64 Q. All right, that's fair enough.
- 12 A. But some, I think, was exaggerated.
- 13 65 Q. Well, do you accept, for instance, that individual
- 14 Brothers kept straps with them?
- 15 A. Very few of them did actually, according to the
- information I was given by the surviving members.
- 17 66 Q. Well I am not going to name him, but you know that one
- of the Brothers who gave evidence, and it is only one
- of two so you should remember generally what he said
- 20 now?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 67 Q. He said that he carried a strap with him personally for
- 23 21 years?
- 24 A. Well...
- 25 68 Q. Just let's go through it here. For 21 years, and he
- only stopped carrying that strap when a boy robbed it
- off him, do you remember that evidence?
- 28 A. I think I do, yes.
- 29 69 Q. So he was carrying the strap around for 21 years and he

1			said that he administered the punishment when a problem
2			arose?
3		A.	Yes.
4	70	Q.	So it wasn't a controlled situation, would you accept
5			that?
6		A.	I would not, no.
7	71	Q.	Why?
8		A.	Because it was recognised that while there was, as you
9			say, the kind of more serious corporal punishment that
10			was administered only by the prefect, that it was
11			permissible and it was permissible I think by rules
12			recognised by the Department of Education, that lesser
13			punishments could be administered by staff members.
14	72	Q.	Maybe I didn't make my question clear. I wasn't
15			suggesting that whether or not it was acceptable or
16			not, we will get on to that, but do you accept that
17			that happened, that Brothers went around with leather
18			straps themselves administering punishment?
19		A.	Oh yes.
20	73	Q.	Do you think that was a controlled situation?
21		A.	Controlled? Would you say what you mean by that?
22	74	Q.	Well, you had Brothers who were there, they may have
23			been looking after different parts of the activity, the
24			farm, whether it be on the turf batch or the bakery, or
25			even in control of the yard itself. Do you understand,
26			different Brothers doing different things?
27		A.	Yes.
28	75	Q.	And they had a leather strap with them; isn't that
29			ri ght?

1		Α.	Yes.
2	76	Q.	And they, it appears to me, felt free to administer
3			punishment when and if they felt it arose, isn't that
4			the situation?
5		A.	They did have that permission.
6	77	Q.	Yes. Wouldn't that, just using your knowledge and
7			common sense of life, couldn't that lead to physical
8			abuse?
9		A.	It could, yes, yes.
10	78	Q.	Do you think it did?
11		A.	I am sure it did sometimes, yes.
12	79	Q.	Yes, thank you. In relation to the boys themselves,
13			there appears to be evidence from Phase II, but again
14			am being general in relation to comments I make to you
15			and you may agree with me that there was a hierarchy
16			within the boys themselves, do you understand, that is
17			there were leaders and there were people who, shall we
18			say, weren't leaders?
19		A.	That's always true.
20	80	Q.	That's always true. I think there was evidence during
21			the course of the Phase II hearings of this concept of
22			fishes, you understand the word I use, I think it was
23			mentioned, that the people at the bottom of the pile,
24			think, were fish and one worked one's way up; is that
25			right? You heard that anyway?
26		A.	Yes, well I think that wasn't so much the leadership
27			issue, that was that a newcomer was called a fish. He
28			could easily become a leader very quickly if he

was...(INTERJECTION).

29

- 1 81 Q. Yes, within time. But there was a hierarchy within the boys; isn't that right?
- 3 A. Well I suppose so, yes.
- 4 82 Q. Well it appears clear?
- 5 A. Well there were leaders and followers, yes.
- 6 83 Q. And there appears to have been a situation where there 7 were almost gangs of boys, would you accept that?
- 8 A. There were gangs sometimes, yes.
- 9 84 Q. Yes. And obviously, that gang situation could lead to 10 conflict, isn't that right, between the boys?
- 11 A. Yes, yes.
- 12 85 Q. And I go back to the word control I used earlier in 13 relation to the physical abuse between the individual 14 Brothers and individual boys?
- 15 A. Uh-huh.
- 16 86 Q. But do you feel that the situation about the boys, 17 there being gangs there, was out of control?
- A. I don't think it was out of control, no. I think
 obviously at times there were disturbances, but I
 think -- I mean, I would agree that the discipline in
 the school was severe, I have said that before.
- 22 87 Q. Yes.
- A. And it was severe for the very purpose of making sure staff did keep control. To that effect it was intended as a protection of the children.
- 26 88 Q. Yes?
- A. By being severe. I mean, these lads were not small boys, very often they were 16, 17 years of age, you know.

- 1 89 Q. Yes?
- 2 A. If there hadn't been a very strong discipline imposed
- it would have descended into chaos, there is no doubt
- 4 about it.
- 5 90 Q. I will ask go back to that in a second and I am
- 6 grateful for that answer. The disturbances you are
- talking about, presumably, are the riots; is that
- 8 right?
- 9 A. Yes, what were sometimes called the riots, yes.
- 10 91 Q. But I mean there was one riot in 1958, I believe, when
- the guards had to be called to actually stop the riot;
- is that right?
- 13 A. It was kind of nipped in the bud, as they say.
- 14 92 Q. It was what, sorry?
- 15 A. Nipped in the bud, as they say.
- 16 93 Q. By the guards's arrival?
- 17 A. None of these riots really got out of control because
- they were spotted as brewing and...(INTERJECTION).
- 19 94 Q. Excuse me?
- 20 A. The staff were able to see that they were kind of being
- 21 prepared and to take measures to stop them developing
- into being too serious.
- 23 95 Q. But one of the riots involved implements. Evidence was
- given of knuckle dusters, knives, iron bars?
- 25 A. That's right.
- 26 96 Q. All of which the boys had in their possession; is that
- 27 ri ght?
- 28 A. That's right. They were collected over a period of
- 29 days and deposited here and there.

- 1 97 Q. Yes.
- 2 A. Thankfully they never used them.
- 3 98 Q. Oh I understand that, because the guards arrived?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 99 Q. But doesn't it suggest to you an institution where boys
- 6 are allowed collect iron bars, knives, knuckle dusters
- 7 and engage in a riot, an institution that is wholly out
- 8 of control?
- 9 A. No. Because the riot never happened.
- 10 100 Q. Well the guards were called?
- 11 A. Yes, because they stopped it happening. As regard the
- 12 collecting the things, well there were workshops there,
- they were metal workshops with tools and equipment of
- that nature, well boys, naturally, could get hold of
- these things and use them for wrongful purpose.
- 16 101 Q. And therefore in terms of how the boys got on with each
- other, if they were able to secrete away these weapons
- and implements and iron bars, presumably the
- 19 supervision was somewhat faulty, wasn't it?
- 20 A. That's a good point. Supervision say in the 1950's,
- 21 which is the kind of period you are talking about
- really.
- 23 102 Q. Yes?
- A. There was an average of 150 boys during that period and
- 25 the staff were, let's say, 20 or something like that, I
- can't be too precise over a ten year period. So the
- supervision ratio, so to speak, was very low by modern
- 28 standards.
- 29 103 Q. Was it too low?

- 1 Α. Well, if you had that number of children in a facility 2 today you would have to have a 3:1 kind of staff. 3 would have to have a staff of about 400 I think. So clearly, by today's standards it was clearly very much 4 5 too low. I think one would have to say that unless -there were bound to be times when the children had 6 7 enough freedom to -- well, to do their own thing. 8 104 To do what they wanted to do? 0. 9 I would see that as a positive thing in many ways. Α. 10 mean, they were not over regimented in that sense. 11 don't know what kind of a school it would have been if 12 they had a staff member breathing down their necks 13 every moment of the day. But I do concede that the 14 supervision, the numbers available for supervision were 15 very low. 16 105 0. Very Iow. And because -- sorry, I don't -- as you 17 know, I don't want to stop you in any way. Have you fi ni shed? 18 19 Α. Yes. They were I ow. 20 106 Q. 21 A. Yes.
- resources which I am not ascribing blame, do you
 understand, but because they were in fact so low it
 meant that, I suggest to you, Father, that to try and
 maintain order excessive punishment had to be meted
 out, which wouldn't have had to happen if there was

22

107

Q.

And because they were so low, which may be to do with

- enough people properly supervising?
- 29 A. Well I would say there is some truth in that, I am

1			quite prepared to say that. I would like to say
2			something else as well.
3	108	Q.	Sorry.
4		A.	I didn't notice that. I am so concentrating on
5			yoursel f.
6			THE CHAIRPERSON: You just knocked the
7			mi crophone over.
8		A.	I am concentrating on yourself.
9	109	Q.	MR. O'LEARY: I think the stenographer
10			picked it up. Please
11			don't, I am not as the Chairman said, I certainly am
12			not setting any traps for you. This is a matter where
13			we try to tease out a lot of things.
14		A.	No, I have a lot of things I would like to say to you.
15			Take with regard to supervision and staff numbers, the
16			school was only set up to have a certain number of
17			staff, there are only a certain number of staff rooms.
18			I mean, the expectation of what the numbers of the
19			staff would be, was that there would be around 20, 24
20			staff members. That is what was expected. It was the
21			common understanding, I would think at that time, that
22			that was the kind of ratio you would expect to have.
23			That is what the accommodation was provided for. But
24			given the nature of the population, and the stresses
25			and so on, I do agree that it was on the low side.
26	110	Q.	Yes. It is funny, and I am going to go back to
27			something that you said there, but since it comes up
28			and I know you want to discuss various things with the
29			Inquiry. The various stresses involved?

1		A.	That's right.
2	111	Q.	You make a reference in your opening statement which
3			relates to and I think you will know what I am
4			talking about?
5		A.	I do.
6	112	Q.	The fact that towards from the mid-1960's on
7			certainly there appears to be documented evidence of
8			extreme stress?
9		A.	Yes.
10	113	Q.	Leading in fact to nervous breakdown with a number of
11			Brothers who were actually operating in Daingean; is
12			that correct?
13		A.	That's correct, yes.
14	114	Q.	I have not cited them, it doesn't matter, but for the
15			purpose of this discussion, I presume you were able to
16			extract that from the personnel files of the various
17			Brothers involved. Is that right, or how did you come
18			to that conclusion?
19		A.	Well it was stated very clearly in documents, yes.
20	115	Q.	From your work as an archivist what were the reasons
21			for that overwhelming stress and indeed in some cases
22			leading to nervous breakdowns, in your view?
23		A.	Well, I would say that there was a shortage of staff at
24			that time period you mentioned.
25	116	Q.	Yes.
26		A.	It was heightened because some of the more able members
27			and more in their prime of life members of staff were
28			at that time sent off to train. One went off well,
29			there were three went off to train, to study various

- 1 trades, like horticulture and metal work. 2 117 0. So the age profile was quite high? 3 Α. It was raised as a result of that. So that was the 4 situation, they were in a difficult situation. 5 They were, shall we say, over 40 certainly, in fact at 118 Q. one stage all of them were over 40? 6 7 I would say they were, but there may have been one or Α. 8 two who were not, but I can't remember offhand. 9 119 I think I can mention his name because there is 0. 10 absolutely no allegations against him personally, 11 Fr. McGonigle in relation to it, there was reference in 12 Fr. McGonigle's book of recollections, do you 13 understand what I am referring to there? 14 Yes. A. 15 120 0. His summary of his years in Daingean? 16 Α. Yes. 17 121 0. To this idea of Brothers coming in, working all year round, seven days a week, and I think only in the 18 19 mid-1960's having a day a week off; isn't that right? Well it was in the 1970's. 20 A. 21 Or in the 1970's, sorry. So again, that led to a very 122 Q. 22 stressful environment for the Brothers; isn't that
- 24 A. It did, yes.

right?

23

- 25 123 Q. Again, unfortunately, from your own knowledge of human nature, I suggest to you that people are more likely to lash out and use excessive punishment when they are so stressed that they are treated for nervous breakdowns?
- 29 A. Well, that is a possibility, yes. We are talking about

1 possibilities. It is also a possibility, the other 2 thing, that they would also become vulnerable to being 3 bullied themselves, which is just as bad. 4 124 Well indeed, and just taking that Q. I understand. 5 possibility and you have accepted my contention of the possibility, and that seems to be fair enough, but 6 7 taking your own suggestion that means that you have 8 vul nerable Brothers? 9 Yes. Α. 10 125 0. And I wonder is that a particularly healthy state, for 11 someone to be involved in supervising the people in 12 Dai ngean? 13 No, no, it wasn't. I accept that, yeah. Α. So either way, it is not good; isn't that right? 14 126 0. Oh it certainly isn't, yeah. 15 Α. 16 127 And indeed, vulnerable Brothers may indeed form Ο. 17 friendships with boys as well, given their 18 vulnerability; isn't that right? 19 Α. Well, that's speculation. You can speculate. 20 128 Q. I suppose you have heard the evidence yourself in 21 relation to that? 22 A. Well, if you are asking me what I thought of the evidence, I don't myself think that there was evidence 23 24 of widespread sexual abuse from the staff. That was my 25 reading of it. 26 129 That was your reading of it? Q. 27 Α. Of the hearings. A lot of evidence was given, but a 28 lot of evidence was challenged. 29 130 Q. And oh I see, so what you are suggesting is that the

- 1 evidence is only credible if not challenged?
- A. No, effectively challenged.
- 3 131 Q. I presume that's a matter for the Commission?
- 4 A. But you are asking me these questions.
- 5 132 Q. Oh, I am yes.
- 6 A. And you are saying that there was evidence of this, but
- 7 I would say it is also true that the evidence was
- 8 challenged.
- 9 133 Q. But are you suggesting -- sorry, I thought we had come
- to an agreement about that, but let's be clear about
- this, because I represent people who were in Daingean,
- 12 you understand that?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 134 Q. Are you suggesting that there was no sexual abuse by
- 15 Brothers of pupils in Daingean?
- 16 A. I couldn't suggest it, no.
- 17 135 Q. But what are you suggesting?
- 18 A. I am suggesting that there wasn't evidence of
- 19 wi despread sexual abuse.
- 20 136 Q. Oh, it wasn't widespread, I see. So therefore, may I
- infer from that you are you are accepting there was
- some sexual abuse in Daingean?
- A. I accept that there was evidence, on which the
- Commission will make its judgment.
- 25 137 Q. I see. Well, I think we might agree to differ on that
- one and move on, since the Commission has heard all
- that evi dence?
- 28 A. That's right.
- 29 138 Q. In relation to Daingean itself at the time, and it was

1 obviously the time that we are relating to both 1950's 2 and 1960's in particular, there was an awareness, would 3 you accept, by the Order and the Brothers and indeed Fr. McGonigle himself when he goes into detail in 4 his -- and I use the word book, but his commentary 5 6 perhaps? 7 Memoir. Α. 8 139 0. Memoir. That there was sexual abuse or what he terms 9 "peer abuse", would you accept that? 10 Α. Yes. 11 140 0. And that that peer abuse was well known, as it were, to 12 the staff in Daingean; is that right? 13 Yes, and they were watchful for it. Α. 14 Was there any training, do you know, for the Oblates in 141 0. relation to those matters? 15 16 Do you mean psychological sort of training? Α. No, no. 17 142 Q. Yes, training in relation to this might happen, you are dealing with an institution of, as you say, a number of 18 19 hundreds of boys, of a certain age and how do you deal and/or control this? 20 21 A. It was seen as a moral problem. 22 143 Q. A moral problem? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Does that mean that if one engaged in peer abuse it was 144 Q. 25 seen as a moral lapse? 26 The school rules refer to immorality as being Α. 27 something that was -- you know, it was against the law 28 of God, I think it says. 29 145 Q. It is rule ten; is that right?

1		A.	I don't know.
2	146	Q.	Anyway, we will accept that it is in the rules; is that
3			ri ght?
4		A.	Yes.
5	147	Q.	I think phraseology effectively is that it is not just
6			a rule of the school, it is a rule against God; isn't
7			that right?
8		A.	That's right.
9	148	Q.	I think there is also in rule 17 of the 21 rules, and I
10			think we will agree on this without having to go
11			through all the documentation, that friendships between
12			older and younger boys should be discouraged; isn't
13			that right?
14		A.	They were very strongly discouraged and there were
15			so far as is possible, there were two sections, as you
16			know, not so much on age as on size, by which the
17			bigger boys and smaller boys were separated.
18	149	Q.	Were separated?
19		A.	Both for play and for eating and for dormitories.
20	150	Q.	But again those two rules effectively, although it is
21			not stated in rule 17, but I presume the idea of a
22			friendship between the younger and older boys could
23			always have had a sexual connotations, in terms of
24			avoiding that type of difficulty; is that right?
25		A.	That's right.
26	151	Q.	Obviously much a physical connotation as well as in
27			terms of bullying, I accept that completely.
28			Therefore, two of the 21 rules in Daingean related to,
29			what we will call generically, in some way as peer

1 abuse; is that right? 2 Α. I thought there was only one, it was dealt with, yes. 3 152 Q. And the others were fully aware of it? You are talking about training and what you are 4 Α. really talking about is the psychiatric services that 5 might be available and things like that, psychological. 6 7 There wasn't any of that presumably? 153 Ο. 8 Α. No, and it just wasn't available, was it. 9 154 Can I just ask you this question and I am sorry and I Ο. 10 hope I haven't cut you off. 11 Α. Yes. 12 155 Q. But can I ask you this question? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Were you aware of the possibility as an Oblate, and you 156 0. 15 yourself said you spent some time there in Daingean, of the difficulty of not just peer abuse, but abuse 16 between Brothers and students? 17 18 No. no. Α. 19 157 Q. You weren't? No, it didn't enter into people's heads. 20 Α. 21 That's what I am going to ask you about. 158 Q. If you were 22 so alive to the danger of abuse amongst boys, do you understand, the sexualization of boys and their age 23 24 etc., surely, logically it must have entered into your 25 heads about the possibility of Brothers who were 26 working there full time without even a day off and 27 abuse between them and boys? No, I don't think it does follow logically at all. 28 Α.

29

159

Q.

It doesn't?

1 Α. The fact of the matter is that in society at that No. 2 time, and I think Fr. McGonigle in the memoir that you 3 are talking about, kind of reflects on that, and he says "well, we see now how widespread sexual abuse is". 4 5 160 Q. Yes. And we reflect back, say, to 1960's, we didn't have the 6 Α. 7 slightest idea it existed but now in the light of our 8 knowledge today we can't help but think or ask "my 9 goodness, was this happening then too?" 10 161 Yes. Q. 11 Α. But it is coming as a -- the question coming to him as 12 a complete surprise, because it just wasn't on people's 13 I think you know yourself that if minds in those days. 14 you look at books at that time on, say, family law and 15 things like that, I checked this out, you wouldn't find 16 a chapter on abuse, it just wasn't there. Certainly, I 17 was a newcomer to Ireland at that time, you may see I am not a native of Ireland. 18 19 162 Q. Excuse me? 20 I am not a native of Ireland. Α. 21 163 Q. I see. Sorry. 22 THE CHAIRPERSON: He thought you might have 23 guessed. 24 I was a newcomer in the country and I thought you were Α. 25 all saints, frankly. MR. O' LEARY: Sorry, Father, did you in 26 164 Q. 27 fact get training yourself 28 when you were trained with the Oblates in relation to 29 your own sexuality?

- 1 A. I can't say we did get a lot, no. I mean, obviously we studied moral theology and that kind of thing.
- 3 165 Q. Yes. So it is referred to as a moral lapse or something of that nature; is that right?
- 5 A. Yes. Sexual morality was studied.
- 6 166 Q. How detailed was that?
- 7 A. Well, quite detailed.
- 8 167 Q. It was?
- 9 A. Oh, yes.
- 10 168 Q. So you did study about your own sexuality then?
- 11 A. Well in that sense, yes. But it wasn't presented in 12 the sense that you are talking about now, like as you 13 would now. I mean, we are very conscious now that when 14 they are candidates for ordination they are -- they 15 have to be screened to make sure that they have a
- healthy sexual outlook and that kind of thing. But that didn't exist in those days.
- 18 169 Q. So there was no screening and there was no training in relation to that; is that right?
- A. There was screening in the sense that training went on for a long time, over a seven year period, and in that time people's character would reveal itself. There was screening in that sense. I mean, people who were weren't suitable left, or asked to leave. There was a seven year screening period.
- 26 170 Q. Did you think that all the Brothers who were there at 27 time that you were there, did you think they were all 28 suitable?
- 29 A. They were all very good men.

1 171 How I ong were you there? Q. 2 Α. Well only for a few weeks you know, two summers. But I 3 knew them outside that context, you know, we are members of the same Order. 4 5 172 You see, Fr. McGonigle suggests, and we can go into all Q. the details because he does, as you know, and this is 6 7 not an adverse comment on his memoirs, but he does... 8 It is meandering. Α. 9 173 It goes into areas at different chapters and 0. 10 revisits them again at later chapters, but perhaps we 11 might agree on the general tone of what he says? He 12 expresses that he himself was naive now in retrospect 13 in terms of not being aware...(INTERJECTION)? 14 That was the point I was making. Α. 15 174 0. But it is an important word, that he was naive himself 16 in relation to the possibility of Brothers sexually 17 abusing boys; isn't that right? 18 Yes, we were all naive then, yes. Α. 19 175 Q. And did that naivety, would you accept, probably lead to a lack of supervision, because you weren't -- you 20 21 felt, well, this possibility didn't exist? 22 Α. Well, I see what you mean. 23 176 Q. Do you see the point I am making? 24 And I think he himself says reflecting on Α. 25 that situation and the life that was there and the 26 school at the time obviously there could have been

and offences might take place.

27

28

29

occasions when the staff member might get a boy alone

at the same time you should realise that although the

It is imaginable.

1			area of the school was quite large, a few acres, there
2			were 150 boys there and there were more than 20 adults.
3			There wasn't a lot of privacy, that would be one of the
4			problems of it, there wouldn't have been a lot of
5			intimacy in that sense of psychological, you were
6			leading a very public life all the time. So it would
7			only be by taking very special measures that a staff
8			member would be able to commit offences of that kind, I
9			think so. I think the physical situation would suggest
10			that. It would have to be done in a very covert way.
11	177	Q.	Well, indeed, I suppose that's the nature of sexual
12			abuse; wouldn't you accept that?
13		A.	Yes.
14	178	Q.	Isn't that right?
15		A.	That's right.
16	179	Q.	And the supervision, in relation to that aspect of
17			supervision, doesn't it also reflect on the discipline,
18			because again without going into the meandering part
19			four and part nine of Fr. McGonigle's memoirs, but I
20			think we can agree as this be auggested in relation to
			think we can agree on this, he suggests in relation to
21			physical punish, do you understand, as opposed to the
21 22			-
			physical punish, do you understand, as opposed to the
22			physical punish, do you understand, as opposed to the sexual abuse, that he didn't feel it was part of his
22 23		A.	physical punish, do you understand, as opposed to the sexual abuse, that he didn't feel it was part of his job to supervise the physical punishment; isn't that
22 23 24	180	A. Q.	physical punish, do you understand, as opposed to the sexual abuse, that he didn't feel it was part of his job to supervise the physical punishment; isn't that right?
22232425	180		physical punish, do you understand, as opposed to the sexual abuse, that he didn't feel it was part of his job to supervise the physical punishment; isn't that right? That's right, yes.
2223242526	180		physical punish, do you understand, as opposed to the sexual abuse, that he didn't feel it was part of his job to supervise the physical punishment; isn't that right? That's right, yes. And he does suggest, and I think you will be able to

I think he would agree with that. But just by way of

1

Α.

2 kind of explanation, and he does say also in his memoir 3 that he did have complete trust in his staff. 4 181 Q. Yes. 5 And he does say also that he was very, very busy. Α. 6 182 0. 7 He was an extremely busy man. Α. 8 183 Yes. Ο. 9 Well there is a limit to what you can do. Α. 10 I understand the explanation. 184 Q. 11 Α. But even so; I would accept that he would accept 12 himself that it would have been better if he had 13 exercised a stronger supervisory role, yes. 14 And that he was wrong in effect, and it is an 185 0. Correct. 15 awful thing to say, but he was wrong in retrospect to 16 trust his staff in that way, to allow them to be 17 unsupervised; isn't that right? 18 It was a management failure, definitely, yes. Α. 19 186 Q. It was a management failure? 20 Yes. Α. 21 At one stage, and I know it is going to be hard for you 187 Q. 22 to deal with this, but it is about that management 23 failure and I am trying to make it as easy as possible 24 for you because I do want to hear what you have to say 25 in relation to it. Fr. McGonigle refers to the fact 26 that he felt isolated from the Oblates as well from 27 time to time, not now the people who were below him in 28 terms of the hierarchy, do you understand, the 29 Brothers, but it appears implicit to the, shall we say,

- 1 Provincial or the leaders of the Order. You know what 2 I am referring to there? 3 Α. I would. 4 0. He felt he didn't get enough help in effect; isn't that 188 5 ri ght? 6 A. That's right. And I would say this is one of the 7 points I would like to make, if I may. 8 189 0. Please do. 9 That having reflected upon all that, I do think there Α. 10 was a management failure in that sense. 11 190 Ο. Yes. 12 Clearly when the Oblates were there convinced and Α. 13 trying their best to do something for these boys who 14 obviously very badly needed help. I mean that was why we became involved in that line of work in the first 15 16 But looking back now at the running of the pl ace. 17 institution over those years, especially in those 18 latter years, I would say it is clear there was 19 management failures. In many cases, I think we 20 referred it do it yourself, it was due to lack of 21 resources, both monetary resources and at times in that period in the late 1960's, as you refer to manpower 22 23 resources. 24 191 Q. Yes. 25 Α. I really think that with hindsight we should have faced 26 the fact that resources were inadequate and we should 27 have withdrawn from the school.
 - 36

You should have withdrawn?

28

29

192

0.

A.

Yes.

That is really what we should have done.

1 193 Q. Yes. 2 Α. I would say we carried on, I mean optimistic that 3 things would improve and eventually they did improve. 4 194 Hoping against hope, in effect, that things would get Q. 5 better? 6 A. Yes. that's right. And eventually things did improve. 7 195 Would you be able to date that for me, when they Ο. 8 actually improved? 9 By the time the 1970's came there was a very big Α. 10 difference in the school. That day off was a symptom. 11 196 0. A huge advance, yes. 12 The biggest sign of it is the fact that at the Α. 13 beginning of the 1970's we were able to put into the 14 work three priests who were being trained for it in America and in Bristol universities. 15 16 197 0. Did their training -- I find this fascinating, I hope 17 the Commission does as well, did their training, do you 18 know, involve training in relation to the possibility 19 of sexual abuse and how to watch out for that 20 between -- not just peers now, between the Brothers and 21 boys? 22 A. Can I refer you again to Fr. McGonigle's invaluable memoir? He remarks there that he went to conferences 23 24 all over the place. Canada, I know what you are referring to. 25 198 Q. He went to Britain, to the continent, I don't know 26 Α. 27 about Canada. But anyways, he mixed in the world of

professionals who were involved in care.

in all those meetings he went to, in all the

28

29

And he says

1 discussions with all these very responsible people, 2 never once was this topic brought up on the agenda. 3 199 Q. So is the answer to that you don't know where they were trained in the 1970's or they weren't? 4 5 Α. I would say they were still in a -- as society was there were still in a stage of naivety. 6 7 200 If it improved in the 1970's, would you accept then Ο. 8 that during the 1950's and 1960's you shouldn't have 9 been running the school? 10 A. What improved was the availability of a lot of 11 facilities that hadn't been available in the 1950's and 12 1960's. 13 Resources in effect? 201 0. 14 Yes, but resources that you wouldn't expect to find in Α. 15 the 1950's. 16 One resource you could have found in the 1950's would 202 Ο. 17 be extra Brothers, is that right, extra people, bodies? 18 But again when you go back to those Α. Extra people. 19 times and you look at the documentation you don't find any sense there that there was a pressure on staff, you 20 21 don't find it there in the same ways as you do in the 22 The staff were overwhelmed, the staff were 23 under big pressure. They seemed quite able to cope. 24 But it is definitely there in 1960's; isn't it? 203 Q. 25 Α. It is definitely there in the 1960's. 26 204 And no extra resources were given during that period, Q. 27 even though Brothers would have been presumably taken 28 off the rota and removed from their duties because of 29 nervous breakdowns?

There were new Brothers going in there, but not enough.

1

Α.

2 205 0. Not enough? 3 Α. Not enough, yes. There was this controversy in respect of physical 4 206 Q. 5 punishment that I want to go back to, because it is more chronologically correct to do so and that is what 6 7 arose out of the Kennedy Report. You know what I am 8 referring to? 9 Yes. Α. 10 207 0. And it appears the first writing of the report or the 11 investigation in 1968 with ultimately the report being 12 produced in 1970; isn't that right? 13 Uh-huh. Α. 14 And it appears again from the documents, we can refer 208 0. 15 to them, but I think we can probably agree, and if we 16 disagree we will certainly look at all the documents, 17 but it appears from that, that there was concern, at 18 least one could say, from the members of the Kennedy 19 Commission, I think referred to by Mr. Barry, who was the secretary of the Department of Education at the 20 21 time, in respect of the type of physical punishment 22 that was there at time, that is children, boys being 23 struck on the bare backside, do you understand the 24 point I am making? 25 Α. I do, yes. And I think Fr. McGonigle, and for the purpose of 26 209 Q. 27 clarity there is no question that he was physically 28 doing it himself, he wasn't charged at the time, 29 eventually came around to agree with their position

1 that that practice should be stopped; isn't that right? 2 Α. That's right. 3 210 0. And that the Department's view at the time, or the 4 people who were on the Commission, felt that that 5 practice potentially exposed those who were meting out that type of punishment, that is striking people, I 6 7 think, usually with the leather strap, I think was the 8 normal form, as part of the, shall we say, discipline on the bare backside, that that exposed them 9 10 potentially to criminal liability; isn't that right? 11 There was some talk about that, whether they were right 12 or wrong but that was certainly talked about at the 13 time; is that right? 14 It seems to be, yes. Α. 15 211 0. That seems to be right. Eventually there seems to be 16 some toing and froing about as to what would and would 17 not be included in the final report itself, but 18 eventually in any event that practice stopped in 1970; 19 isn't that right? Is that correct? I would say it was about 1970. I would just 20 A. 21 like to be clear about this. 22 212 Q. Please do. What Ms. Kennedy asked for, she said she wasn't asking 23 Α. 24 that corporal punishment would stop, she was asking 25 that it would stop being administered in that way. 26 I know that, yes, I know that. I mean corporal 213 Q. 27 punishment was not outlawed even in schools or any 28 other area for some years thereafter? 29 A. That's right, yes.

1	214	Q.	But this now, I thought I ha	ad made it very clear in
2			describing it, this was a s	ituation whereby, and it was
3			part of the structure of dis	scipline in Daingean, that
4			boys would be brought into	a room, it might be an
5			office or it might have been	n downstairs, depending, or
6			the washroom perhaps, but the	hat boys would be made bend
7			over, as it were, they would	d drop their trousers and
8			that would expose their bard	e buttocks?
9		A.	That's right.	
10	215	Q.	They would then the disc	ipline of being struck with
11			a Leather strap by one of the	he Brothers would take
12			place; isn't that right?	
13		A.	That's right.	
14	216	Q.	That was the practice?	
15		A.	That was the practice.	
16	217	Q.	That existed up to 1970; is	n't that right?
17		A.	That's right, yes.	
18	218	Q.	I see. And that was the pra	actice(INTERJECTION)?
19			MR. MAGUIRE:	l am sorry, Chairman.
20			MR. O' LEARY:	Sorry.
21			MR. MAGUIRE:	I am sure it is not
22				intentional on my friend's
23			part, but he is inclined to	cut the witness off when
24			the witness is about to(INTERJECTION).
25			MR. O' LEARY:	I'm sorry. I am terribly
26				sorry.
27			MR. MAGUIRE:	And particularly one
28				instance is just a moment
29			ago where he was talking abo	out the question of the

1			Kennedy Report and his view	of the Kennedy Report.
2			MR. O'LEARY:	Very good.
3			MR. MAGUI RE:	I would ask that he
4				wouldn't cut off the
5			wi tness.	
6			MR. O'LEARY:	I think Mr. Maguire would
7				accept that it is not
8			i ntenti onal .	
9			THE CHAIRPERSON:	It clearly isn't because
10				you keep apol ogi si ng,
11			Mr. 0' Leary.	
12			MR. O'LEARY:	I do. When it does happen
13				I do say I am sorry. I am
14			terribly sorry about that.	
15			MR. MAGUIRE:	I don't want to interrupt,
16				Chairman.
17			THE CHAIRPERSON:	I understand.
18	219	Q.	MR. O' LEARY:	Very well. I think I may
19				have cut you off and it
20			appears that I and again I	am sorry about that. In
21			relation to something you w	ant to say about the Kennedy
22			Report, Mr. Maguire suggest	s?
23		A.	The mind moves on.	
24	220	Q.	Yes.	
25		A.	I think that something shou	ld made clear about that,
26			yes. Many years ago, this	may seem again a collateral
27			factor, Ms. Kennedy was the	judge sitting in the Dublin
28			Children's Court at that ti	me.
29	221	Q.	Yes.	

1 Α. She was sitting right through this period, you know, 2 from 1967, 1968 right through to the time the school 3 closed and she did continue to send children to the In fact, I went through and I counted the 4 5 number of committals that she made in that period, during this very period when she was concerned about 6 7 corporal punishment and so on, she still had no 8 hesitation in committing boys to school. There was 177 9 committals she made, I have counted them. That I think 10 puts it in some perspective, puts her concern in some 11 perspective. She was concerned about the way corporal 12 punishment was administered but she wasn't so concerned 13 that she stopped committing boys to the school. 14 is one point. Another point is that the Department, I 15 think, did say at that time that they had no knowledge 16 that corporal punishment was being administered in this 17 way.

18 222 Q. That they had no knowledge of it?

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

A. They did say that and they did say it was against their regulations. I said they need to show that, I think I said in the last hearing. But something has come to my attention since then in the discovery of the departmental documentation, which is that they did know about it and that they did approve of it, certainly in 1953, when Dr. McCabe investigated corporal punishment in the school, made a full report on it, examined the boys, questioned the boys, questioned the staff, explained it all, exactly as you have described it. It was the same then. She said, "well, so far as I can

1		see there is not a mark on	these boys that shows they
2		have been punished", and ba	sically that she approved of
3		the school. The Department	said yes, Dr. McCabe put in
4		a good report and that was	the end of the matter. Have
5		you seen that report? Do I	need to give you the
6		reference.	
7		THE CHAIRPERSON:	No, no, I think this was
8			discussed in the opening
9		phase. I think you discuss	ed this when questioned by
10		Mr. McGovern.	
11		MR. O' LEARY:	Indeed. I mean it is a
12			matter between effectively
13		the Oblates and the Departm	ent.
14		THE CHAIRPERSON:	No, but Fr. Hughes directly
15			asked us the embarrassing
16		question as to whether we w	vere aware of it. Well we
17		are aware of it. Yes, I ha	ve the reference in the
18		transcri pt.	
19	A.	Because (INTERJECTION).	
20		THE CHAIRPERSON:	I am sorry, Mr. O'Leary, I
21			am not saying you shouldn't
22		ask questions about it.	
23		MR. O' LEARY:	I am not asking questions
24			at all about it, but this
25		is the one and two points t	hat the witness wants to
26		make. I am not asking ques	tions at all.
27		THE CHAIRPERSON:	What Fr. Hughes is saying
28			is that this form of
29		punishment	

1			MR. O'LEARY:	I know what he was saying.
2			THE CHAIRPERSON:	was made known. The
3				Department knew about this.
4			This is flogging on the sta	irs, is what we are talking
5			about.	
6		A.	Well flogging on the bare b	uttocks. The stairs is
7			something(INTERJECTION)	
8	223	Q.	THE CHAIRPERSON:	The Location, L thought,
9				was not in dispute?
10		A.	Well the location I think,	yes.
11	224	Q.	THE CHAIRPERSON:	I mean, the location within
12				the buildings. Strictly
13			speaking, flogging on the b	are buttocks. I am not
14			trying to be contentious ab	out this, I am just trying
15			to recall what's the eviden	ce about it.
16		A.	So far as the State is conc	erned, the evidence as I
17			heard it, was that that was	in the 1960's. In the
18			1940's when the stairs didn	't exist and the 1950's. It
19			was normally in another roo	m.
20	225	Q.	MR. O' LEARY:	I don't think we are going
21				to qui bbl e about the
22			location so much?	
23		A.	I am not either.	
24	226	Q.	But I'm not too concerned a	bout historically what
25			occurred in the 1950's or t	he Department of Education's
26			knowl edge, do you understan	d I am not concerned about
27			that?	
28		A.	Well I think we have to be	concerned about the whole
29			period, 1940's to the(IN	TERJECTION).

Q. 1 227 You may well do, but for the purpose of who I am acting 2 for, do you understand, it doesn't concern me, the 3 question that I asked is in relation to the fact that when the Kennedy Commission in 1968 examined the 4 school? 5 6 A. Yes. 7 228 As a result of their concerns about the practice we Ο. 8 have described involving the flogging on the bare 9 buttocks, that practice was stopped; isn't that right? 10 That's right. And it just shows how times change. Α. 11 229 0. Well that may well be the case. But we are in 12 agreement about that; isn't that right? 13 Oh definitely. Α. 14 And we are in agreement that this practice, whether or 230 0. 15 not it was approved by the Department of Education, do you understand that doesn't concern me in the 16 17 slightest, but this practice of discipline was carried 18 out in the 1950's and the 1960's, perhaps in different 19 locations, in Daingean; isn't that right? Yes, and we have never disputed that. 20 A. 21 And in relation to that practice of discipline 231 Q. I ndeed. 22 there was also discipline for people, let's say, who either absconded or who may have been involved in a 23 24 riot, let's say, or indeed may have been involved, as 25 you pointed out, in planning of a riot, they would have 26 formed into the category of what you regard as that 27 type of abuse; isn't that right? Is that right? 28 Sorry, that type of abuse? Do you mean that type of Α. 29 puni shment?

1	232	Q.	Yes, indeed, flogging, yes.	
2		A.	I would like to point out a	gain, that the word flogging
3			was used Dr. McCabe in 19	953 addressed this issue of
4			fl oggi ng.	
5	233	Q.	Yes?	
6		A.	Because it was the word used	d by the complainant in that
7			case. But whenever Dr. McCa	abe used the word she would
8			put it in inverted commas be	ecause she was obviously
9			rejecting that it was an app	oropriate word. I think you
10			would agree and you obvious	y read Fr. McGonigle's
11			memoir very carefully.	
12	234	Q.	I did indeed.	
13		A.	He also says somewhere there	e that the word flogging was
14			not one that was known to hi	m when he was in the
15			school. So when you talk al	oout punishment, yes, I just
16			can't see what this flogging	g, it is a very pejorative
17			word. As I say, Dr. McCabe	ruled it out.
18	235	Q.	THE CHAIRPERSON:	Is it the word we are
19				debating now?
20		A.	Well, it is a very heavy won	rd.
21	236	Q.	THE CHAI RPERSON:	But is that what we
22				are(INTERJECTION)?
23		A.	It is.	
24	237	Q.	THE CHAI RPERSON:	Because certainly my
25				understanding was that was
26			the expression that was used	d to describe this form of
27			punishment, I didn't think a	anybody raised questions of
28			lexicography about it. I ma	ay be totally wrong, but my
29			understanding was this arose	e at the time before the

1			Kennedy Report was punished,	the Kennedy Committee was
2			dealing with it, most of thi	is is a matter of public
3			controversy. Fr. McGonigle	and Mr. MacCrudaithe were
4			engaged over the years in di	ifferent publications, they
5			followed each other around w	with letter and counter
6			letter. So of all the issue	es that arises in our
7			Inquiry, this is one that ha	as been well travelled?
8		A.	That's right.	
9	238	Q.	THE CHAIRPERSON:	You could find out exactly
10				what the controversy was by
11			reading a number of newspape	ers; isn't that right?
12		A.	That's right.	
13			MR. O' LEARY:	I agree, Chairman, I think
14				the Father opposed to the
15			use of my word flogging, but	t I thought that that was
16			the correct word to use.	
17			THE CHAIRPERSON:	I am surprised to find
18				there was unease over the
19			word flogging, I would have	to say, even without
20			inverted commas, maybe we sh	nould put it in inverted
21			commas, maybe so.	
22	239	Q.	MR. O' LEARY:	We can call it the
23				procedure perhaps.
24				
25			(To the witness) In any ever	nt we know clearly what we
26			are talking about, it is onl	y semantics in terms of how
27			we describe it?	
28		A.	Yes.	
29	240	Q.	This is the punishment where	eby someone is struck with a

		strap on the bare buttocks; is that right?
	A.	Yes.
241	Q.	I will call it the procedure from now on?
		THE CHAIRPERSON: PI ease don't.
242	Q.	MR. O'LEARY: I am obliged.
		(To the witness) It occurred it was the way boys were
		disciplined in certain instances; is that right?
	A.	Yes.
243	Q.	Is that correct?
	A.	Yes.
244	Q.	And there was a discipline book in being; is that
		correct?
	A.	Yes.
245	Q.	But we don't have it now; is that correct?
	A.	That's correct, yes.
246	Q.	So we can only rely on the various prefects of
		discipline to say that there was, in fact, a Punishment
		Book; isn't that right?
	A.	No. There are two well two pieces of evidence.
		The one is a reference to it in an inspector's report
		in the 1940's, the second is in the famous memoir,
		though I admit this is not a contemporary record, a
		long time after the event. But Fr. McGonigle does say
		there when they were considering early release of a
		boy, or something like that, one of the things they
		would do would be to look at the Punishment Book to see
		is he being well behaved or not. So again, that's
		pretty good evidence, I think, that there was a
	242243244245	241 Q. 242 Q. A. 243 Q. A. 244 Q. A. 245 Q. A. 246 Q.

1			Punishment Book in the 1960's.
2	247	Q.	Well, it perhaps is Fr. McGonigle's own difficulty in
3			that regard in terms of the way he writes his memoir.
4			But he says at page 10 of part 4, do you understand,
5			and I will draw your attention to it if necessary, but
6			I think you will agree with me, he says at page 10,
7			part 4:
8			"There were records of boys who got the strap, but I never saw them".
10		A.	I missed that reference now.
11	248	Q.	Yes.
12		A.	But he does also say the other thing.
13	249	Q.	Yes. You see, it kind of seems to fit in with his view
14			of discipline because, as you know and I already
15			referred to it briefly previously, that in the same
16			part four at page 6 and 7 he says:
17			"I would have to say I didn't know how many slaps they had, I didn't regard it as part of my duty to supervise that."
18			as part of my duty to supervise that."
19			
20			Do you understand?
21		A.	That's right, yes. But he does also say, when they
22			were considering whether a boy could be released early,
23			or something like that, the prefect would bring out the
24			book and give the information as to whether he had been
25			well behaved or not.
26	250	Q.	So we don't know, he says he didn't see it in one
27			instance but he did in the other?
28		A.	That's right, maybe in different context.
29	251	Q.	Yes. Do vou know how many straps, we will use that

1			word perhaps?
2		A.	Straps, yes.
3	252	Q.	That they got dependent on their crime or misdemeanour?
4		A.	Do you mean the evidence given in Phase II?
5	253	Q.	Or from any records that you might have?
6		A.	Well we don't have records, as you know.
7	254	Q.	So you don't really know how many straps were given?
8		A.	Well, the evidence that I heard, and the Commission
9			heard obviously, I thought generally speaking it was up
10			to six straps, you know.
11	255	Q.	How do you know that?
12		A.	From what we heard in the evidence.
13	256	Q.	Well there is one gentleman and I am not going to
14			mention any names?
15		A.	Well I haven't finished yet. I said there was evidence
16			to that effect but there was a lot of contradictory
17			evidence as well, some spoke of an incredible number of
18			straps, which is maybe what you were going to say now.
19			You have to come to a balanced view of the evidence,
20			the Commission does. I can't say anymore than that
21			really.
22	257	Q.	I understand. But I am just saying, just so we will be
23			clear about it, that there were many instances, but one
24			instance is that a boy says that that he was struck 140
25			times with a leather strap, so many times in fact that
26			five different Brothers had to administer that
27			puni shment?
28		A.	That's right.
29	258	Q.	That was said, you accept that?

I accept that it was said, but I would -- well, I will 1 Α. 2 leave it to the... 3 259 0. And we don't have, whether or not it ever existed 4 we will leave that to the Commission as well, but we don't have a discipline book which should have recorded 5 the numbers of straps and for the punishment for which 6 7 they were meted out; isn't that right? 8 Α. That's right, but I think we have given an adequate explanation about the records, that they were entrusted 9 10 to the Department of Education, that they were lying 11 around in their archives over the years, that they were 12 only recovered piecemeal. I mean, they hadn't been 13 cataloged and stacked away neatly. They were recovered 14 piecemeal and released to us in different segments as 15 they found them. And obviously there is still lots and 16 lots of records we don't have, but not just the 17 Punishment Book. 18 0. Not just the Punishment Book? 260 19 Α. Not just the Punishment Book, there is lots of records 20 we don't have. 21 Can I ask you this question and it relates to the very 261 Q. 22 first -- one of the first questions I asked you, did you ask any of the Brothers, given that you didn't have 23 24 the evidence in writing, how many times they would use 25 the strap for various different punishments when you 26 were preparing your statement?

27

28

29

262

Α.

Q.

now or?

Yes?

Oh yes, you mean -- are you talking about the prefect

Unfortunately the prefect, there was only one prefect 1 Α. 2 alive and his evidence was that he gave certainly not 3 more than six straps. 4 263 Did you interview him in detail about that? Q. Oh I did yes. But I would say now, I am referring 5 Α. 6 there to a prefect, the last prefect probably. In terms of time? 7 264 Ο. 8 Α. Who hasn't been the subject of great controversy. 9 What time did he serve there? 265 Ο. 10 Oh he was in the school from 1940. Α. 11 266 0. But in terms of being a prefect? 12 He was a prefect only from about 1969. Α. 13 267 1969? 0. 14 Yes. Α. 15 268 0. I see 16 Α. And he wasn't a man who was involved in great 17 controversy, I would say. 18 So none of the other prefects who were there prior to 269 0. 19 1969 were able to help you; is that right? 20 A. Well they were all dead, yes. 21 So how can you -- with respect to you, and I know it is 270 Q. 22 a difficult job you are doing, but how can you then 23 say, when we don't have any record, that in your view 24 the average stroke was six or seven? 25 Α. Well I read very carefully the transcripts of the hearings in Phase II and while admitting that there are 26

question was between two and six.

27

28

29

generally speaking I thought the answer to that

a lot of -- there is a great variety of evidence given,

```
271
 1
                                You say something interesting, perhaps, in
           0.
                 Very well.
 2
                 your opening statement and it is stated -- I wonder was
 3
                 it just a frame of mind at the time, but you say it is:
                       "It is not easy to persuade those with positive stories to come forward as they want to preserve their anonymity."
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
           Α.
                 That's right, yes.
 9
     272
           Ο.
                 What steps did you take to go and go through the old
10
                 roll register of the boys and ascertain what their
11
                 positive views were of their time in Daingean, what
                 steps did you take?
12
                 Personally I didn't but some of my colleagues did.
13
           Α.
14
     273
           0.
                 You didn't?
15
           Α.
                       But some of my colleagues did.
                 No.
                 How did you come to the conclusion that:
16
     274
           0.
                       "It is not easy to persuade those with positive stories to come forward as they want to preserve their anonymity."
17
18
19
20
                 How did you come to the view that their anonymity was
21
                 the problem?
22
           Α.
                 Because they said so.
23
     275
           Q.
                 To who?
24
           Α.
                 To my colleagues.
25
     276
           Q.
                 Your colleagues?
26
           Α.
                 Yes.
27
     277
                 You didn't embark on that?
           Q.
                 No, I didn't do that.
28
           Α.
                 You didn't think that was wise?
29
     278
           0.
```

1		A.	Well, I can't do everything.
2	279	Q.	You can't do everything, I see. I presume you accept
3			in relation to the buildings and I think this is common
4			case, but if we are just clear about this, the
5			buildings weren't great to start with and there were
6			severe problems in getting them properly improved in
7			the 1940's and 1950's because of financial resource
8			reasons; is that right?
9		A.	Yes.
10	280	Q.	And they were in a fairly bad state; isn't that right?
11		A.	That's right.
12	281	Q.	I think that you I suppose the Brothers would say
13			they may have done their best but they were far from
14			adequate in the circumstances; do you accept that?
15		A.	The premise you mean?
16	282	Q.	Yes?
17		A.	Oh definitely and undoubtedly. I mean(INTERJECTION)
18	283	Q.	Sorry?
19		A.	There are documents listing their imperfections at
20			great Length.
21	284	Q.	Sorry, I didn't mean to cut you off.
22		A.	For example, some of the buildings were unsafe. There
23			was minimum heat, although the dormitories apparently
24			were reasonably well heated but the rest of the
25			building wasn't. There was a lack of insulation.
26			Everybody, staff and boys, suffered from the cold. But
27			even more than that, perhaps the dismal effect of an
28			environment that is dilapidated would have been
29			psychologically bad for everyone. But we were

1			constantly making effort to get improvements. You
2			know, of course that the buildings were State property,
3			you appreciate that. It wasn't an Oblate's property.
4			It was Board of Works and it was very, very difficult
5			to get them to do anything about it.
6	285	Q.	And in terms of(INTERJECTION)?
7		A.	I think all the evidence on that is with the
8			Commi ssi on.
9	286	Q.	It is indeed. In terms of education, do you think that
10			was sufficient in the circumstances?
11		A.	Again it is not an easy question to answer.
12	287	Q.	No.
13		A.	No. I mean, you talk about this. I would go back to
14			the Cussen Report, I think. You have read the Cussen
15			Report I am sure, and it makes a very clear distinction
16			between schools, industrial schools, and reformatories,
17			where they have agricultural training and schools where
18			they have industrial training, in the more technical
19			sense. The Cussen Report pointed out that in Ireland,
20			this is in 1936, in Ireland in 1936 the greatest area
21			in which people get employment is farming and so they
22			very strongly approve of a farming industrial training,
23			if I could put it that way, for children in these
24			various schools. They did recommend that some special
25			school would be set up nearer a big city for a more
26			modern industrial kind of a training. But in that
27			context it is quite clear that Daingean falls into the
28			bracket of an agricultural setting, where training
29			would be around the farm and that this was the norm.

1			If you read the Cussen Report it is very clear.
2	288	Q.	Yes, I mean, that certain of the boys would be, let's
3			say, dependent on their age or experience, whether they
4			finished any formal education they had had, would be
5			sent out to do the bog batch, the farm, some sent to
6			the bakery, etc.; isn't that right?
7		A.	Well they nearly all had were over the school age.
8			In the orphanage their primary age limit. But there
9			was, as you know, a school in the on the premises.
10	289	Q.	That's right. How many of the boys went there though
11			really?
12		A.	That was for about 40 boys and it was a remedial
13			programme for boys who had neglected one way or another
14			to require basic skills of reading and writing. So
15			there was that. Apart from that then there was various
16			trades, boot making and tailoring and carpentry. And
17			there were, from the 1950's, beginning about 1950,
18			there were technical classes which were staffed by the
19			Offaly County Vocational Educational Committee. Those
20			teachers, there were usually two of them, were paid for
21			by the Offaly County Authority. So those were the
22			programmes that were on offer, so to speak.
23			
24			Now, by time we come to the 1960's it is realised that
25			more formal education was needed and an effort was made
26			to get the Department of Education to recognise that.
27			You see, up until 1967, I think it was, the Department
28			didn't recognise that there was a school on the
29			premises in the sense of a national school. It paid

for no teachers. The only teachers that were paid for were these from the vocational. So beginning in about 1966, a concerted effort was made by Oblate authorities, and this is where Oblate management showed a bit of strength I thought, went to the Minister and asked for the school to be recognised, you know, in an official way so that it would become possible to have paid teachers just as you have in any other part of the country.

It is incredible to think that all those years the school had to manage without that. What Fr. McGonigle actually wanted was much more than a, you know, basic primary education, he recognised that when you have boys 14, 15, 16, 17 even, you can't treat them as if they were primary school pupils. It took the Department little time to recognise that. What Fr. McGonigle wanted was a special school.

The Minister, I think it was, Mr. Collie at the time in 1966 seemed favourable towards that. However, it went into the wheels of the Department and what came out was a national school with primary education. Gradually, first of all, for 40 pupils then for 80, then it was realised that even those boys who were in the technical classes they also needed remedial education in the basic subjects. So really we need education of that kind for the whole school, not just for those who were not in the technical school. Ultimately that was

1	recogni sed	in	1970
1	recogni sed	i n	1970

So Fr. McGonigle wrote at that time, this is the biggest advance that the -- or the biggest benefit that the Department of Education has conferred on this school since the foundation of the Department. The Department became responsible for the school in about 1924. Finally, they recognised it as a special school with a high -- relatively high then number of paid teachers, trained teachers. But, of course, this was also the very time when the school was being phased out.

So the story of education is a long one. If you go back to the very beginning of the reform movement, when it was started in the 1850's, it was generally recognised that the best place to have a reformatory was on a farm. In fact, the reform boys were usually called colonists in those days if you look at the literature. The idea was having boys then who had the problems, having them live in a close community life, active work, active leisure, active sport and with just some academic remedial facilities that this mix and this way of life would have a character forming influence on them and help them to have a better future. That was the kind of unsophisticated, you might say, vision of what it was all about.

This is the vision, I would say, that was still being

1			endorsed by the Cussen Report in 1936 and it only began
2			to be questioned in the 1960's, when it was felt, well,
3			first of all, that far greater attention needed to be
4			given to psychological and psychiatric services because
5			the children had very great problems in those areas.
6			
7			what was I going to say? So, yeah, the changes then.
8			It was realised that there was a need for a new kind of
9			a reformatory then, one that wasn't so agriculturally
10			based, if I can put it that way, but that it would be
11			more geared to a formal education, that is what the
12			struggle at that level was all about from in the
13			latter half of the 1960's, culminating in the
14			recognition of the school in 1970 as a special school.
15			
16			I would recommend myself to the Committee, if I may
17			with respect, the document written by Mr. Cullinan from
18			the Department, Thomas Cullinan, I think his name is, a
19			highly respected man, who sums up the situation pretty
20			well.
21	290	Q.	Thank you. Are you finished? The situation in respect
22			of the school, and you have described it in terms of
23			education there, most of the boys weren't given a
24			formal education during the 1950's and 1960's; isn't
25			that right?
26		A.	Well there would have been about 40 in the technical
27			school, about 40 were having remedial and the rest
28			would have been engaged in whatever trades and
29			activities of that kind that existed.

1 291 0. Okay. And therefore they would be sent out in effect 2 to do their various trades, whether they be the bog 3 batch, etc.? 4 Α. That's right, yeah. 5 292 You have described, I think rather tellingly, the bleak Q. 6 aspect of the place, given that the buildings were in 7 bad condition; is that right? 8 Α. Especially in the 1960's. By that time dilapidation 9 had gone beyond the point of return. Again if I may 10 recommend a document that I thought captured it, I 11 would recommend Michael Vines article in the "Irish 12 Times" in May 1966, which I am sure you are familiar 13 with it, but I would think that that told it as it was. 14 You have described the understaffing and the, shall we 293 0. 15 say, decreasing difficulties in terms of motivation of 16 the staff in the 1960's; is that right? 17 Definitely and not least amount of those influences was Α. 18 the constant disappointment of the promises of help 19 from the Department. And there are other areas of 20 management beside Oblates. The constant failure to 21 deliver on promises. Right through the 1950's and the 22 1960's a constant failure to deliver on promises of 23 improvement. 24 294 You have you have described, or agreed, that there was Q. serious discipline problems from time to time in 25 26 Daingean, including riots; isn't that right? 27 Α. In the period 1940 to 1973 I think there were three of 28 these disturbances, yes.

29

295

Q.

And you have described the hierarchy of boys and boys

- 1 running in gangs in Daingean; is that not right?
- 2 A. Well your language is a bit colourful, I think.
- 3 296 Q. You don't disagree with me, do you?
- 4 A. I disagree with your language.
- 5 297 Q. My language, I see.
- 6 A. When you have boys you have gangs and there is nothing
- 7 sinister about that.
- 8 298 Q. Well it is not a good sign, you would accept, of any
- 9 institution if there are three riots, one of which the
- 10 boys are preparing iron bars, knuckle dusters and
- 11 knives, that's not a good sign; is it?
- 12 A. No, but what is it a bad sign of, that's the question.
- 13 299 Q. Well it might be a sign that the boys were unhappy and
- 14 wanted a mutiny and break out of the institution; isn't
- that right?
- 16 A. It was certainly bad, yes.
- 17 300 0. It was bad?
- 18 A. Yes. I mean, they were locked up there, they were sent
- there against their will and I think anybody who is
- 20 locked up against their will wanted to get out, wants
- 21 to get away. So I think from that point of view there
- is nothing surprising in that.
- 23 301 Q. It is not surprising?
- A. I don't say it is not surprising that they would riot,
- I say it is not surprising that they would want to get
- away.
- 27 302 Q. Yes. If they were rioting to get away and to mutiny
- 28 against the Order --
- 29 A. Well...(INTERJECTION).

- 1 303 Q. I will just finish my question and you can answer. If
 that is the case they knew if they got away they would
 probably be caught again but they just wanted to get
 out, it is not a good sign of what was going on in the
 school; is it?
- A. One would have to make an inquiry into that. The
 Gardaí did make an inquiry into these events and their
 general finding was that it was just boys wanted to get
 away, it wasn't they had any special grievances. That
 was the Garda's conclusions, it was boys being boys and
 quite -- well, they were pretty tough boys some of
 these boys.
- And you heard the evidence given in Phase II in relation to the details of physical abuse with people being punched and hit, not just with straps but with anything that people could get their hands on in one instance, hurleys, ropes and straps. You heard all that; didn't you?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 305 Q. And in one instance a boy being punched and his
 21 testicles being driven into his stomach, he felt, did
 22 you hear that?
- 23 A. I heard that, yes.
- 24 306 Q. Doesn't that -- and all the allegations of sexual abuse 25 and lack of control, doesn't it all add up that, in 26 fact, there was no control in Daingean?
- 27 A. I would say that is a completely false picture.
- 28 307 Q. In fact, for the boys there and indeed members of the 29 Brothers as well it was a living hell?

1		A.	Completely false picture.	
2			MR. O' LEARY:	Thank you.
3		A.	Thank you.	
4				
5			END OF EXAMINATION OF FR. H	UGHES BY MR. O'LEARY
6				
7			THE CHAIRPERSON:	All right. Who is next?
8				sorry, Ms. Fergus.
9			MS. FERGUS:	Just one thing I want to
10				explore with you, Father.
11			THE CHAIRPERSON:	It makes sense for
12				everybody to ask the
13			questions, Mr. Maguire, and	to come back to you. If
14			Ms. Fergus has anything, it	gives you an opportunity to
15			pick up on anything that yo	u wanted to pick up on.
16				
17			FR. MI CHAEL HUGHES WAS QUES	TIONED, AS FOLLOWS, BY THE
18			COMMI SSI ON:	
19				
20	308	Q.	MS. FERGUS:	The Oblates have
21				articulated their aims in
22			reformatory schooling in a	number of documents, which I
23			will open, in the 1950's an	d, in fact, I think in your
24			general statement to the Co	mmission that accompanied
25			the response to the complai	nant's statements?
26		A.	Yes.	
27	309	Q.	I am going to open a docume	nt dated 9th October 1954.
28		A.	This is in the document you	gave me, is it?
29	310	Q.	Yes. This was written by t	he Resident Manager at the

1			time, Fr. Reedy, to the Minister for Education. I will
2			give you the reference number. DEDAN 0285, page 10. I
3			think it is in the second there you are.
4		A.	Is this the one addressed to General Mulcahy?
5	311	Q.	That's correct, that's the one. In that document
6			Fr. Reedy describes the aims and purposes of the
7			school, I will just open that passage, he says:
8			"The reformatory is primarily a school,
9			not a prison."
10			
11			I should interject that this was written because of the
12			position at the school due to falling numbers and he
13			was appealing to the Minister for Education for a
14			review of the numbers being sent to school. He says:
15			
16			"The aim and purpose of this school is to instruct boys in religion and develop their moral sense. We try to
17			develop their moral sense. We try to build up character by replacing bad
18			habits by good, thus making better boys and men. Teaching of trades, et
19			build up character by replacing bad habits by good, thus making better boys and men. Teaching of trades, et cetera, is merely subsidiary, all the school activities are intended to keep
20			activities are but a means to the
21			proper development of character. This process takes time.
22			Very many of these boys, when they come
23			here, are so warped in outlook and character that it takes at least 12
24			months before any sign of change for the better takes place. Even when positive signs of proper development
25			followed by a period of progress are
26			evident there are many relapses and periods of instability. Not all are
27			reformable. Some fall away when exposed to temptation, either through a
28			weakness of mentality or of will.
29			However, reformation can only begin when the boy has adjusted himself to a more or less normal outlook and has

1			become receptive of instruction and amenable to discipline."
2			allieriable to di sci pi i ne.
3			
4			Would you accept that that encapsulates the sense of
5			the aims and purposes of the reformatory and what the
6			Oblates were trying to do?
7		A.	At that period I would say yes, yes. It would get
8			modified later on, but you want to go on a bit. But
9			yes, it was reflecting obviously what the manager
10			was(INTERJECTION).
11	312	Q.	Was feeling?
12		A.	Yes.
13	313	Q.	Would you accept that in the 1950's, at least, the
14			Oblates, the Order, failed to achieve these aims by
15			allowing the situation to develop and remain unchanged
16			over that period, where the boys and staff were living
17			in the substandard and appalling conditions that have
18			been describe in contemporaneous documents?
19		A.	I would say it is very hard to measure to what extent
20			they succeeded and to what extent they failed. They
21			were obviously working under great difficulties, but I
22			think the judgment of the men at the time, it seems to
23			me, was that they were doing enough to make it
24			worthwhile, I wouldn't say they had a sense of failure.
25			They had a sense of great difficulty, of the great
26			difficulties that they were facing, but I wouldn't say
27			they had a sense of failure.
28	314	Q.	It is not so much that they had a sense of failure, but
29			do you think they failed to achieve their aims?

1		A.	What would you take as a measure of success? I think
2			they felt that sufficient people went out and were able
3			to live a normal life again, if I can put it that way,
4			to make it worthwhile, yes.
5	315	Q.	Would you accept that following hearing on the Phase
6			II, or the Phase II evidence, that was given by
7			complainants, that there were a large number of
8			students who went through the reformatory in Daingean
9			who feel anything but that?
10		A.	Yes, I think you know, several times in the two
11			hearings we have had before, well in the two public
12			hearings, one in module one, the emergence hearing, and
13			then in Phase I of this module, we said quite clearly
14			that we recognise that many of the boys went on from
15			Daingean to lead sad and tragic lives. Those were the
16			words I used, I think, and that the Provincial used
17			when he spoke. But also pointing out that many went on
18			to live productive and fruitful lives.
19	316	Q.	Do you think that the Oblates bear you mentioned
20			earlier on, I think, in response to Mr. O'Leary, that
21			lack of resources and lack of finances were the main
22			reasons why that situation pertained as it did in
23			Daingean, in terms of appalling buildings and poor
24			conditions for staff and pupils. Do you think it is
25			fair and reasonable of the Oblates to rely as heavily
26			as you do on the lack of resources to justify what has
27			been described in the documentation about the
28			conditions?
29		A.	Well, it depends what kind of resources you are

1 referring to, you see. I think Fr. Reedy in one of 2 these documents that you have there, does say that 3 ultimately it isn't a question of material resources, it is a question, as he says in that quotation you gave 4 5 there, on what's going on inside a person. lack of resources that was fatal for the work 6 7 ultimately was the lack of assessment of the true needs 8 of pupils before they ever came near the school. 9 then the lack of psychiatric services to help the boys 10 to deal with their real problems. These were the most 11 serious missing resources. But what about the lack of a decent place to have their 12 317 Q. 13 evening meal in and proper cutlery? I agree totally, yes. I mean, the refectory, we were 14 Α. never tired of bringing it to the attention of the 15 16 Board of Works, whose refectory it was, that this was 17 a -- we found this somewhere or other, the references 18 to the intervention made by an Oblate priest called 19 Fr. O'Driscoll and it was he, I think, who did the basic research, that made it public, that this was a 20 21 British cavalry stables and that the boys were -- a 200 22 year old cavalry stable, that the State had provided as 23 the refectory for the boys in the reform school and he 24 was extremely angry and he wrote to the authorities 25 about it. He did indeed. 26 Again, you are saying it was the State, 318 Q. 27 lack of the State's funds, the State's fault, what

you think, on reflection?

28

29

about the Oblates? Could they have done anything, do

- 1 A. We could have marched out, yes.
- 2 319 Q. That's it?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 320 Q. You could have done nothing to improve the conditions
- 5 at that time?
- 6 A. No. Believe it or not, we were very poor in those
- days, we didn't have two ha' pennies to rub together,
- 8 frankly.
- 9 321 Q. I am just wondering about that in terms of -- following
- 10 the Letter from Fr. Reedy to General Mulcahy, the
- 11 Secretary of the Department of Education wrote to the
- Minister and said he was going take a trip himself to
- 13 Dai ngean?
- 14 A. Oh, yes, the famous letter, yes.
- 15 322 Q. It is quite a long letter and I am not going to go
- through it in full detail, I will give the reference,
- 17 DEDAN 0285 at page 31. He paid a visit and it appears
- that it was a very long visit, he was there for the
- 19 entire day?
- 20 A. No, it was a portion of the day he says he was there.
- 21 323 Q. I accept you might know that more clearly. But he
- certainly had a long visit?
- A. No, I think he says quite clearly it was only a portion
- of the day.
- 25 324 Q. Well, he was there for the evening meal included in
- 26 this day and he looked around and he's very critical of
- the conditions, very critical of the conditions?
- A. He is, yes.
- 29 325 Q. He describes the conditions for the boys and contrasts

1			that with the conditions for the milking herd, where
2			they had a beautiful cut stone building which was well
3			maintained and cleaned, who paid for that and looked
4			after that?
5		A.	That would have come out of the funds generated from
6			the farm presumably.
7	326	Q.	It seems to have been quite a large farm, it says it
8			was 220 acres; is that right?
9		A.	Yes.
10	327	Q.	It had 30 milking cows, I think he describes. Do you
11			think anything could have been done for the boys to
12			improve their situation with some of the funds that
13			were raised through the farm?
14		A.	Well I suppose maybe it could, instead of doing that
15			work they could have done other works. But I think the
16			school had taken the very firm position, "look, this is
17			your building, you, the State, it is your building, you
18			put it in order".
19	328	Q.	That was back in the 1950's?
20		A.	Yes.
21	329	Q.	And you continued in that school until the Kennedy
22			Commission decided, or suggested, that it was closed
23			down immediately?
24		A.	Yes, that it should be closed down, I think it should
25			be clear, because of the nature of the premises and not
26			for any other reason.
27	330	Q.	Why did the Oblates stay through the 1950's and 1960's
28			if they felt it so strongly?
29		Α.	I think I mentioned earlier on, optimism, I think it

1			was	a mistake.				
2	331	Q.	Just	one Last quo	tation from	that I	etter from	the
3			Depa	rtmental Secr	etary, he sa	ays:		
4 5				"Fr. Reedy, understand, boys."	the principa generally po	al, is, opular	I with the	
6 7	332	Q.	THE	CHAI RPERSON:		•	Fr. Hughes have withdr	3
8			the	school, he sa	nys in hindsi	ight th	ney should ha	ave
9			wi th	drawn from th	ne school, c	l earl y	they were ma	anagement
10			fai l	ures, you fac	ce up to tha	t very	cl earl y?	
11		A.	Yes.					
12			THE	CHAI RPERSON:		That's	what he sai	d to
13						Mr. O'l	Leary.	
141516171819				"Clearly the failures. I withdrawn fr should have Eventually the 1970's."	WI thdrawn to	rom the) cchool	
20	333	Q.	MS.	FERGUS:		There i	s one final	thi ng I
21					,	want to	o put to see	what Fr.
22			Hugh	es has to say	about this	commer	nt in the sa	me
23			docu	ment where he	e says:			
24								
25								
26				"Fr. Reedy t	the principal	l, is,	 with the	
27				understand, boys, calls very much if	them scouts	but I	doubt	
28				made to refo	orm these bo	vš as c	one ·	
29				ordinarily u doubt also t general atti	theread to tude of the	o the w Father	wordand rs in	

1		charge of these boys a for the purposes of th	are the right ones
2		Tol the pulposes of the	ile serioor .
3		Do you have any comment to	make on that as a criticism?
4	A.	I would say there was a str	range relationship between
5		the Department and the scho	ool. I mean, obviously, the
6		Department had a big respon	nsibility for the school but
7		they were far from acceptin	ng it. There was what I
8		would describe as a very ur	neasy relationship between
9		them. I don't think it was	s helped by these remarks,
10		which were not made to the	Oblates on this, they were
11		made in-house so to speak,	inside the Department, and
12		so far as I know he never o	did anything else about it
13		afterwards so it couldn't h	have been very close to his
14		heart. There was an uneasy	y relationship between the
15		school and the Department a	and there are many of these
16		kind of passing remarks in	the interdepartmental notes
17		that go around. I am sure	you have seen them in the
18		documentation. I wonder wh	ny they didn't speak about
19		you know, deal with it in a	a more open way rather than
20		making remarks, as it were,	, in secret. I think it
21		would have been good to hea	ar what they had to say when
22		they questioned themselves.	
23			
24		MS. FERGUS:	Thank you.
25			
26		END OF QUESTIONING OF FR. H	HUGHES BY THE COMMISSION
27			
28		THE CHAIRPERSON:	Very good, thank you very
29			much. The Department

1			doesn't want to ask my quest	tions?
2			MR. DI GNAM:	No.
3			THE CHAIRPERSON:	Very good. Thank you very
4				much. Mr. Magui re?
5				
6			FR. HUGHES WAS THEN EXAMINED	D, AS FOLLOWS, BY
7			MR. MAGUI RE:	
8				
9	334	Q.	MR. MAGUIRE:	Fr. Hughes, just to be
10				clear about it, I think
11			that it has been pointed out	t that you are here you
12			are, first of all, an archiv	vist, is that correct, with
13			the Order?	
14			THE CHAIRPERSON:	Mr. Maguire, just a basic
15				housekeeping point. Do you
16			see the black microphone tha	at is in front of Mr.
17			O'Leary would you mind just	putting that in front of
18			you and pressing the little	button so that it is red.
19			One is for the stenographer	and the other is for
20			thank you very much	
21	335	Q.	MR. MAGUIRE:	Fr. Hughes, just to get
22				your position clear. You
23			are an archivist with the On	rder, is that correct?
24		A.	That's right.	
25	336	Q.	And you have been, obviously	y, authorised to give
26			evidence on behalf of the Or	rder to come here and to
27			talk about matters for the (Order; is that right?
28		A.	That's right, yes.	
29	337	Q.	I think that you have indica	ated that, aside from two

1 short periods when you were a student for a couple of 2 weeks in two summers, that you didn't have any 3 involvement at all with the school, or certainly anything to do with the management or the organisation 4 5 of the school as such? 6 A. That's right. 7 338 You mentioned, and it has just been referred to now in Ο. 8 relation to the management failures which you have 9 acknowledged on behalf of the Order and you have 10 clearly done that here, and you followed on by saying 11 that you feel with hindsight that the Order perhaps 12 should have withdrawn from the institution, that that 13 was a way that it could have been dealt with. 14 didn't do that and it continued on in hope that things 15 would improve and, as you point out, they ultimately 16 did improve but the school was ultimately closed down. 17 The failures at the management level that you have 18 referred to, did they impact on the boys as far as you 19 are concerned? 20 They must have, yes. Α. 21 339 What is the Order's view now of those failures, those Q. 22 management failures which you have acknowledged? 23 Α. Well, we are sorry. We apologise for them. 24 apologise for not withdrawing earlier. There was one 25 insight you know that Fr. McGonigle had into this thing 26 which I think says it all. I did quote it in the 27 hearing previously but I think it does deserve a second 28 hearing, if I can find it now. It says it all I think. 29 He was writing to a probation officer on 14th November,

1	1969. This is on the disks that the Department of
2	Education provided us with, disk No. 1, File 247 at
3	page 13. He says this, he's talking about a particular
4	boy who had very serious psychiatric problems and he
5	was in the school. I call him Q.
6	"Since the other hove who were more
7	"Since the other boys, who were more disturbed than Q have gone home"
8	I think on holidays or something. Or maybe not,
9	because it was November.
10	"I think he is better"
11	I think he is better
12	
13	He's settling down better in the school.
14	
15	"but there is always the danger that
16	"but there is always the danger that someone with disrupting tendencies could use a boy like Q just to "keep the pot boiling". My greatelike him
17	nowever, is that when a boy like him,
18	who is not delinquent per še"
19	Meaning that this boy wasn't really a delinquent, he
20	was a boy with troubles and serious problems.
21	" not delinguent per ce but only by
22	"not delinquent per se but only by accident on account of his deep
23	disturbances, is not treated for his real sickness then the traumatic effect
24	of his being forced to live in a delinquent environment is bound to be
25	most detrimental. And when finished here there is every possibility that he
26	will just return to his deviant behaviour immediately on being released. So, no one is being just and
27	fair to anyone. Without their knowing
28	the reason's why or the wherefores such boys kick back against society for the
29	injustices towards them."

1			There was a clear recognition there that boys had been
2			sent to the school, that it was an injustice to send
3			them there and that it would do them more harm than
4			good, and that the only remedy of that of course was
5			that they would have been sorted out before they
6			started. Eventually Fr. McGonigle was persuaded that
7			the days of residential care were over, and I am sure
8			you have read that in the documents. He found himself
9			in trouble with both the Department of Education and
10			his own Superiors for suggesting that and he did
11			actually have to accept to go on to become involved in
12			the new facility in Scoil Ard Mhuire.
13			
14			In the light of that then there were boys like that
15			being sent by to courts to the school, unassessed,
16			without the availability in the State or to the school
17			of a psychiatric service to deal with these problems.
18			We should have seen that and said, look, we can't go on
19			with this.
20	340	Q.	You say that many of the school staff were not
21			professionally trained, as they are today; is that
22			correct?
23		A.	That's right. Well that was true of course of all care
24			staff at that time. There wasn't a care profession at
25			that time, it was just coming into being.
26	341	Q.	And that there weren't sufficient psychological and
27			psychiatric supports to cater for the needs of the
28			pupils, such as the one that you have just referred to
29			there?

1	Δ	Yes.
1	Λ.	163.

- 2 342 Q. And you have apologised for the impact that had on the boys. Did it also effect the Oblate's that were in the school?
- A. Clearly, as we have seen, and as I think I have said to the counsel there, the stresses on the staff in the late 60's were very grave and I think one has to say that our management failed its men by allowing them to be in such a situation.
- 10 343 Q. Now with regard to both physical and sexual abuse then, 11 what's your attitude on behalf of the Order in relation 12 to that?
- 13 If I can refer to my notes here. Well, obviously, with Α. 14 regard to these complaints it is the Commission which 15 will make its mind up. But if there were acts of 16 physical and sexual abuse then unreservedly apologise 17 for them and condemn them. But we do have to point out the serious difficulties, very serious difficulties 18 19 that there are in the way of coming to conclusions in You know, the members of the staff who 20 this regard. 21 are still alive and who have been accused deny any 22 Many of those accused are dead and cannot defend themselves or give their account of events that 23 24 occurred some thirty to sixty years ago. So these, I 25 think, are real difficulties in the way of coming to a 26 decision about these matters.
- 27 344 Q. But if the Commission makes findings that there were 28 instances of sexual abuse, what do you say about that?
- 29 A. Well, we acknowledge that the consequences for the boys

1			affected are incalculable and we are deeply sorry for
2			that.
3	345	Q.	With regard to corporal punishment, and there has been
4			reference here this morning and, obviously, there has
5			been reference in the hearings to allegations of
6			corporal punishment, what do you say about that?
7		A.	Well, again, the infliction of excessive corporal
8			punishment would have serious psychological effects for
9			the boys. We accept and we don't argue that the
10			punishment as described by some of the complainants in
11			Phase II was unreasonably severe. We acknowledge too
12			that punishment, for example for absconding, attempting
13			to escape and that kind of thing, was over severe in
14			itself, quite apart from the way that it was
15			administered, but it was an excessive punishment for
16			running away. We do remind ourselves and the
17			Commission that corporal punishment was a standard
18			practice at that time in primary and secondary schools.
19			It not only sanctioned corporal punishment but laid
20			down regulations for it. It didn't become illegal here
21			in Irish schools until 1982, more than a decade after
22			Fr. McGonigle phased it out from his school.
23			I would like on behalf of the Oblates to acknowledge
24			these shortcomings, to acknowledge that they had
25			serious consequences for the boys in our care and that
26			we unreservedly apologise for that.
27	346	Q.	Can I ask you some general questions in relation to the
28			reformatory system, you have talked about it again here
29			this morning. Do you think that in general terms that

1		the reformatory system could ever actually have worked
2		as it was devised?
3	A.	Yes, I have given some reflection to that. It is not
4		an easy question to answer obviously, you know, with
5		kind of yes or no. Looking at it I would say that it
6		does seem to have worked quite well up until the
7		1920's. Thereafter there were difficulties. Briefly,
8		there were the upheavals in the 1920's, which were
9		difficult for everyone. Then came the Second World War
10		and all the shortages. Then the slow pace at which the
11		economy progressed to what we have today here in
12		Ireland, with a result that there were not the
13		resources in the State to cope with all of society's
14		needs. I would say that unfortunately politics instead
15		of dealing with that situation in a transparent way
16		worked to conceal the true state of affairs.
17		
18		To all this we can add the slow pace of the development
19		of childcare policy and childcare training here in
20		Ireland. In a word, disadvantaged children stood very
21		low in society's priorities in the years in question.
22		I would say that the poverty of a school like St.
23		Conlath's was a choice that society made.
24		MR. O'LEARY: Mr. Chairman, I don't want
25		to interrupted my Friend,
26		but I wonder whether or not the Chairman and Commission
27		are aware that I think, in fairness to the Father, he
28		is reading from a prepared statement as such. If that
29		is allowable it is allowable.

1	THE CHAIRPERSON:	It is an inquiry.
2	MR. O'LEARY:	Very good. I just wanted
3		to make sure that you were
4	aware of it, that's all.	
5	THE CHAIRPERSON:	I am aware now.
6	MR. O'LEARY:	l am obliged.
7	MR. MAGUIRE:	I don't know what the
8		significance of the
9	interrupt is. The witness	has notes and it is clear he
10	has been using them in answ	ver to all the questions that
11	have been asked beforehand.	It is not a question of
12	statement.	
13	THE CHAIRPERSON:	Speaking for myself, Mr.
14		O'Leary and Mr. Maguire, if
15	somebody is reading from so	omething at this point in our
16	investigation I have no dis	scomfort with it because it
17	may indicate that it is mor	re reflected upon, which is
18	specifically what Fr. Hughe	es said.
19	MR. O' LEARY:	Very well.
20	THE CHAIRPERSON:	Because in other
21		circumstances I could
22	understand somebody being a	asked a question, even at the
23	end by his own counsel, I c	could understand somebody
24	giving an answer and feelir	ng afterwards and we have
25	sometimes said 'well, look,	if you really felt it was
26	important write into us aft	cerwards and say what I
27	really meant was'. I mean i	t is for us to consider it.
28	MR. O'LEARY:	In terms of submissions,
29		yes. Very well.

1		THE CHAIRPERSON:	Sorry, where we were was,
2			Fr. Hughes, you were saying
3		that, let me go back a tiny	bit, that the way
4		disadvantaged children were	dealt with
5		was(INTERJECTION)	
6	A.	Well they were low in prior	ities.
7		THE CHAIRPERSON:	Was in effect a decision.
8			You said something of that
9		ki nd.	
10	A.	Yes. I think I go to Fr. M	cGonigle, he was making his
11		appeal for help and somebod	y I think in the Department
12		said, "well, Father", he sa	id, "there are no votes for
13		reformatory schools". It h	ad no weight then in the
14		battle for money. And this	is what so incensed
15		Fr. O'Driscoll.	
16		THE CHAIRPERSON:	I thought you were meaning
17			something a little further
18		when you said about disadva	ntage and poverty, because I
19		thought you were going beyo	nd just money.
20	A.	Well I am talking about the	pri ori ti es
21		of(INTERJECTION)	
22		THE CHAIRPERSON:	You carry on and I can ask
23			these later.
24	A.	I am talking about the assi	gnment of the resources of
25		society to the needs of soc	iety, and the needs of these
26		disadvantaged children were	down there.
27		THE CHAIRPERSON:	Yes. Please take up where
28			you left off, by note,
29		statement or otherwise.	

A. That is my first reflection. The second reflection is that the various agencies involved in this work do not seem to have worked well together. It is not a joined up system. With respect, Judges did their own thing. They had a distrust to the reformatories, for example. The Department's of Education and Justice don't seem to have been working as a team. The Department of Finance was in a world of its own and, as I have said already in evidence, the Department of Education and the school were very uneasy partners.

I don't exclude the school from all blame in that. I know that vexed question about accounts and the schools like ours not rendering financial accounts, to this date that was an extraordinary thing. But we have seen also how the Department's cast a very dark eye on the schools.

Thirdly, my final reflection would be that it obviously militated against the success of the school that the boy were in the school against their will, as coercion is not a good basis for human growth. The absence of other facilities and the disturbed nature of many of the boys led to more and more emphasis on containment. The containment. If the element of voluntary presence could have been introduced, as it was in a sense at the foundation of the system in the 19th century, I think system as we envisaged it could have worked more successfully. What I am referring to there, as you

1			know, is the fact that these reformatories were set up
2			originally as a better alternative to actual prison.
3			So, rather than being sent to the adult prisons they
4			were being given the chance to go to this better
5			environment. If they didn't measure up to that then
6			back to prison they would go. So there was a sense
7			there in which there was a voluntary commitment there.
8			Not much maybe, but some.
9			
10			If the school had been allowed to be a completely open
11			school, and if there had been a separate closed school
12			for the recalcitrant it would have been much better.
13			The problem of dealing with disturbed boys doesn't seem
14			to have been solved yet, but we do stand over the
15			essential idea of our reformatory, that involving boys
16			in trouble in an active and busy community life of
17			work, sport, and education could be very beneficial,
18			with the provisos already made as to resources,
19			assessment and commitment. Those are my few
20			reflections.
21	347	Q.	MR. MAGUIRE: You have made your
22			acknowledgments and you
23			have apologise in relation to that and the work of the
24			Commission, obviously, is to come to a conclusion as to
25			the evidence that it has heard. As far as the Order is
26			concerned I think it awaits and looks forward to the
27			result of the finding of the Commission. Has the
28			process been of use, of benefit, the whole process?
29		A.	Well I think it has, yes. It is a process. We have

1	seen it as a process of moving forward. Each phase has
2	its own importance. In Phase I, I was asked about
3	the I think Judge Ryan asked me if I had been
4	empowered to make concessions, or some such word like
5	that and I said, yes, we had discussed it but we felt
6	that the time to do that was after the evidence had
7	been heard in Phase II and that it is what the process
8	was. I can understand people are very impatient and
9	want to get to the end, but we have listened to the
10	evidence, it was shocking in the sense that the counsel
11	said and we have accepted a lot of it. But what we
12	would think is that there is a balance to be struck,
13	that some of the things that the complainants said go
14	in a very exaggerated way in one direction. But on the
15	other hand it would be foolish for us to be going too
16	far in the other direction. I think we rely upon the
17	Commission to come to a balanced judgment in the light
18	of all the evidence.
19	MR. MAGUIRE: I don't have any further
20	questi ons.
21	
22	END OF EXAMINATION OF FR. HUGHES BY MR. MAGUIRE
23	
24	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you very much. Now
25	Mr. Lowe, have you anything
26	to ask Br. Hughes?
27	
28	
29	

1			FR. HUGHES WAS FURTHER Q	<u>UESTIONED, AS FOLLOWS, BY THE</u>
2			<u>COMMI SSI ON</u> :	
3				
4	348	Q.	MR. LOWE:	You talked earlier about
5				management having failed
6			because of lack of resou	rces and Looking through
7			McGonigle's papers, and	so on, he is constantly
8			complaining about the la	ck of resources. But, however,
9			there doesn't seem to be	within the Oblates thinking
10			any idea that the system	itself might have been wrong,
11			of putting 150 plus boys	who had committed crimes
12			together in an all male	environment may not have been
13			beneficial. And as late	as 1968/69, 87,000 was to be
14			spent in upgrading kitch	en and classrooms, just before
15			the school closed. Was	there any thinking within the
16			Oblates, who set themsel	ves up as experts in this
17			field, of a new system w	hich might deal better with it
18			than the old system of Da	ai ngean?
19		A.	Yes. One of our priests	, as you know, went to the
20			States and came back ful	l of new ideas. For one thing,
21			and I think again as Fr.	McGonigle explains, when
22			corporal punishment was	phased out it left a situation
23			of great indiscipline in	the school and that added to
24			the stresses that we were	e talking about earlier on. I
25			would remind you that wh	en corporal punishment was
26			abolished in the State i	n 1982 special services were
27			set up to help the staff	of schools deal with this
28			situation, the new situa	tion of discipline caused by
29			this complete change of	outlook, you know no corporal

1			punishment. I am afraid th	ere was nothing like that
2			available in Daingean. So	the man in question then, he
3			came back and he was able t	o put in place a different
4			system of discipline based	on rewards and punishment
5			rewards and privilege, poin	ts for good behaviour and so
6			on, and giving boys privile	ges for good behaviour and
7			taking them away for bad be	haviour and so on. But he
8			also expressed great skepti	cism about the system itself
9			and he put forward the view	to the Department and to
10			the Oblates that the days o	f residential care were over
11			for boys like this. He arg	ued it out and he persuaded
12			Fr. McGonigle and they foug	ht it out in the Department.
13			But the Department was horr	ified at this idea and spoke
14			with the Provincial and per	suaded him to speak to
15			Fr. McGonigle to back away	from that. Which is what
16			happened.	
17			MR. LOWE:	Okay, thank you.
18			THE CHAIRPERSON:	Now Ms. Shanley.
19	349	Q.	MS. SHANLEY:	If I could just ask you one
20				question, Father. What
21			presence does the Oblate Or	der have in Ireland today?
22		A.	What houses?	
23	350	Q.	MS. SHANLEY:	Yes.
24		A.	We have mainly parish work.	We have some retreat work.
25			One of our most prominent m	inistries would be the
26			pilgrimage to Lourdes, annu	al pilgrimage to Lourdes and
27			the events surrounding the	shrine we have in Inchicore
28			of Our Lady of Lourdes.	
29	351	Q.	MS. SHANLEY:	Are you nationally

1				organised as an Order? Do
2			you have senior personnel in	n Ireland or are you linked
3			with the British?	
4		A.	As a group we span both side	es of the water, yes. The
5			headquarters is here in Irel	and.
6	352	Q.	MS. SHANLEY:	The headquarters of the
7				whole Order?
8		A.	No, the whole Order is an ir	nternational Order. But it
9			is spread all over the world	d. Our section of it.
10	353	Q.	MS. SHANLEY:	But your section is
11				headquartered here?
12		A.	Yes. We are a province, if	you call it that. The
13			headquarters is in Dublin, y	yes.
14	354	Q.	MS. SHANLEY:	And you have senior
15				personnel located here in
16			Dubl i n?	
17		A.	Oh yes.	
18	355	Q.	MS. SHANLEY:	Are you part of that
19				seni or?
20		A.	I have been, yes.	
21	356	Q.	MS. SHANLEY:	Are you now part of the
22				senior personnel of the
23			Order?	
24		A.	Well I am just about past al	I of that. I'm a senior
25			citizen now. You are wonder	ring why I am here maybe,
26			why I am taking this role?	Is that it?
27	357	Q.	MS. SHANLEY:	Yes. I suppose in a way I
28				am wondering. I suppose
29			the Oblates were responsible	e for running one of the

1	most important institutions in childcare over many,
2	many decades and I am just wondering that they aren't
3	represented at decision making level, if you like, at
4	this Inquiry.
5 A.	Oh. Well, I am delegated by the Provincial to
6	represent him. I do have some experience. Maybe I
7	don't know if it is relevant, but I was a member of the
8	Board of Management in Scoil Ard Mhuire for about four
9	years.
10 358 Q.	MS. SHANLEY: Sorry to cut across you,
11	and I don't mean to
12	interrupt you, but why were you delegated? Why did the
13	management of the Order themselves not choose to
14	attend?
15 A.	This particular job fell to my lot because I was the
16	archivist. Because it is very largely a matter of
17	history, of sifting through documents and handling
18	documents. I mean an archivist may sound to you like
19	somebody in a back room somewhere, but as archivist I
20	have received all the complaints, I have been
21	responsible for responding to them, I have been in the
22	front line of the work then all along. I have been
23	responsible for, with the legal team obviously, for
24	responding to them all. Generally then I have been the
25	independent lead person in the whole Inquiry.
26	Again, another aspect is that I do really feel that in
27	a question like this, and it is an historical inquiry,
28	the question of authority, in a sense that you are
29	talking about, it doesn't really enter a lot into it.

1			I mean facts are facts, his	tory is history and I just
2			know a lot more about it th	an the Provincial does. His
3			authority he couldn't ha	ve answered these questions
4			that have been put to me.	
5			MS. SHANLEY:	Okay. Thank you for that.
6	359	Q.	THE CHAIRPERSON:	Just arising out of and
7				following on from that,
8			Father, was there a decisio	n made 'how are we going to
9			deal with this? Oh, we wil	l get Fr. Hughes the
10			archivist to deal with it?'	. Was a decision made? How
11			did that decision come to be	e made?
12		A.	It grew I think. It just g	rew.
13	360	Q.	THE CHAIRPERSON:	So whose call was it in the
14				end?
15		A.	Oh, the Provincial.	
16	361	Q.	THE CHAIRPERSON:	And he's the Provincial for
17				the UK and Ireland; is that
18			ri ght?	
19		A.	That's right.	
20	362	Q.	THE CHAIRPERSON:	And the Oblates are an
21				international Congregation
22			of course.	
23		A.	Yes.	
24	363	Q.	THE CHAIRPERSON:	And the headquarters are?
25		A.	In Rome.	
26	364	Q.	THE CHAIRPERSON:	In Rome. The other
27				Provinces of the Order,
28			have they run reformatories	or industrial schools?
29		A.	That's a hard question beca	use it is a fairly big

1			Order.	
2	365	Q.	THE CHAIRPERSON:	If you don't know that's
3				all right.
4		A.	I long, long time ago we di	id have a reformatory in
5			Australia, but that was age	es ago. We gave that up Long
6			before all these present to	roubles started.
7	366	Q.	THE CHAIRPERSON:	Are we talking in the 50's
8				or the 20's?
9		A.	I would say back, yeah, in	20's. I couldn't be too
10			sure now but it was a long,	, long time ago. Apart from
11			that we have been involved	as you know there are a
12			lot of inquiries in Canada	about Indian schools and
13			things like that.	
14	367	Q.	THE CHAIRPERSON:	Yes.
15		A.	The Oblates were quite pro	minent at missionaries in
16			Canada so naturally we were	e caught up in that whole
17			inquiry as well.	
18	368	Q.	THE CHAIRPERSON:	Is there an international
19				exchange of information
20			between different provinces	s of the Congregation?
21		A.	Yes. Yes, we have quite go	ood intercommunications, yes.
22	369	Q.	THE CHAIRPERSON:	So would people from
23				Canada have said 'look, we
24			have been having terrible	trouble with allegations,
25			some of them are true, some	e of them are not. Or the
26			whole system, or we are lo	oking at it again'. Would
27			that be something that is u	reflected in the transactions
28			of the provinces?	
29		Α.	In an informal way, yes.	There hasn't been enough

1			commonality between the two	situations It would be
2			you know, a question of exc	
3				ons. Naturally, I would be
4			interested in what they do	-
5			what we do. But I wasn't,	as it were, a situation
6			where they would cooperate	so to speak.
7	370	Q.	THE CHAIRPERSON:	Was there a body of
8				information or knowledge or
9			expertise available?	
10		A.	No. Not that I am aware of	•
11	371	Q.	Not that you are aware of?	
12		A.	Not that has happened to me	, no.
13			THE CHAIRPERSON:	All right, thank you very
14				much.
15				
16			END OF FURTHER QUESTIONING	OF FR. HUGHES BY THE
17			COMMISSION_	
18				
19			MR. MAGUIRE:	Just before you conclude,
20				Chairman, because there
21			seems to be some confusion	in relation to this, you
22			will recollect that when Fr	. Murphy was sworn.
23			THE CHAIRPERSON:	That is right, at the
24				emergence hearings, yes.
25			MR. MAGUIRE:	He was the then Provincial
26				of the Order and attended
27			as such and said all he had	to do.
28			THE CHAIRPERSON:	It is perfectly true that
29				the first hearing was the

1		emergence hearings way back	in 2004, in July 2004 when
2		we got started, and it was	indeed Fr. Murphy.
3		MR. MAGUIRE:	His term of office, if
4			that's the right word to
5		use, has only just come to	an end in fact in the last
6		month. But he was here at	the hearings and he was here
7		attending. I think I am ju	st slightly concerned that
8		there be some view being ta	ken that it was being dealt
9		with at a lesser level or s	omething of that nature.
10		THE CHAIRPERSON:	I think that was the
11			purpose of Ms. Shanley's
12		questions and, certainly, t	hat was the area that I was
13		interested in, as to how th	e decision and what was it,
14		and we have had the answer	to that and it is better to
15		say it out straight and ask	the question. But thank
16		you, and you are right to p	oint out that Fr. Murphy,
17		the Provincial, did in fact	attend and give evidence at
18		the hearing.	
19		MR. MAGUIRE:	And also I attended some of
20			the sessions as well.
21		THE CHAIRPERSON:	I see, very good.
22	A.	He attended all the Phase I	l sessions, pretty well all
23		of them.	
24		THE CHAIRPERSON:	Very good. Thank you very
25			much.
26		MR. MAGUIRE:	l am obliged.
27			
28		THE HEARING THEN CONCLUDED	AT 12: 53 P.M.
29			

• -	<u>1968 [3] - 39:11.</u> 43:2, 46:4	5:00 _[1] - 5:27	<u>26:13, 27:23, 28:3,</u> 28:9, 29:2, 29:27,	<u>40:25, 43:12, 43:16,</u> 78:15
'how [1] - 89:8	1968/69 _[1] - 85:13		<u>33:19, 34:12, 35:11,</u>	administering [1] -
'look _[1] - 90:23	1969 [4] - 53:12,	<u>6</u>	38:7, 42:7, 51:29,	17:18
'well [1] - 80:25	53:13, 53:19, 75:1	6 [1] - 50:16	52:1, 55:2, 55:14,	admit [1] - 49:23
W6H11 00.20	1970 [6] - 39:12,	60's [1] - 77:7	62:8, 66:4, 66:13,	admitting [1] - 53:26
	40:18, 40:20, 41:16,	6th [2] - 1:11, 4:1	67:5, 69:21, 76:11,	adult [1] - 83:3
<u>0</u>	59:1, 60:14	<u> </u>	<u>78:9</u>	adults [1] - 34:2
0285 [2] - 65:2, 69:17	1970's [7] - 25:20,	7	acceptable [1] -	advance [2] - 37:11,
<u>•2•• 2 </u>	25:21, 37:9, 37:13,	<u>7</u>	<u>17:15</u>	<u>59:4</u>
1	38:4, 38:7, 71:17	7 [1] - 50:16	accepted [2] - 26:5,	adverse [1] - 33:7
<u>1</u>	1973 [1] - 61:27	111 00.10	<u>84:11</u>	affairs [1] - 79:16
1 [2] - 3:8, 75:2	1982 [2] - 78:21,	0	accepting [2] -	affect [1] - 13:4
10 [3] - 50:4, 50:6,	<u>85:26</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>27:21, 72:7</u>	affected [1] - 78:1
65:2	19th [1] - 82:27	80 [1] - 58:24	accepts [1] - 6:7	afraid [1] - 86:1
<u>11</u> [1] - 9:12		87,000 [1] - 85:13	accident [1] - 75:22	afterwards [3] -
12 [1] - 65:23	<u>2</u>	<u> </u>	accommodation [1]	72:13, 80:24, 80:26
12:53 [1] - <u>92</u> :28		0	<u>- 23:23</u>	again' [1] - 90:26
13 [1] - 75:3	20 [3] - 21:25, 23:19,	<u>9</u>	accompanied [1] -	age [9] - 12:11,
14 [1] - 58:15	<u>34:2</u>	9th [2] - 4:18, 64:27	<u>64:24</u>	19:28, 25:2, 28:19,
140 [1] - 51:24	20's [2] - 90:8, 90:9	<u> </u>	according [2] - 14:2,	29:16, 30:23, 57:3,
14th [1] - 74:29	200 [1] - 68:21	Λ	<u>16:15</u>	<u>57:7, 57:8</u>
15 [1] - 58:15	2004 [2] - 92:1	<u>A</u>	account [2] - 75:22,	agencies [1] - 82:2
150 [3] - 21:24, 34:2,	2005 [1] - 4:18	able [13] - 9:1, 20:20,	<u>77:23</u>	agenda [1] - 38:2
<u>85:11</u>	2006 [2] - 1:11, 4:2	21:17, 24:15, 24:26,	accounts [2] - 82:13,	ages [1] - 90:5
16 [2] - 19:28, 58:15	21 [5] - 16:23, 16:25,	34:8, 34:26, 37:7,	82:14	ago [6] - 41:29,
17 [4] - 19:28, 29:9,	<u>16:29, 29:9, 29:28</u>	37:13, 38:23, 53:19,	<u>accurate [1] - 1:29</u> accused [2] - 77:21,	<u>42:26, 77:24, 90:4,</u>
<u>29:21, 58:15</u>	<u>220</u> [1] - 70:8	67:2, 86:3	77:22	90:5, 90:10
177 [1] - 43:8	<u>224 [1] - 1:11</u>	abolished [1] - 85:26	achieve [2] - 66:14,	<u>agree [17] - 12:6.</u>
1850's [1] - 59:16	24 [1] - 23:19	above-named [1] -	66:29	14:11, 18:15, 19:20,
<u> 1920's [2] - 79:7.</u>	<u>247 [1] - 75:2</u>	<u>1:31</u>	acknowledge [4] -	23:25, 27:25, 29:10,
<u>79:8</u>	28 [2] - 4:20, 9:18	absconded [1] -	77:29, 78:11, 78:23,	33:11, 34:20, 34:27, 35:1, 39:15, 39:29,
<u> 1924 [1] - 59:8</u>	<u>285 [1] - 5:16</u>	<u>46:23</u>	78:24	47:10, 48:13, 50:6,
1936 [3] - 56:20, 60:1		absconding [1] -	acknowledged [2] -	68:14
1940 [2] - 53:10,	<u>3</u>	<u>78:12</u>	74:9, 74:22	<u>agreed [1] - 61:24</u>
<u>61:27</u>	20 70 40	absence [1] - 82:22	acknowledgments	agreement [4] -
<u>1940's [4] - 45:18,</u>	30 [1] - 70:10	absolute [1] - 11:13	[1] - 83:22	15:10, 27:10, 46:12,
<u>45:29, 49:22, 55:7</u>	307 [1] - 3:8	Absolutely[1] - 6:26	acres [2] - 34:1, 70:8	46:14
1950 [1] - 57:17	308 [1] - 3:9	absolutely [1] -	acting [1] - 46:1	agricultural [2] -
<u>1950's [17] - 21:20,</u> 28:1, 38:8, 38:11,	31 [1] - 69:17 31st [1] - 5:12	<u>25:10</u>	action [1] - 1:31	56:17, 56:28
	333 [1] - 3:9	Abuse[1] - 1:2	active [4] - 59:22,	agriculturally [1] -
38:15, 38:16, 45:18, 45:25, 46:18, 55:7,	334 [1] - 3:10	<u>abuse [33] - 10:4,</u>	<u>83:16</u>	60:9
57:17, 60:24, 61:21,	347 [1] - 3:10	10:5, 14:2, 14:20, 15:7, 16:4, 19:9	activities [3] - 60:29,	ahead [1] - 15:13
64:23, 66:13, 70:19,	348 [1] - 3:12	<u>15:7, 16:4, 18:8,</u> 19:13, 26:24, 27:14,	<u>65:19, 65:20</u>	<u>aim [1] - 65:15</u>
70:27	371 [1] - 3:12	27:19, 27:22, 28:8,	activity [1] - 17:23	aims [5] - 64:21,
1953 [2] - 43:25, 47:3	3:1 [1] - 22:2	<u>28:9, 28:11, 28:24,</u>	<u>acts [1] - 77:15</u>	<u>65:6, 66:5, 66:14,</u>
1954 _[1] - 64:27	<u> </u>	30:1, 30:16, 30:22,	actual [1] - 83:2	<u>66:29</u>
1958 _[1] - 20:10	A	30:27, 31:4, 31:16,	<u>add [2] - 63:25,</u>	alive [4] - 9:1, 30:22,
1960's [19] - 28:2,	<u>4</u>	34:12, 34:22, 37:19,	<u>79:18</u>	<u>53:2, 77:21</u>
31:6, 36:22, 38:8,	4 _[3] - 1:7, 50:4, 50:7	46:27, 46:28, 63:14,	added [1] - 85:23	allegation [1] - 11:5
38:12, 38:22, 38:24,	40 [6] - 25:5, 25:6,	63:24, 77:10, 77:16,	addressed [2] - 47:3,	allegations [13] -
38:25, 45:17, 46:18,	57:12, 58:24, 60:26,	77:28	65:4	<u>10:2, 10:5, 10:12,</u>
<u>50:1, 57:24, 60:2,</u>	60:27	abused [1] - 14:4	<u>adequate</u> [2] - 52:8,	10:15, 10:16, 10:21,
60:13, 60:24, 61:8,	400 [1] - 22:3	abusing [1] - 33:17	55:14	10:28, 15:28, 25:10,
61:16, 61:22, 70:27		academic [1] - 59:23	<u>adjusted [1] - 65:29</u> administer [2] - 18:2,	63:24, 78:5, 90:24
1966 [3] - 58:3,	<u>5</u>	accept [30] - 7:5,	<u>administer 2 - 18:2.</u> 51:26	<u>allow [1] - 35:16</u> allowable [2] - 79:29
<u>58:21, 61:12</u>	<u> </u>	<u>15:25, 16:3, 16:13,</u>	administered [7] -	allowed [3] - 13:4,
1967 [2] - 43:2, 57:27			uummistereu / -	anoweu o - 10.4,
	50's [1] - 90:7	<u>17:4, 17:16, 19:7,</u>	17:1. 17:10 17:13	21:6 83:10
	<u>50's [1] - 90:7</u>	<u>17:4, 17:16, 19:7,</u>	<u>17:1, 17:10, 17:13,</u>	<u>21:6, 83:10</u>

<u>allowing [2] - 66:15,</u>	<u>- 47:22</u>	<u>10:4, 30:3, 30:14,</u>	<u>86:7</u>	<u>19:2, 19:7, 19:10,</u>
<u>77:8</u>	<u>area [5] - 6:18, 34:1, </u>	44:16, 44:17, 79:27,	below _[1] - 35:27	<u>19:14, 19:16, 20:26,</u>
<u>almost [1] - 19:7</u>	<u>40:28, 56:20, 92:12</u>	<u>80:4, 80:5, 91:10,</u>	<u>bend [1] - 41:6</u>	<u>21:5, 21:14, 21:16,</u>
alone [1] - 33:27	<u>areas [3] - 33:9,</u>	<u>91:11</u>	beneficial [2] -	<u>21:24, 26:17, 28:19,</u>
alternative [1] - 83:2	<u>60:5, 61:19</u>	<u>aware(</u>	<u>83:17, 85:13</u>	<u>29:12, 29:17, 29:22,</u>
<u>amenable [1] - 66:1</u>	argue [1] - 78:9	interjection [1] - 33:13	benefit [2] - 59:4,	30:22, 30:23, 30:27,
America[1] - 37:15	argued [1] - 86:11	awareness [1] - 28:2	<u>83:28</u>	33:17, 34:2, 36:13,
amount [1] - 61:17	arises [5] - 6:6, 6:28,	<u>awful [1] - 35:15</u>	beside [1] - 61:20	<u>37:21, 39:22, 41:4,</u>
analysed [1] - 8:6	<u>6:29, 7:4, 48:6</u>		best [3] - 36:13,	<u>41:6, 43:8, 43:13,</u>
and(interjection	arising [1] - 89:6	<u>B</u>	<u>55:13, 59:17</u>	43:27, 44:1, 49:7,
[1] - 20:18	arose [4] - 17:2,		better [12] - 35:12,	50:8, 54:10, 55:26,
angry [1] - 68:24	<u>18:3, 39:7, 47:29</u>	backside [2] - 39:23,	<u>37:5, 59:25, 65:17,</u>	<u>57:2, 57:10, 57:12,</u>
<u>annual [1] - 86:26</u>	<u>arrival [1] - 20:16</u>	<u>40:9</u>	<u>65:24, 75:10, 75:13,</u>	<u>57:13, 58:15, 58:25,</u>
anonymity [3] - 54:5,	arrived [1] - 21:3	bad [9] - 26:3, 55:10,	83:2, 83:4, 83:12,	<u>59:18, 59:20, 60:23,</u>
<u>54:18, 54:20</u>	article [1] - 61:11	55:29, 61:7, 62:12,	<u>85:17, 92:14</u>	61:29, 62:6, 62:10,
answer [9] - 20:6,	articulated [1] -	62:16, 62:17, 65:17,	between [17] - 12:7,	62:13, 63:8, 63:10,
<u>38:3, 53:28, 56:11,</u>	<u>64:21</u>	<u>86:7</u>	<u>19:10, 19:13, 29:11,</u>	63:11, 63:12, 63:28,
63:1, 79:4, 80:10,	ascertain [1] - 54:10	badly [1] - 36:14	<u>29:22, 30:17, 30:27,</u>	<u>65:16, 65:17, 65:19,</u>
<u>80:24, 92:14</u>	ascribing [1] - 22:23	bakery [2] - 17:24,	37:20, 44:12, 53:29,	65:22, 66:16, 67:14,
answered [1] - 89:3	aside [1] - 73:29	<u>57:6</u>	<u>56:16, 72:4, 72:8,</u>	<u>68:9, 68:21, 68:23,</u>
any(interjection	aspect [3] - 34:16,	balance [1] - 84:12	72:14, 90:20, 91:1	69:29, 70:11, 71:5,
[1] - 7:1	61:6, 88:26	balanced [2] - 51:19,	beyond [2] - 61:9,	<u>71:27, 71:28, 72:1,</u>
anyway [1] - 18:25	assault [2] - 10:28,	84:17	<u>81:19</u>	74:18, 75:6, 75:28,
Anyway[1] - 29:2	10:29	Ballsbridge [1] - 1:7	big [5] - 37:9, 38:23,	<u>76:1, 76:14, 77:3,</u>
anyways [1] - 37:27	assessment [2] -	bare [7] - 39:23,	56:25, 72:6, 89:29	77:29, 78:9, 78:25,
apart [1] - 78:14	68:7, 83:19	40:9, 41:8, 45:6,	bigger [1] - 29:17	82:24, 83:13, 83:15,
Apart _[2] - 57:15,	assignment [1] -	45:13, 46:8, 49:1	biggest [3] - 37:12,	<u>85:11, 86:6, 86:11</u>
90:10	81:24	Barry[1] - 39:19	<u>59:4</u>	boys,very [1] - 19:28
apologise [5] -	attached [1] - 14:25	bars [4] - 20:24,	bit [4] - 58:5, 62:2,	Br[1] - 84:26
74:23, 74:24, 77:16,	attempt [1] - 71:27	21:6, 21:18, 62:10	<u>66:8, 81:3</u>	bracket [1] - 56:28
78:26, 83:23	attempting [1] -	based [3] - 7:28,	BI [2] - 2:8, 2:15	break [3] - 13:11,
apologised [1] - 77:2	78:12	60:10, 86:4	black [1] - 73:16	<u>13:14, 62:14</u>
apologising [1] -	attend [2] - 88:14,	basic [5] - 57:14,	blame [2] - 22:23,	breakdown [1] -
42:10	92:17	58:13, 58:27, 68:20,	<u>82:12</u>	<u>24:10</u>
appalling [2] - 66:17,	attended [4] - 9:15,	73:14	bleak [1] - 61:5	breakdowns [3] -
67:23	91:26, 92:19, 92:22	basis [4] - 8:2, 11:16,	Board [3] - 56:4,	<u>24:22, 25:28, 38:29</u>
appeal [1] - 81:11	attending [1] - 92:7	14:24, 82:22	68:16, 88:8	breathing [1] - 22:12
appealing [1] - 65:13	attention [4] - 43:22,	batch [3] - 17:24,	bodies [1] - 38:17	brewing [1] - 20:18
appeared [1] - 15:20	50:5, 60:3, 68:15	57:5, 61:3	body [1] - 91:7	Briefly [1] - 79:7
appellant [1] - 2:27	attitude [2] - 71:29,	battle [1] - 81:14	bog [2] - 57:5, 61:2	<u>briefly [2] - 7:16.</u>
appointed [1] - 14:25	77:11	bear [1] - 67:19	boiling [1] - 75:16	<u>50:15</u>
appreciate [1] - 56:3	Australia [1] - 90:5	beautiful [1] - 70:2	book [5] - 25:12,	<u>bring [1] - 50:23</u>
approached [1] -	authorised [1] -	became [2] - 36:15,	28:5, 49:12, 50:24,	bringing [1] - 68:15
6:12	73:25	59:7	<u>52:5</u>	Bristol [1] - 37:15
appropriate [2] -	authorities [2] -	become [6] - 18:28,	Book[7] - 15:18,	Britain _[1] - 37:26
34:29, 47:9	58:4, 68:24	26:2, 58:7, 66:1,	49:19, 49:27, 50:1,	British [2] - 68:21,
approve [2] - 43:24,	authority [2] - 88:28,	76:11, 78:20	52:17, 52:18, 52:19	<u>87:3</u>
56:22	89:3	beforehand [1] -	books [1] - 31:14	Brother [1] - 10:17
<u>approved</u> [2] - 44:2,	Authority[1] - 57:21	80:11	boot [1] - 57:16	Brothers [34] - 8:8,
46:15	availability [2] -	began [1] - 60:1	bottom [1] - 18:23	<u>9:1, 9:4, 9:19, 9:25,</u>
<u>40.15</u> <u>arch [1] - 5:26</u>	38:10, 76:16	begin [1] - 65:28	bound [2] - 22:6,	<u>15:23, 16:14, 16:18,</u>
	<u>available [6] - 22:14,</u>	beginning [4] -	75:24	17:17, 17:22, 17:26,
archives [1] - 52:11	30:6, 30:8, 38:11,	37:13, 57:17, 58:2,	boy [14] - 14:4,	19:14, 24:11, 24:17,
<u>archivist [10] - 7:23,</u>	86:2, 91:9	59:15	<u>16:26, 33:27, 49:26,</u>	25:17, 25:22, 26:8,
7:25, 7:29, 24:20,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>behalf [4] - 73:26,</u>	50:22, 51:24, 63:20,	26:16, 27:15, 28:3,
73:12, 73:23, 88:16,	<u>average</u> [2] - 21:24, 53:24	74:9, 77:11, 78:23	65:29, 75:4, 75:16,	30:17, 30:25, 32:26,
88:18, 88:19, 89:10	<u>33.24</u> avoid [1] - 7:1	behaved [2] - 49:28,	75:17, 75:19, 75:20,	33:16, 35:29, 37:20,
<u>Archivist[2] - 4:16,</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>50:25</u>	82:21	<u>38:17, 38:27, 39:1,</u>
5:22	avoiding [1] - 29:24	<u>50.25</u> <u>behaviour [4] -</u>	boys [89] - 13:28,	41:11, 51:26, 52:23,
Ard[2] - 76:12, 88:8	awaits [1] - 83:26	75:26, 86:5, 86:6,	14:1, 18:12, 18:16,	<u>55:12, 63:29</u>
are(interjection [1]	<u>aware [11] - 5:18,</u>	10.20, 00.0, 00.0,	, .3, .0	

Children's [1] - 42:28 1:2, 2:4, 2:7, 3:9, brought [2] - 38:2, certainly [16] - 7:1, concessions [1] -41:4 15:12, 16:5, 23:11, choice [1] - 79:23 3:12, 4:20, 5:12, 7:18, bud [2] - 20:13, 8:14, 8:26, 27:3, conclude [1] - 91:19 24:7, 25:5, 26:15, choose [1] - 88:13 20:15 39:16, 40:12, 43:24, 27:24, 27:26, 37:17, Concluded[1] chronologically [1] build [1] - 65:17 47:24, 53:2, 62:16, 39:19, 40:4, 46:4, 92:28 39:6 69:22, 74:3, 92:12 51:8, 51:20, 52:4, building [4] - 55:25, conclusion [3] circumstances [3] -Certainly[1] - 31:16 56:8, 64:18, 64:24, 70:2. 70:17 55:14, 56:10, 80:21 24:18, 54:16, 83:24 buildings [7] - 45:12. 70:22, 72:26, 77:14, certify [1] - 1:28 cited [1] - 24:14 conclusions [2] -77:27, 78:17, 79:26, cetera [1] - 65:18 55:3, 55:5, 55:22, citizen [1] - 87:25 63:10, 77:19 83:24, 83:27, 84:17, 56:2, 61:6, 67:23 Chairman [14] - 4:5, condemn [1] - 77:17 city [1] - 56:25 85:2, 91:17 4:10, 5:8, 5:26, 6:4, **bullied** [1] - 26:3 condition [1] - 61:7 clarity [1] - 39:27 6:26, 7:14, 23:11, commit [1] - 34:8 **bullying** [1] - 29:27 classes [2] - 57:18, conditions [8] commitment [2] busy [3] - 35:5, 35:7, 41:19, 42:16, 48:13, 66:17, 67:24, 67:28, 58:26 79:24, 79:26, 91:20 83:7, 83:19 83:16 69:4, 69:27, 69:29, classrooms [1] committals [2] -Chairperson[63] buttocks [5] - 41:8, 70:1 85:14 43:5, 43:9 45:6, 45:13, 46:9, <u>1:18, 4:4, 4:8, 4:28,</u> conduct [2] - 8:19, cleaned [1] - 70:3 committed [1] -5:5, 6:1, 6:11, 6:27, <u>49:1</u> 8:28 clear [18] - 13:23, 7:2, 7:9, 23:6, 31:22, 85:11 button [1] - 73:18 17:14, 19:4, 27:10, conferences [1] -42:9, 42:17, 44:7, Committee[4] - 2:6, 37:23 36:18, 40:21, 41:1, 44:14, 44:20, 44:27, 48:1. 57:19. 60:16 conferred [1] - 59:5 42:25, 51:23, 55:4, <u>C</u> 45:2, 45:8, 45:11, committing [2] -56:15, 56:27, 57:1, confidentiality [1] -47:18, 47:21, 47:24, 43:8, 43:13 cameras [1] - 4:8 70:25, 73:10, 73:22, <u>11:14</u> 48:9, 48:17, 49:4, common [4] - 10:25, 76:1, 80:9 conflict [1] - 19:10 Canada_[5] - 37:25, 64:7, 64:11, 71:6, 18:7, 23:21, 55:3 Clearly[3] - 36:12, 37:27, 90:12, 90:16, confusion [1] - 91:21 71:12, 72:28, 73:3, commonality [1] -Congregation[2] -90:23 71:14, 77:5 73:14, 80:1, 80:5, clearly [11] - 22:4, 91:1 89:21, 90:20 candidates [1] -80:13, 80:20, 81:1, community [2] -24:19, 42:9, 48:25, Conlath's [1] - 79:23 32:14 81:7, 81:16, 81:22, 59:21.83:16 cannot [1] - 77:22 67:13, 69:21, 69:23, Conleth's [1] - 4:13 81:27, 84:24, 86:18, complainant [1] -71:9, 71:10, 74:10 captured [1] - 61:10 connection [1] - 8:25 89:6, 89:13, 89:16, 47:6 close [2] - 59:21, connotation [1] care [6] - 37:28, 89:20, 89:24, 89:26, complainant's [1] -76:7, 76:23, 76:24, 72:13 29:26 90:2, 90:7, 90:14, closed [6] - 43:3, connotations [1] -78:25, 86:10 90:18, 90:22, 91:7, complainants [5] -70:22, 70:24, 74:16, carefully [2] - 47:11, 29:23 91:13, 91:23, 91:28, 4:21, 9:18, 67:7, 83:11, 85:15 53:25 conscious [1] -92:10, 92:21, 92:24 78:10, 84:13 carpentry [1] - 57:16 coercion [1] - 82:21 32:13 challenged [4] complaining [1] cold [1] - 55:26 carried [3] - 16:22, consequences [2] -26:28, 27:1, 27:2, Coleman[1] - 2:16 77:29, 78:25 37:2, 46:17 complaints [4] collateral [1] - 42:26 carry [3] - 7:2, 13:10, consider [1] - 80:27 chance [2] - 4:9, 8:26, 11:28, 77:14, colleagues [4] considering [2] -81:22 83:4 88:20 54:13, 54:15, 54:24, carrying [2] - 16:26, 49:25, 50:22 change [3] - 46:10, complete [3] - 31:12, 54:25 constant [3] - 61:18, 16:29 65:23, 85:29 35:3. 85:29 collect [1] - 21:6 case [5] - 16:9, 61:20, 61:22 changes [1] - 60:7 Completely[1] - 64:1 collected [1] - 20:28 constantly [2] - 56:1, 46:11, 47:7, 55:4, chaos [1] - 20:3 completely [3] collecting [1] - 21:12 63:2 <u>85:7</u> chapter [1] - 31:16 29:27, 63:27, 83:10 Collie[1] - 58:20 cases [2] - 24:21, containment [2] chapters [2] - 33:9, conceal [1] - 79:16 colonists [1] - 59:19 82:24, 82:25 36:19 33:10 concede [1] - 22:13 cast [1] - 82:16 colourful [1] - 62:2 contemporaneous character [5] - 32:22, concentrating [2] cataloged [1] - 52:13 coming [6] - 25:17, [1] - 66:18 59:24, 65:17, 65:20, 23:4. 23:8 31:11, 76:25, 77:19, contemporary [1] category [1] - 46:26 concept [2] - 13:27, 77:25 cater [1] - 76:27 charge [1] - 72:1 <u> 18:21</u> commas [3] - 47:8, contention [1] - 26:5 caught [2] - 63:3, charged [1] - 39:28 concern [4] - 39:17, 48:20, 48:21 contentious [1] -90:16 checked [1] - 31:15 43:10, 46:2, 46:16 Commenced[1] - 4:1 45:14 caused [2] - 13:13, Child[1] - 1:2 concerned [10] comment [3] - 33:7, context [3] - 33:3, 85:28 childcare [3] - 79:19, 43:6, 43:11, 43:12, cavalry [2] - 68:21, 71:22, 72:3 50:28, 56:27 88:1 <u>45:16, 45:24, 45:26,</u> 68:22 commentary [1] continent [1] - 37:26 children [10] - 19:25, 45:28, 74:19, 83:26, continue [2] - 11:10, century [1] - 82:27 <u>28:5</u> 22:1, 22:6, 39:22, 92:7 comments [1] certain [6] - 11:2, <u>43:3</u> 43:3, 56:23, 60:5, concerns [1] - 46:7

Commission[34] -

18:14

79:20, 81:4, 81:26

23:16, 23:17, 28:19,

49:8, 57:2

continued [2] -

70:21, 74:14

concerted [1] - 58:3

developing [1] contradictory [1] criticism [1] - 72:3 69:17 38:1 51:16 Cullinan_[2] - 60:17, deep [1] - 75:22 20:21 disk [1] - 75:2 disks [1] - 75:1 contrasts [1] - 69:29 60:18 deeply [1] - 78:1 development [3] control [10] - 17:25, culminating [1] defend [1] - 77:23 65:20, 65:24, 79:18 dismal [1] - 55:27 <u>19:12, 19:17, 19:18,</u> 60:13 **Definitely**[1] - 61:17 deviant [1] - 75:25 dispute [1] - 45:9 19:24, 20:17, 21:8, cup [4] - 13:27, 14:3, devised [1] - 79:2 disputed [1] - 46:20 definitely [5] - 35:18, 28:20, 63:25, 63:26 14:5. 14:11 38:24, 38:25, <u>46:13</u>, died [1] - 9:7 disrupting [1] controlled [2] - 17:4, 55:17 differ [1] - 27:25 Cussen [5] - 56:14, <u>75:15</u> 17:20 56:19, 57:1, 60:1 delegated [2] - 88:5, difference [3] - 12:3, distinction [1] -Controlled[1] cut [8] - 12:4, 30:10, 12:6, 37:10 <u>56:15</u> 17:21 41:23, 42:4, 42:19, delinquent [4] different [13] - 17:23. distrust [1] - 82:5 55:21, 70:2, 88:10 controversy [5] -75:17, 75:19, 75:21, 17:26, 33:9, 46:18, disturbances [4] -39:4, 48:3, 48:10, cutlery [1] - 68:13 48:4, 50:28, 51:26, 75:24 19:19, 20:6, 61:28, 53:8, 53:17 deliver [2] - 61:21, 52:14, 52:25, 86:3, 75:22 convinced [1] -61:22 90:20, 91:3 disturbed [4] -D 36:12 delivered [1] - 15:22 difficult [5] - 14:8, 12:16, 75:7, 82:23, cooperate [1] - 91:6 deny [1] - 77:21 25:4, 53:22, 56:4, 83:13 Daingean [29] - 4:14, cope [2] - 38:23, 79:9 Department [33] document [7] -9:5, 14:1, 14:20, 79:13 difficulties [7] -5:16, 17:12, 39:20, <u>15:12, 60:17, 61:10,</u> 14:23, 14:26, 24:11, Copyright[1] - 2:26 61:15, 66:21, 66:26, 64:27, 64:28, 65:5, 25:15, 26:12, 27:11, 43:14, 44:3, 44:13, corporal [15] - 17:9, 27:15, 27:22, 27:29, 45:3, 45:25, 46:15, 77:18, 77:25, 79:7 71:23 40:24, 40:26, 43:7, 28:12, 29:28, 30:15, 52:10, 57:26, 57:27, difficulty [4] - 29:24, documentation [7] -<u>58:17, 58:22, 59:5,</u> 43:11, 43:16, 43:25, 41:3, 46:19, 54:11, 30:16, 50:2, 66:25 5:11, 7:7, 29:11, 78:3, 78:6, 78:7, 59:6, 59:7, 60:18, Dignam[1] - 73:2 38:19, 43:23, 67:27, 56:27, 61:26, 62:1, 78:17, 78:19, 85:22, 61:19, 69:11, 72:5, dilapidated [1] -63:26, 67:8, 67:15, 72:18 85:25, 85:29 67:23, 69:13, 85:18, 72:6, 72:11, 72:15, 55:28 documented [1] -Correct[1] - 35:14 72:29, 75:1, 76:9, dilapidation [1] -86:2 24:7 correct [16] - 7:23, danger [2] - 30:22, 81:11, 82:7, 82:9, documents [19] -86:9, 86:12, 86:13 10:8, 10:9, 24:12, **75:15** direction [2] - 84:14, <u>5:11, 5:13, 5:14, 5:23,</u> Department's [3] -24:13, 39:6, 40:19, dark [1] - 82:16 84:16 <u>5:28, 6:6, 6:9, 6:14,</u> 40:3, 82:6, 82:16 48:16, 49:10, 49:13, date [2] - 37:7, 82:15 8:6, 24:19, 39:14, directly [1] - 44:14 49:15, 49:16, 65:5, dated [1] - 64:27 Departmental [1] -39:16, 55:19, 64:22, disadvantage [1] -73:12, 73:23, 76:22 71:3 66:18, 68:2, 76:8, days [9] - 11:12, 81:18 counsel [4] - 5:14, departmental [1] -88:17, 88:18 20:29, 25:18, 31:13, disadvantaged [3] -77:6, 80:23, 84:10 43:23 done [8] - 34:10, 32:17, 59:19, 69:7, 79:20, 81:4, 81:26 Counsel[1] - 2:7 dependent [2] - 51:3, 36:29, 55:13, 68:28, 76:7, 86:10 disagree [4] - 12:6, counted [2] - 43:4, 69:4, 70:11, 70:15, dead 131 - 8:16. 39:16, 62:3, 62:4 deposited [1] - 20:29 74:10 43:9 53:20, 77:22 disappointment [1] counter [1] - 48:5 descended [1] - 20:3 dormitories [2] deal [13] - 5:17, 6:5, 29:19, 55:23 country [2] - 31:24, 7:3, 7:12, 28:19, describe [4] - 47:26, discipline [19] -48:27, 66:18, 72:8 doubt [3] - 20:3, 58:9 35:22, 68:10, 72:19, <u>15:15, 15:17, 19:20,</u> County[2] - 57:19, 76:17, 85:17, 85:27, described [9] -71:27, 71:29 20:2, 34:17, 40:8, 57:21 89:9, 89:10 43:28, 46:8, 60:22, 41:3, 41:10, 46:17, Dowling[1] - 2:15 61:5, 61:14, 61:24, down [10] - 5:5, couple [1] - 74:1 dealing [5] - 5:24, 46:21, 46:22, 49:12, 13:11, 13:14, 22:12, 28:18, 48:2, 79:15, 61:29, 67:27, 78:10 49:18, 50:14, 52:5, course [10] - 6:5, 70:23, 70:24, 74:16, describes [3] - 65:6. 61:25, 66:1, 85:28, 8:13, 8:16, 8:23, 83:13 75:13, 78:20, 81:26 18:21, 56:2, 59:10, 69:29.70:10 dealt [4] - 30:2, 86:4 76:4, 76:23, 89:22 74:13, 81:4, 92:8 describing [2] - 12:7, disciplined [1] - 49:8 downstairs [1] - 41:5 Court[1] - 42:28 dean [1] - 15:16 41:2 discomfort [1] dozen [1] - 9:6 court [1] - 8:21 debating [1] - 47:19 deserve [1] - 74:27 80:16 Dr [5] - 43:25, 44:3, courts [1] - 76:15 decade [1] - 78:21 detail [3] - 28:4, discouraged [2] -<u>47:3, 47:7, 47:17</u> covert [1] - 34:10 decades [1] - 88:2 53:4, 69:16 29:12, 29:14 draw [1] - 50:5 drink [1] - 14:5 detailed [2] - 32:6, discovery [2] - 8:14, cows [1] - 70:10 decent [1] - 68:12 driven [1] - 63:21 credible [3] - 16:5, 32:7 43:22 decided [1] - 70:22 details [2] - 33:6, discuss [1] - 23:28 drop [2] - 8:23, 41:7 16:6, 27:1 decision [7] - 77:26. crime [1] - 51:3 63:14 discussed [3] - 44:8, Dublin [4] - 1:7, 81:7, 88:3, 89:8, detrimental [1] -42:27, 87:13, 87:16 crimes [1] - 85:11 89:10, 89:11, 92:13 44:9. 84:5 criminal [1] - 40:10 75:24 discussion [2] due [2] - 36:20, decreasing [1] develop [2] - 65:16, critical [2] - 69:26, 15:28, 24:15 65:12 61:15 66:15 discussions [1] during [10] - 6:5, 69:27 Dedan [2] - 65:2,

11:21, 11:26, 14:28, 18:20, 21:24, 38:8, 38:26, 43:6, 60:24 dusters [3] - 20:24, 21:6, 62:10 duties [1] - 38:28 duty [1] - 50:18 E

early [2] - 49:25, 50:22 ease [1] - 6:8 easily [1] - 18:28 easy [5] - 35:23, 54:4, 54:17, 56:11, 79:4 eating [1] - 29:19 economy [1] - 79:11 Education [14] -5:16, 17:12, 39:20, 46:15, 52:10, 57:26, 59:5, 65:1, 65:13, 69:11, 75:2, 76:9, 82:6. 82:9 education [12] -56:9, 57:4, 57:25, 58:14, 58:23, 58:26, 58:27, 59:14, 60:11, 60:23, 60:24, 83:17 Education's [1] -45:25 Educational [1] -57:19 effect [15] - 12:11, 12:12, 12:23, 12:24, 19:24, 35:14, 36:4, 37:4, 38:13, 51:16, 55:27, 61:1, 75:23, 77:3, 81:7 effectively [5] - 7:22, 27:2, 29:5, 29:20, 44:12 effects [1] - 78:8 effort [3] - 56:1, 57:25, 58:3 either [4] - 26:14, 45:23, 46:23, 65:27 element [1] - 82:25 elsewhere [1] - 5:15 embark [1] - 54:27 embarrassing [1] -44:15 emergence [3] -67:12, 91:24, 92:1 emotional [4] -12:12, 12:14, 12:15,

emphasis [1] - 82:24

employment [1] -

56:21 empowered [1] encapsulates [1] -66:4 End [4] - 64:5, 72:26, 84:22, 91:16 end [5] - 44:4, 80:23, 84:9, 89:14, 92:5 endorsed [1] - 60:1 engage [1] - 21:7 engaged [3] - 28:24, 48:4. 60:28 ensuring [1] - 34:28 enter [2] - 30:20, entered [1] - 30:24 entire [1] - 69:19 entrusted [1] - 52:9 environment [5] -25:22, 55:28, 75:24, 83:5, 85:12 envisaged [1] -82:28 equipment [1] -21:13 escape [1] - 78:13 especially [1] - 36:17 Especially[1] - 61:8 essential [1] - 83:15 establish [1] - 7:21 et [1] - 65:18 etc [3] - 30:24, 57:6, 61:3 evening [2] - 68:13, 69:25 event [4] - 6:10, 40:18, 48:25, 49:24 events [3] - 63:7, 77:23, 86:27 Eventually [3] -40:15, 71:16, 76:6 eventually [4] - 37:3, 37:6, 39:29, 40:18 Evidence [2] - 1:13, 20:23 evidence [48] - 1:30, 4:17, 4:20, 8:3, 9:8, 12:23, 15:5, 16:4, 16:18, 16:27, 18:13, 18:20, 24:7, 26:20, 26:23, 26:27, 26:28, 27:1, 27:6, 27:7, 27:18, 27:23, 27:27, 45:15, 45:16, 49:20, 49:29, 51:4, 51:8,

51:12, 51:15, 51:17,

51:19, 52:24, 53:2,

53:27, 56:7, 63:13,

67:6, 73:26, 82:9,

84:18, 92:17 evident [1] - 65:25 exactly [2] - 43:28, 48:9 exaggerated [2] -16:12, 84:14 Examination [3] -3:4, 64:5, 84:22 examined [2] -43:26, 46:4 Examined [4] - 3:8, 3:10, 5:2, 73:6 example [4] - 13:26, 55:22, 78:12, 82:5 excessive [4] -22:26, 25:27, 78:7, 78:15 exchange [1] - 90:19 exchanging [1] exclude [1] - 82:12 Excuse [2] - 20:19, 31:19 exercised [1] - 35:13 exist [3] - 32:17, 33:21, 45:18 existed [4] - 31:7, 41:16, 52:3, 60:29 expect [3] - 6:14, 23:22, 38:14 expectation [1] -23:18 expected [1] - 23:20 experience [2] -57:3. 88:6 expertise [1] - 91:9 experts [1] - 85:16 explained [3] - 8:17, 14:27, 43:28 explains [1] - 85:21 explanation [3] -35:2, 35:10, 52:9 explore [1] - 64:10 expose [1] - 41:8 exposed [3] - 40:5, 40:9, 65:27 expressed [1] - 86:8 expresses [1] -33:12 expression [2] -14:10, 47:26 extent [2] - 66:19, 66:20 extra [3] - 38:17, 38:26 Extra[1] - 38:18 extract [1] - 24:16 extraordinary [1] -

83:25, 84:6, 84:10,

extreme [1] - 24:8 extremely [2] - 35:7, 68:24 eye [1] - 82:16

F

face [1] - 71:10 faced [1] - 36:25 facilities [3] - 38:11, 59:23, 82:23 facility [2] - 22:1, facing [1] - 66:26 fact [22] - 7:17, 12:22, 22:24, 24:6, 24:10, 25:5, 31:1, 31:27, 35:25, 36:26, 37:12, 43:4, 46:3, 49:18, 51:25, 59:18, 63:26, 63:28, 64:23, 83:1, 92:5, 92:17 factor [1] - 42:27 facts [3] - 8:9, 89:1 failed [5] - 66:14, 66:20, 66:29, 77:8, 85:5 failure [9] - 35:18. 35:19, 35:23, 36:10, 61:20, 61:22, 66:24, 66:27, 66:28 failures [7] - 36:19, 71:10, 71:15, 74:8, 74:17, 74:21, 74:22 fair [4] - 16:11, 26:6, 67:25, 75:27 fairly [2] - 55:10, 89:29 fairness [1] - 79:27 fall [1] - 65:26 falling [1] - 65:12 falls [1] - 56:27 false [2] - 63:27, 64:1 familiar [4] - 6:15, 6:17, 7:11, 61:12 family [1] - 31:14 famous [2] - 49:22, 69:14 far [12] - 5:17, 29:15, 34:28, 43:29, 45:16, 55:13, 60:3, 72:7, 72:12, 74:18, 83:25, 84:16 farm [7] - 17:24, 56:29, 57:5, 59:18, 70:6, 70:7, 70:13 farming [2] - 56:21,

Father[8] - 22:25, 31:26, 48:14, 64:10, 79:27, 81:12, 86:20, <u>89:8</u> Fathers[1] - 71:29 fault [1] - 68:27 faulty [1] - 21:19 favourable [1] fear [1] - 75:16 fell [1] - 88:15 felt [13] - 11:21, 18:2, 18:3, 33:21, 35:26, 36:4, 40:4, 60:2, 63:21, 67:2, 70:28, 80:25, 84:5 Fergus[10] - 2:7, 4:5, 4:10, 9:18, 64:8, 64:9, 64:14, 64:20, 71:20, 72:24 few [4] - 16:15, 33:2, 34:1. 83:19 field [1] - 85:17 File[1] - 75:2 file [2] - 5:16, 8:13 files [2] - 8:7, 24:16 final [5] - 4:6, 4:12, 40:17, 71:20, 82:19 Finally[1] - 59:8 Finance[1] - 82:7 finances [1] - 67:21 financial [2] - 55:7. 82:14 findings [1] - 77:27 fine [1] - 15:27 finish [1] - 63:1 finished [5] - 22:18, 51:15, 57:4, 60:21, 75:24 firm [1] - 70:16 first [12] - 8:17, 8:18, 14:27, 36:15, 39:10, 52:22, 58:24, 60:3, 73:12, 82:1, 91:29 fish [2] - 18:24, 18:27 fishes [1] - 18:22 fit [1] - 50:13 five [1] - 51:26 flogging [11] - 45:4, 45:6, 45:13, 46:8, 47:1, 47:2, 47:4, 47:13, 47:16, 48:15, 48:19 folders [1] - 5:26 follow [1] - 30:28 followed [3] - 48:5,

65:25, 74:10

followers [1] - 19:5

fatal [1] - 68:6

82:15

56:22

37:16

fascinating [1] -

front [3] - 73:16. 75:16 18:21, 26:27, 53:26, 89:9, 91:16 following [4] - 1:29, 67:5, 69:9, 89:7 73:17, 88:22 grew [2] - 89:12 67:11, 67:12, 78:5, human [2] - 25:25, fruitful [1] - 67:18 91:24, 92:1, 92:6 82:22 Follows[5] - 4:1, 5:3, grievances [1] - 63:9 64:17, 73:6, 85:1 full [4] - 30:26, heart [1] - 72:14 hundreds [1] - 28:19 ground [1] - 10:25 heat [1] - 55:23 hurleys [1] - 63:17 foolish [1] - 84:15 43:26, 69:16, 85:20 group [1] - 87:4 foot [2] - 10:14, fully [1] - 30:3 growth [2] - 10:17, heated [1] - 55:24 11:11 funds [3] - 68:27, 82:22 heavily [1] - 67:25 ı forced [2] - 10:16, guards [3] - 20:11, heavy [1] - 47:20 70:5, 70:12 heightened [1] -75:23 funny [1] - 23:26 21:3, 21:10 I...(interjection [1] furnished [1] - 5:12 form [6] - 14:20, guards's [1] - 20:16 12:2 15:20, 26:16, 40:8, future [1] - 59:26 guessed [1] - 31:23 Held[1] - 1:6 idea [7] - 25:17, 44:28, 47:26 hell [1] - 63:29 29:21, 31:7, 59:20, formal [4] - 57:4, help [10] - 9:2, 31:8, 83:15, 85:10, 86:13 <u>G</u> Н 57:25, 60:11, 60:24 36:4. 36:14. 53:19. ideas [2] - 85:20, formed [2] - 8:2, ha'pennies [1] - 69:7 59:25, 61:18, 68:9, 91:2 gang [1] - 19:9 46:26 81:11, 85:27 gangs [5] - 19:7, **li** [17] - 4:20, 9:8, habits [1] - 65:17 former [1] - 8:15 helped [1] - 72:9 9:11, 9:16, 9:28, 19:8, 19:17, 62:1, half [1] - 60:13 forming [1] - 59:24 Herbert [1] - 1:6 11:26, 15:5, 18:13, 62:6 hand [2] - 4:23, forward [5] - 54:4, herd [1] - 70:1 18:21, 51:4, 53:26, Garda[1] - 8:21 84:15 54:17, 83:26, 84:1, hereby [1] - 1:28 63:13, 67:6, 78:11, Garda's [1] - 63:10 handling [1] - 88:17 hesitation [1] - 43:8 84:7, 92:22 Gardaí[1] - 63:7 hands [1] - 63:16 fought [1] - 86:12 lii [1] - 4:12 hierarchy [4] - 18:15, geared [1] - 60:11 hard [3] - 35:21, foundation [2] -19:1, 35:28, 61:29 illegal [1] - 78:20 General[2] - 65:4, 66:19, 89:29 59:6, 82:27 69:10 hardened [1] - 13:13 high [3] - 25:2, 59:9 images [1] - 12:29 four [3] - 34:19, general [12] - 6:17, highly [1] - 60:19 imaginable [1] harm_[1] - 76:3 50:16, 88:8 10:3, 13:20, 13:21, headquartered [1] himself [9] - 28:4. 33:28 Fr[59] - 1:13, 3:6, Immaculate [2] -33:12, 33:15, 33:24, 15:14, 18:14, 33:11, <u>87:11</u> 4:15, 4:29, 5:2, 5:6, 35:12, 39:28, 65:29, 2:12, 4:15 63:8, 64:24, 71:29, headquarters [4] -6:10, 6:20, 7:16, 69:12, 76:8 immediately [3] -78:27. 78:29 87:5, 87:6, 87:13, 25:11, 25:12, 28:4, hindsight [4] - 36:25. 8:20, 70:23, 75:26 generally [7] - 11:17, 89:24 31:2, 33:5, 34:19, 71:8, 71:15, 74:11 immorality [1] -16:19, 51:9, 53:28, heads [2] - 30:20, 35:25, 37:22, 39:26, 59:16, 71:4, 71:26 historical [1] - 88:27 28:26 30:25 44:14, 44:27, 47:10, historically [1] impact [2] - 74:18, Generally[1] - 88:24 healthily [1] - 65:19 48:3, 49:24, 50:2, 45:24 77:2 generated [1] - 70:5 healthy [2] - 26:10, 58:12, 58:18, 59:3, generically [1] history [3] - 88:17, impatient [1] - 84:8 32:16 64:5, 64:17, 65:1, imperfections [1] -29:29 hear [7] - 10:20, 65:6, 68:1, 68:19, hit [1] - 63:15 55:19 gentleman [1] -10:25, 10:29, 15:24, 69:10, 71:4, 71:6, hold [1] - 21:14 implements [2] -51:13 35:24, 63:22, 72:21 71:21, 71:26, 72:26, holidays [1] - 75:8 20:23, 21:18 given [21] - 7:25, heard [33] - 4:20, 73:6, 73:9, 73:21, implicit [1] - 35:29 8:14, 9:15, 16:16, home [1] - 75:7 9:21, 9:27, 10:2, 74:25, 76:6, 78:22, 20:24, 23:24, 26:17, hope [4] - 30:10, importance [1] -10:10, 10:19, 10:20, 80:18, 81:2, 81:10, 26:27, 38:26, 51:4, 10:28, 11:2, 11:4, 37:4, 37:16, 74:14 84:2 81:15, 84:22, 85:1, important [3] -51:7, 52:8, 52:23, 11:10, 11:11, 11:16, Hoping[1] - 37:4 85:21, 86:12, 86:15, 53:27, 60:4, 60:23, 11:21, 11:26, 12:1, horrified [1] - 86:13 33:15, 80:26, 88:1 89:9, 91:16, 91:22, 61:6, 63:13, 67:6, imposed [1] - 20:2 12:22, 15:4, 15:8, horticulture [1] -92:2, 92:16 79:3, 83:4 improve [8] - 37:3, <u>15:14, 15:27, 18:25,</u> <u> 25:1</u> frame [1] - 54:3 gobbler's [3] - 13:27, 26:20, 27:26, 45:17, 37:6, 69:4, 70:12, Hotel [1] - 1:6 frankly [3] - 11:16, 71:16, 74:15, 74:16 <u>14:5, 14:11</u> 51:8, 51:9, 51:12, house [1] - 72:11 31:25, 69:8 God[2] - 28:28, 29:6 63:13, 63:17, 63:23, housekeeping [1] improved [4] - 37:8, Fred[1] - 1:22 38:7, 38:10, 55:6 goodness [1] - 31:9 83:25, 84:7 <u>73:15</u> free [1] - 18:2 Gradually[1] - 58:23 Hearing [3] - 1:3, 4:1, improvement [1] houses [1] - 86:22 freedom [1] - 22:7 grateful [1] - 20:6 92:28 61:23 huge [1] - 37:11 Friday[1] - 5:27 grave [1] - 77:7 hearing [14] - 4:12, improvements [1] -Hughes [26] - 1:13, Friend[1] - 79:25 great [11] - 53:8, 4:13, 6:5, 8:18, 10:14, 56:1 3:6, 4:15, 4:29, 5:2, friend's [1] - 41:22 53:16, 53:27, 55:5, 12:7, 14:27, 43:21, 5:6, 6:10, 6:20, 7:16, in-house [1] - 72:11 friendship [1] -55:20, 60:5, 66:21, 67:5, 67:12, 74:27, 44:14, 44:27, 64:5, inadequate [1] -29:22 74:28, 91:29, 92:18 66:25, 85:23, 86:8 64:17, 71:6, 71:22, 36:26 friendships [2] hearings [16] - 4:17, incalculable [1] greater [2] - 34:28, 72:26, 73:6, 73:9, 26:17, 29:11 4:22, 9:28, 11:12, 78:1 60:3 73:21, 80:18, 81:2, froing [1] - 40:16 11:14, 11:16, 12:20, greatest [2] - 56:20, 84:22, 84:26, 85:1, incensed [1] - 81:14

Inchicore [1] - 86:27
inclined [1] - 41:23
included [2] - 40:17,
69:25
including [3] - 10:15,
<u>10:29, 61:26</u>
incredible [2] -
<u>51:17, 58:11</u>
Indeed [4] - 4:25,
6:28, 44:11, 46:21
indeed [15] - 8:2,
<u>9:18, 24:21, 26:4,</u>
<u>26:16, 28:3, 34:11,</u>
<u>46:24, 47:1, 47:12,</u>
<u>56:9, 63:28, 68:26,</u>
92:2
independent [1] -
88:25
Index [1] - 3:2
<u>Indian [1] - 90:12</u>
indicate [1] - 80:17
indicated [1] - 73:29
indiscipline [1] -
<u>85:23</u>
individual [5] - 4:22,
<u>15:23, 16:13, 19:13,</u>
<u>19:14</u>
industrial [5] - 56:16,
56:18, 56:22, 56:26,
89:28
infer [1] - 27:21
infer [1] - 27:21 infliction [1] - 78:7
infer [1] - 27:21
infer [1] - 27:21 infliction [1] - 78:7
infer [1] - 27:21 infliction [1] - 78:7 influence [1] - 59:25
infer [1] - 27:21 infliction [1] - 78:7 influence [1] - 59:25 influences [1] - 61:17
infer [1] - 27:21 infliction [1] - 78:7 influence [1] - 59:25 influences [1] - 61:17 informal [1] - 90:29
infer [1] - 27:21 infliction [1] - 78:7 influence [1] - 59:25 influences [1] - 61:17 informal [1] - 90:29 information [6] -
infer [1] - 27:21 infliction [1] - 78:7 influence [1] - 59:25 influences [1] - 61:17 informal [1] - 90:29 information [6] - 6:23, 10:14, 16:16,
infer [1] - 27:21 infliction [1] - 78:7 influence [1] - 59:25 influences [1] - 61:17 informal [1] - 90:29 information [6] - 6:23, 10:14, 16:16, 50:24, 90:19, 91:8
infer [1] - 27:21 infliction [1] - 78:7 influence [1] - 59:25 influences [1] - 61:17 informal [1] - 90:29 information [6] - 6:23, 10:14, 16:16, 50:24, 90:19, 91:8 injustice [1] - 76:2
infer [1] - 27:21 infliction [1] - 78:7 influence [1] - 59:25 influences [1] - 61:17 informal [1] - 90:29 information [6] - 6:23, 10:14, 16:16, 50:24, 90:19, 91:8 injustice [1] - 76:2
infer [1] - 27:21 infliction [1] - 78:7 influence [1] - 59:25 influences [1] - 61:17 informal [1] - 90:29 information [6] - 6:23, 10:14, 16:16, 50:24, 90:19, 91:8
infer [1] - 27:21 infliction [1] - 78:7 influence [1] - 59:25 influences [1] - 61:17 informal [1] - 90:29 information [6] - 6:23, 10:14, 16:16, 50:24, 90:19, 91:8 injustice [1] - 76:2 injustices [1] - 75:28 Inquire [1] - 1:2
infer [1] - 27:21 infliction [1] - 78:7 influence [1] - 59:25 influences [1] - 61:17 informal [1] - 90:29 information [6] - 6:23, 10:14, 16:16, 50:24, 90:19, 91:8 injustices [1] - 76:2 injustices [1] - 75:28 Inquire [1] - 1:2 inquiries [3] - 8:21,
infer [1] - 27:21 infliction [1] - 78:7 influence [1] - 59:25 influences [1] - 61:17 informal [1] - 90:29 information [6] - 6:23, 10:14, 16:16, 50:24, 90:19, 91:8 injustice [1] - 76:2 injustices [1] - 75:28 Inquire [1] - 1:2 inquiries [3] - 8:21, 11:11, 90:12
infer [1] - 27:21 infliction [1] - 78:7 influence [1] - 59:25 influences [1] - 61:17 informal [1] - 90:29 information [6] - 6:23, 10:14, 16:16, 50:24, 90:19, 91:8 injustices [1] - 76:2 injustices [1] - 75:28 Inquire [1] - 1:2 inquiries [3] - 8:21, 11:11, 90:12 Inquiry [11] - 1:18,
infer [1] - 27:21 infliction [1] - 78:7 influences [1] - 59:25 influences [1] - 61:17 informal [1] - 90:29 information [6] - 6:23, 10:14, 16:16, 50:24, 90:19, 91:8 injustices [1] - 76:2 injustices [1] - 75:28 Inquire [1] - 1:2 inquiries [3] - 8:21, 11:11, 90:12 Inquiry [11] - 1:18, 8:3, 8:23, 9:9, 9:16,
infer [1] - 27:21 infliction [1] - 78:7 influence [1] - 59:25 influences [1] - 61:17 informal [1] - 90:29 information [6] - 6:23, 10:14, 16:16, 50:24, 90:19, 91:8 injustice [1] - 76:2 injustices [1] - 75:28 Inquire [1] - 1:2 inquiries [3] - 8:21, 11:11, 90:12 Inquiry [11] - 1:18, 8:3, 8:23, 9:9, 9:16, 11:27, 15:5, 23:29,
infer [1] - 27:21 infliction [1] - 78:7 influence [1] - 59:25 influences [1] - 61:17 informal [1] - 90:29 information [6] - 6:23, 10:14, 16:16, 50:24, 90:19, 91:8 injustices [1] - 76:2 injustices [1] - 75:28 Inquire [1] - 1:2 inquiries [3] - 8:21, 11:11, 90:12 Inquiry [11] - 1:18, 8:3, 8:23, 9:9, 9:16, 11:27, 15:5, 23:29, 48:7, 88:4, 88:25
infer [1] - 27:21 infliction [1] - 78:7 influence [1] - 59:25 influences [1] - 61:17 informal [1] - 90:29 information [6] - 6:23, 10:14, 16:16, 50:24, 90:19, 91:8 injustice [1] - 76:2 injustices [1] - 75:28 Inquire [1] - 1:2 inquiries [3] - 8:21, 11:11, 90:12 Inquiry [11] - 1:18, 8:3, 8:23, 9:9, 9:16, 11:27, 15:5, 23:29,
infer [1] - 27:21 infliction [1] - 78:7 influence [1] - 59:25 influences [1] - 61:17 informal [1] - 90:29 information [6] - 6:23, 10:14, 16:16, 50:24, 90:19, 91:8 injustice [1] - 76:2 injustices [1] - 75:28 Inquire [1] - 1:2 inquiries [3] - 8:21, 11:11, 90:12 Inquiry [11] - 1:18, 8:3, 8:23, 9:9, 9:16, 11:27, 15:5, 23:29, 48:7, 88:4, 88:25 inquiry [7] - 8:19,
infer [1] - 27:21 infliction [1] - 78:7 influence [1] - 59:25 influences [1] - 61:17 informal [1] - 90:29 information [6] - 6:23, 10:14, 16:16, 50:24, 90:19, 91:8 injustice [1] - 76:2 injustices [1] - 75:28 Inquire [1] - 1:2 inquiries [3] - 8:21, 11:11, 90:12 Inquiry [11] - 1:18, 8:3, 8:23, 9:9, 9:16, 11:27, 15:5, 23:29, 48:7, 88:4, 88:25 inquiry [7] - 8:19, 8:29, 63:6, 63:7, 80:1,
infer [1] - 27:21 infliction [1] - 78:7 influence [1] - 59:25 influences [1] - 61:17 informal [1] - 90:29 information [6] - 6:23, 10:14, 16:16, 50:24, 90:19, 91:8 injustices [1] - 76:2 injustices [1] - 75:28 Inquire [1] - 1:2 inquiries [3] - 8:21, 11:11, 90:12 Inquiry [11] - 1:18, 8:3, 8:23, 9:9, 9:16, 11:27, 15:5, 23:29, 48:7, 88:4, 88:25 inquiry [7] - 8:19, 8:29, 63:6, 63:7, 80:1, 88:27, 90:17
infer [1] - 27:21 infliction [1] - 78:7 influence [1] - 59:25 influences [1] - 61:17 informal [1] - 90:29 information [6] - 6:23, 10:14, 16:16, 50:24, 90:19, 91:8 injustice [1] - 76:2 injustices [1] - 75:28 Inquire [1] - 75:28 Inquire [1] - 1:2 inquiries [3] - 8:21, 11:11, 90:12 Inquiry [11] - 1:18, 8:3, 8:23, 9:9, 9:16, 11:27, 15:5, 23:29, 48:7, 88:4, 88:25 inquiry [7] - 8:19, 8:29, 63:6, 63:7, 80:1, 88:27, 90:17 inquiry(
infer [1] - 27:21 infliction [1] - 78:7 influence [1] - 59:25 influences [1] - 61:17 informal [1] - 90:29 information [6] - 6:23, 10:14, 16:16, 50:24, 90:19, 91:8 injustice [1] - 76:2 injustices [1] - 75:28 Inquire [1] - 75:28 Inquire [1] - 1:2 inquiries [3] - 8:21, 11:11, 90:12 Inquiry [11] - 1:18, 8:3, 8:23, 9:9, 9:16, 11:27, 15:5, 23:29, 48:7, 88:4, 88:25 inquiry [7] - 8:19, 8:29, 63:6, 63:7, 80:1, 88:27, 90:17 inquiry(interjection [1] - 11:22
infer [1] - 27:21 infliction [1] - 78:7 influence [1] - 59:25 influences [1] - 61:17 informal [1] - 90:29 information [6] - 6:23, 10:14, 16:16, 50:24, 90:19, 91:8 injustice [1] - 76:2 injustices [1] - 75:28 Inquire [1] - 75:28 Inquire [1] - 1:2 inquiries [3] - 8:21, 11:11, 90:12 Inquiry [1] - 1:18, 8:3, 8:23, 9:9, 9:16, 11:27, 15:5, 23:29, 48:7, 88:4, 88:25 inquiry [7] - 8:19, 8:29, 63:6, 63:7, 80:1, 88:27, 90:17 inquiry(interjection [1] - 11:22 inside [2] - 68:5,
infer [1] - 27:21 infliction [1] - 78:7 influence [1] - 59:25 influences [1] - 61:17 informal [1] - 90:29 information [6] - 6:23, 10:14, 16:16, 50:24, 90:19, 91:8 injustice [1] - 76:2 injustices [1] - 75:28 Inquire [1] - 75:28 Inquire [1] - 1:2 inquiries [3] - 8:21, 11:11, 90:12 Inquiry [11] - 1:18, 8:3, 8:23, 9:9, 9:16, 11:27, 15:5, 23:29, 48:7, 88:4, 88:25 inquiry [7] - 8:19, 8:29, 63:6, 63:7, 80:1, 88:27, 90:17 inquiry(interjection [1] - 11:22 inside [2] - 68:5, 72:11
infer [1] - 27:21 infliction [1] - 78:7 influence [1] - 59:25 influences [1] - 61:17 informal [1] - 90:29 information [6] - 6:23, 10:14, 16:16, 50:24, 90:19, 91:8 injustice [1] - 76:2 injustices [1] - 75:28 Inquire [1] - 75:28 Inquire [1] - 1:2 inquiries [3] - 8:21, 11:11, 90:12 Inquiry [1] - 1:18, 8:3, 8:23, 9:9, 9:16, 11:27, 15:5, 23:29, 48:7, 88:4, 88:25 inquiry [7] - 8:19, 8:29, 63:6, 63:7, 80:1, 88:27, 90:17 inquiry(interjection [1] - 11:22 inside [2] - 68:5,
infer [1] - 27:21 infliction [1] - 78:7 influence [1] - 59:25 influences [1] - 61:17 informal [1] - 90:29 information [6] - 6:23, 10:14, 16:16, 50:24, 90:19, 91:8 injustices [1] - 76:2 injustices [1] - 76:2 injustices [1] - 75:28 Inquire [1] - 1:2 inquiries [3] - 8:21, 11:11, 90:12 Inquiry [11] - 1:18, 8:3, 8:23, 9:9, 9:16, 11:27, 15:5, 23:29, 48:7, 88:4, 88:25 inquiry [7] - 8:19, 8:29, 63:6, 63:7, 80:1, 88:27, 90:17 inquiry(interjection [1] - 11:22 inside [2] - 68:5, 72:11 insight [1] - 74:25
infer [1] - 27:21 infliction [1] - 78:7 influence [1] - 59:25 influences [1] - 61:17 informal [1] - 90:29 information [6] - 6:23, 10:14, 16:16, 50:24, 90:19, 91:8 injustice [1] - 76:2 injustices [1] - 75:28 Inquire [1] - 75:28 Inquire [1] - 1:2 inquiries [3] - 8:21, 11:11, 90:12 Inquiry [11] - 1:18, 8:3, 8:23, 9:9, 9:16, 11:27, 15:5, 23:29, 48:7, 88:4, 88:25 inquiry [7] - 8:19, 8:29, 63:6, 63:7, 80:1, 88:27, 90:17 inquiry(interjection [1] - 11:22 inside [2] - 68:5, 72:11 insight [1] - 74:25 inspector's [1] -
infer [1] - 27:21 infliction [1] - 78:7 influence [1] - 59:25 influences [1] - 61:17 informal [1] - 90:29 information [6] - 6:23, 10:14, 16:16, 50:24, 90:19, 91:8 injustices [1] - 76:2 injustices [1] - 76:2 injustices [1] - 75:28 Inquire [1] - 1:2 inquiries [3] - 8:21, 11:11, 90:12 Inquiry [11] - 1:18, 8:3, 8:23, 9:9, 9:16, 11:27, 15:5, 23:29, 48:7, 88:4, 88:25 inquiry [7] - 8:19, 8:29, 63:6, 63:7, 80:1, 88:27, 90:17 inquiry(interjection [1] - 11:22 inside [2] - 68:5, 72:11 insight [1] - 74:25

instance [7] - 14:13,

16:13, 41:28, 50:27, 51:24, 63:17, 63:20 instances [4] - 11:3, 49:8, 51:23, 77:28 instead [2] - 70:14, 79:14 **institution** [9] - 4:7, 4:12, 21:5, 21:7, 28:18, 36:17, 62:9, 62:14, 74:12 institutional [1] -4:21 institutions [1] instruct [1] - 65:16 Instructed [4] - 2:9, 2:13, 2:16, 2:20 instruction [1] - 66:1 insulation [1] - 55:25 intended [2] - 19:24,

insulation [1] - 55:25 intended [2] - 19:24, 65:19 intentional [2] -41:22, 42:8 intentionally [1] -13:8 intercommunicatio ns [1] - 90:21 interdepartmental

|1] - 72:16 | interested |3| - 91:4, |92:13 | interesting |1] - 54:1

interesting [1] - 54:1 interject [1] - 65:11 Interjection [2] -44:19, 45:7 international [3] -87:8, 89:21, 90:18

87:8, 89:21, 90:18 interrupt [3] - 42:15, 80:9, 88:12 interrupted [1] -79:25 intervention [1] -

68:18 interview [4] - 8:7, 9:1, 9:5, 53:4 interviewing [1] -8:15

interviews [1] - 8:24 intimacy [1] - 34:5 introduced [1] -82:26

37:22 inverted [3] - 47:8, 48:20

invaluable [1] -

48:20 investigated [1] -43:25 investigation [2] -

39:11, 80:16 Investigation [1] - 2:6 involve [1] - 37:18 involved [12] - 20:23, 23:29, 24:17, 26:11, 36:15, 37:28, 46:23, 46:24, 53:16, 76:11, 82:2, 90:11 involvement [1] -74:3 involving [2] - 46:8, 83:15 Involving [1] - 12:10

47:3 issues [2] - 7:4, 48:6 itself [8] - 14:1, 17:25, 27:29, 32:22, 40:17, 78:14, 85:10,

<u>J</u>

86:8

job [5] - 7:25, 9:14,
34:23, 53:22, 88:15
joined [1] - 82:3
Judge[1] - 84:3
judge[1] - 82:4
judgment [4] - 12:20,
27:24, 66:22, 84:17
July[1] - 92:1
June[2] - 1:11, 4:1
Justice[2] - 1:17,
82:6
justify [1] - 67:26

<u>K</u>

keep [4] - 19:24, 42:10, 65:19, 75:16 Kennedy[11] - 39:7, 39:18, 40:23, 42:1, 42:21, 42:27, 46:4, 48:1, 70:21 kept [1] - 16:14 kick [1] - 75:28 kind [24] - 14:9, 17:9, 20:13, 20:20, 21:21, 22:2, 22:11, 23:22, 31:3, 32:2, 32:16, 34:8, 35:2, 50:13, 56:26, 58:28, 59:26, 60:8, 60:29, 67:29, 72:16, 78:13, 79:5, 81:9 kitchen [1] - 85:14 knives [3] - 20:24, 21:6, 62:11 knocked [1] - 23:6 knowing [1] - 75:27 knowledge [8] -14:24, 18:6, 25:25, 31:8, 43:15, 43:18, 45:26, 91:8 known [4] - 11:18, 28:11, 45:2, 47:14 knuckle [3] - 20:24, 21:6. 62:10

L

lack [14] - 33:20, 36:20, 55:25, 63:25, 67:21, 67:26, 68:6, 68:7, 68:9, 68:12, 68:27, 85:6, 85:8 lads [1] - 19:27 Lady[1] - 86:28 laid [1] - 78:19 language [3] - 62:2, 62:4, 62:5 lapse [2] - 28:25, 32:3 large [3] - 34:1, 67:7, 70:7 largely [1] - 88:16 lash [1] - 25:27 last [5] - 9:24, 43:21, 53:6, 71:2, 92:5 late [3] - 36:22, 77:7, 85:13 latter [2] - 36:18, 60:13 Lavelle[1] - 2:16 law [2] - 28:27, 31:14 lead [5] - 18:7, 19:9, 33:19, 67:15, 88:25 leader [1] - 18:28 leaders [4] - 18:17, 18:18, 19:5, 36:1 leadership [1] -18:26 Leading[1] - 24:10 leading [2] - 24:22, 34:6 least [4] - 39:18, 61:17, 65:23, 66:13 leather [5] - 17:17,

17:28, 40:7, 41:11,

51:25

legal [1] - 88:23 leisure [1] - 59:22 length [1] - 55:20 less [1] - 65:29 lesser [2] - 17:12, letter [6] - 48:5, 48:6, 69:10, 69:14, 69:15, 71:2 level [4] - 60:12, 74:17, 88:3, 92:9 lever [1] - 5:26 lexicography [1] -47:28 liability [1] - 40:10 life [8] - 18:7, 24:27, 33:25, 34:6, 59:21, 59:24, 67:3, 83:16 light [3] - 31:7, 76:14, 84:17 likely [3] - 14:16, 14:19, 25:26 limit [2] - 35:9, 57:8 line [2] - 36:15, 88:22 linked [1] - 87:2 listened [1] - 84:9 listing [1] - 55:19 literature [1] - 59:20 live [4] - 59:21, 67:3, 67:18, 75:23 lives [2] - 67:15, <u>67:18</u> living [2] - 63:29, 66:16 loaned [1] - 2:27 locate [1] - 5:15 located [1] - 87:15 location [4] - 45:8, 45:10, 45:11, 45:22 locations [1] - 46:19 locked [2] - 62:18, 62:20 logically [2] - 30:24, 30:28 look [9] - 7:11, 31:14, 38:19, 39:16, 49:27, 59:19, 70:16, 76:18, 80:25 looked [2] - 69:26, 70:3 Looking[1] - 79:5 looking [4] - 17:23, 36:16, 85:6, 90:26

looks [1] - 83:26

Lourdes[3] - 86:26,

leave [3] - 32:24.

left [3] - 32:24,

81:28, 85:22

led [2] - 25:21, 82:24

52:2, 52:4

86:28 low [11] - 21:27, 21:29, 22:5, 22:15, 22:16, 22:20, 22:22, 22:24, 23:25, 79:21, 81:6 Lowe[4] - 1:22, 84:25, 85:4, 86:17 lying [1] - 52:10

M

Maccrudaithe [1] -Maguire [32] - 2:12, 3:10, 4:26, 5:7, 5:8, 6:7, 6:13, 7:5, 7:10, <u>41:19, 41:21, 41:27,</u> 42:3, 42:6, 42:15, 42:22, 64:13, 73:4, 73:7, 73:9, 73:14, 73:21, 80:7, 80:14, 83:21, 84:19, 84:22, 91:19, 91:25, 92:3, 92:19, 92:26 main [1] - 67:21 maintain [1] - 22:26 maintained [1] - 70:3 male [1] - 85:12 Malone [2] - 2:26, 2:28 man [4] - 35:7, 53:16, 60:19, 86:2 manage [1] - 58:12 management [16] -35:18, 35:19, 35:22, 36:10, 36:19, 58:4, 61:20, 71:9, 71:14, 74:4, 74:8, 74:17, 74:22, 77:8, 85:5, <u>88:13</u> Management [1] -Manager [1] - 64:29 manager [1] - 66:9 manner [1] - 2:27 manpower [1] -36:22 marched [1] - 69:1 Marian [1] - 1:21 mark [3] - 14:1, 14:3, Mary [2] - 2:12, 4:15 material [1] - 68:3 matter [9] - 9:2, 23:12, 24:14, 27:3, 31:1, 44:4, 44:12, 48:2, 88:16 matters [5] - 5:8,

5:24, 28:15, 73:27,

77:26 Mccabe [5] - 43:25, 44:3, 47:3, 47:7, <u>47:17</u> Mcgonigle [18] -25:11, 28:4, 31:2, 33:5, 35:25, 39:26, 48:3, 49:24, 58:12, 58:18, 59:3, 74:25, 76:6, 78:22, 81:10, 85:21, 86:12, 86:15 Mcgonigle's [6] -25:12, 34:19, 37:22, 47:10, 50:2, 85:7 Mcgovern [1] - 44:10 Mchugh [1] - 2:9 meal [2] - 68:13, 69:25 mean [42] - 8:11, 11:17, 12:4, 12:12, 13:5, 13:10, 13:23, 13:28, 14:10, 15:21, 17:21, 19:20, 19:27, 20:10, 22:10, 23:18, 28:16, 28:24, 32:1, 32:13, 32:23, 33:22, 36:14, 37:2, 40:26, 44:11, 45:11, 46:28, 51:4, 52:12, 52:27, 55:15, 55:21, 56:13, 57:2, 62:18, 68:14, 72:5, 80:27, 88:11, 88:18, 89:1 mean...(interjection [1] - 55:17 meandering [2] -33:8. 34:18 Meaning [1] - 75:19 meaning [1] - 81:16 means [2] - 26:7, 65:20 meant [2] - 22:25, 80:27 measure [3] - 66:19, 67:1, 83:5 measured [1] - 10:17 measures [2] -20:21, 34:7 meet [1] - 6:25 meetings [1] - 37:29 member [4] - 22:12, 33:27, 34:8, 88:7 Members [1] - 2:4 members [10] - 8:15, 16:16, 17:13, 23:20, 24:26, 24:27, 33:4, 39:18, 63:28, 77:20 memoir [6] - 31:2,

35:2, 37:23, 47:11,

49:22, 50:3

28:8 memoirs [2] - 33:7, 34:19 men [4] - 32:29, 65:18, 66:22, 77:8 mentality [1] - 65:27 mention [5] - 5:18, 8:8, 13:23, 25:9, 51:14 mentioned [5] -18:23, 24:24, 67:19, 70:29, 74:7 merely [1] - 65:18 metal [2] - 21:13, 25:1 mete [1] - 15:23 meted [3] - 15:21, 22:26, 52:7 meting [1] - 40:5 Mhuire [2] - 76:12, 88:88 Michael [5] - 1:13, 4:15, 5:2, 61:11, 64:17 microphone [2] -23:7, 73:16 mid-1960's [2] -24:6, 25:19 might [16] - 13:13, 27:25, 28:17, <u>30:6</u>, 31:22, 33:11, 33:27, 33:28, 41:4, 41:5, 51:5, 59:27, 62:13, 69:21, 85:10, 85:17 militated [1] - 82:20 milking [2] - 70:1, 70:10 mind [4] - 42:23, 54:3, 73:17, 77:15 minds [1] - 31:13 minimum [1] - 55:23 Minister [5] - 58:5. 58:20, 65:1, 65:13, <u>69:12</u> ministries [1] - 86:25 misdemeanour [1] -51:3 missed [1] - 50:10 missing [1] - 68:11 missionaries [1] -90:15 mistake [1] - 71:1 mix [1] - 59:23 mixed [1] - 37:27 modern [2] - 21:27, 56:26 modified [1] - 66:8 module [2] - 67:12, 67:13

Memoir [2] - 28:7,

moment [2] - 22:13, 41:28 monetary [1] - 36:21 money [2] - 81:14, 81:19 month [1] - 92:6 months [1] - 65:23 moral [6] - 28:21, 28:22, 28:25, 32:2, 32:3, 65:16 morality [1] - 32:5 morning [5] - 4:4. 4:5, 4:10, 78:4, 78:29 most [6] - 48:2, 60:23, 68:10, 75:24, 86:25, 88:1 motivation [1] -61:15 move [1] - 27:26 movement [1] -59:15 moves [1] - 42:23 moving [1] - 84:1 Mulcahy [2] - 65:4, 69:10 Murphy [3] - 91:22, 92:2, 92:16 must [4] - 2:26, 11:28, 30:24, 74:20 mutiny [2] - 62:14, 62:27

<u>N</u>

naive [3] - 33:12,

naivety [2] - 33:19,

name [3] - 16:17,

named [1] - 1:31

names [4] - 8:9, 9:9,

national [2] - 57:29,

33:15, 33:18

25:9, 60:18

13:24, 51:14

58:23

nationally [1] - 86:29 **native** [2] - 31:18, 31:20 Naturally [2] - 12:16, naturally [3] - 12:26, 21:14, 90:16 nature [8] - 21:14, 23:24, 25:26, 32:4, 34:11, 70:25, 82:23, 92:9 near [1] - 68:8 nearer [1] - 56:25 nearly [1] - 57:7 neatly [1] - 52:13

need [5] - 15:11, 43:20, 44:5, 58:27, <u>60:8</u> needed [4] - 36:14, 57:25, 58:26, 60:3 needs [5] - 68:7. 76:27, 79:14, 81:25 neglected [1] - 57:13 nervous [4] - 24:10, 24:22, 25:28, 38:29 never [10] - 10:19, 10:20, 14:25, 21:2, 21:9, 38:2, 46:20, 50:8, 68:15, 72:12 new [8] - 5:15, 6:6, 39:1, 60:8, 76:12, 85:17, 85:20, 85:28 newcomer [3] -18:27, 31:17, 31:24 newspapers [1] -48:11 next [1] - 64:7 nine [1] - 34:19 nipped [1] - 20:13 Nipped [1] - 20:15 nobody [1] - 14:4 none [1] - 53:18 None[1] - 20:17 norm [1] - 56:29 normal [3] - 40:8, 65:29, 67:3 normally [2] - 7:3, 45:19 note [1] - 81:28 notes [4] - 1:30, 72:16, 77:13, 80:9 Nothing [1] - 11:24 nothing [6] - 6:6, 11:21, 62:6, 62:22, <u>69:4, 86:1</u> notice [1] - 23:4 November [2] -74:29, 75:9 number [15] - 8:24, 11:3, 11:12, 22:1, 23:16, 23:17, 24:10, 28:18, 43:5, 48:11, 51:17, 59:9, 64:22, 65:2, 67:7 numbers [6] - 22:14, 23:15, 23:18, 52:6,

necessary [1] - 50:5

necks [1] - 22:12

<u>O</u>

65:12, 65:14

Odriscoll [2] - 68:19, 81:15 **Cleary** [39] - 2:19,

3:8, 4:24, 4:25, 5:3,	once [1] - 38:2	
<u>6:1, 6:3, 6:26, 6:28,</u>	one [57] - 10:17.	
<u>7:6, 7:14, 23:9, 31:26,</u>	10:18, 13:10, 15:16,	
<u>41:20, 41:25, 42:2,</u>	<u>16:8, 16:17, 16:18,</u>	
<u>42:6, 42:11, 42:12, </u>	18:24, 20:10, 20:23,	
<u>42:18, 44:11, 44:20, </u>	<u>22:5, 25:6, 25:7,</u>	
<u>44:23, 45:1, 45:20, </u>	27:26, 28:24, 30:2,	
<u>48:13, 48:22, 49:5,</u>	<u>34:3, 35:21, 36:6,</u>	
64:2, 64:5, 67:20,	39:18, 41:11, 41:27,	
71:13, 73:17, 79:24,	43:14, 44:25, 47:14,	
80:2, 80:6, 80:14,	48:7, 49:21, 49:26,	
80:19, 80:28	50:26, 51:13, 51:23,	
Oblate[5] - 30:14,	52:22, 53:1, 57:13,	
58:3, 58:4, 68:18,	59:14, 60:9, 62:9,	
86:21	63:16, 63:20, 64:9,	
Oblate's [2] - 56:3.	65:4, 65:5, 67:12,	
77:3	68:1, 71:2, 71:20, 74:20, 74:24, 75:26	
Oblates _[25] - 2:11.	<u>71:28, 74:24, 75:26,</u>	
<u>4:14, 4:16, 28:14,</u>	76:28, 77:7, 84:14,	
<u>31:28, 35:26, 36:12,</u>	<u>85:20, 86:19, 87:29</u>	
44:13, 61:20, 64:20,	One _[6] - 24:28,	
<u>66:6, 66:14, 67:19,</u>	<u>38:16, 63:6, 73:19,</u>	
<u>67:25, 68:28, 70:27,</u>	<u>85:19, 86:25</u>	
71:15, 72:10, 78: <u>23,</u>	one's [2] - 13:11,	
85:9, 85:16, 86:10 <u>,</u>	<u>18:24</u>	
87:29, 89:20, 90:15	ones [2] - 10:3, 72:1	•
obliged [4] - 7:14,	open [5] - 64:23,	
49:5, 80:6, 92:26	64:27, 65:7, 72:19,	
obviously [22] - 5:23,	83:10	
9:10, 19:9, 19:19,	opening [4] - 7:17,	
28:1, 32:1, 33:26,		
36:14, 47:8, 47:10,	24:2, 44:8, 54:2	
	operates [1] - 5:22	
<u>51:9, 52:15, 66:9,</u>	operating [2] - 5:23,	
<u>66:21, 72:5, 73:25,</u>	<u>24:11</u>	
<u>77:13, 78:4, 79:4,</u>	opportunity [1] -	
82:19, 83:24, 88:23	<u>64:14</u>	
Obviously[2] - 6:15,	opposed [2] - 34:21,	
<u>29:26</u>	<u>48:14</u>	
occasions [3] - 6:19,	optimism [1] - 70:29	
<u>11:4, 33:27</u>	optimistic [1] - 37:2	
occupied [1] - 65:19	oral [2] - 10:16,	
occurred [3] - 45:25,	10:29	
49:7, 77:24	order [2] - 22:26,	
October[1] - 64:27	70:18	
of(interjection [2] -	<u></u>	
56:6, 81:21	Order[24] - 5:22,	
<u>Offaly[2] - 57:19,</u>	<u>28:3, 33:4, 36:1,</u>	
	62:28, 66:14, 73:13,	
57:21	73:23, 73:26, 73:27,	
offences [2] - 33:28,	74:9, 74:11, 77:11,	
<u>34:8</u>	83:25, 86:21, 87:1,	
offer [1] - 57:22	<u>87:7, 87:8, 87:23,</u>	
offhand [1] - 25:8	<u>88:13, 89:27, 90:1,</u>	
office [2] - 41:5, 92:3	<u>91:26</u>	
officer [1] - 74:29	Order's [1] - 74:21	
official [1] - 58:7	ordinarily [1] - 71:28	
often [1] - 19:28	ordination [1] -	
old [3] - 54:9, 68:22,	<u>32:14</u>	
85:18	organisation [1] -	
	74:4	
older [3] - 12:10.		
<u>29:12, 29:22</u>	<u>organised [4] -</u>	

5:15, 15:16, 15:19, 7:1 original [1] - 9:26 originally [1] - 83:2 orphanage [1] - 57:8 otherwise [1] - 81:29 ourselves [1] - 78:16 out...(interjection [1] 7:8 outlawed [1] - 40:27 outlook [4] - 32:16, 5:22, 65:29, 85:29 outside [1] - 33:3 overwhelmed [1] -8:22 overwhelming [1] own [12] - 8:29, 22:7, 5:25, 26:7, 31:29, 2:10, 50:2, 76:10, 0:23, 82:4, 82:8, <u>4:2</u>

F

pace [2] - 79:10, 9:18 page [6] - 50:4, 50:6, 0:16, 65:2, 69:17, <u>5:3</u> paid [7] - 57:20, 7:29, 58:1, 58:8<u>,</u> 9:9, 69:17, 70:3 papers [1] - 85:7 parish [1] - 86:24 Park [1] - 1:6 part [16] - 9:14, 9:16, <u>:27, 34:18, 34:19, </u> 4:22, 40:8, 41:3<u>,</u> 1:23, 50:4, 50:7, 0:16, 50:18, 58:8, 7:18, 87:21 particular [5] -2:11, 16:9, 28:2<u>,</u> 5:3, 88:1<u>5</u> particularly [2] -6:10, 41:27 partners [1] - 82:10 parts [2] - 16:8, 7.23 party [1] - 2:27 passage [1] - 65:7 passing [1] - 72:16 past [1] - 87:24 peer [5] - 28:9, 8:11, 28:24, 29:29, 0:16 peers [1] - 37:20 pejorative [1] - 47:16 penis [1] - 10:18

11:15, 12:23, 15:4, 18:17, 18:23, 22:28, 25:26, 26:11, 27:11, 32:23, 35:27, 38:1, 38:17, 38:18, 40:4, 40:6, 46:22, 56:21, 63:14, 63:16, 67:2, 84:8, 90:22 people's [4] - 13:24, 30:20, 31:12, 32:22 per [2] - 75:17, 75:21 perfectly [1] - 91:28 perhaps [10] - 28:6, 33:10, 41:6, 46:18, 48:23, 50:2, 51:1, 54:1, 55:27, 74:11 period [18] - 9:23, 20:28, 21:21, 21:24, 21:26, 24:24, 32:21, 32:25, 36:22, 38:26, 43:1, 43:5, 43:6, 45:29, 61:27, 65:25, 66:7, 66:16 periods [4] - 14:29, 15:1, 65:26, 74:1 permissible [2] -17:11 permission [2] -2:28, 18:5 person [5] - 12:14, 12:15, 14:2, 68:5, 88:25 personal [1] - 14:24 Personally [1] personally [2] -<u>16:22, 25:10</u> personnel [7] - 8:7, 8:11, 8:13, 24:16, 87:2, 87:15, 87:22 perspective [2] -43:10, 43:11 persuade [2] - 54:4, 54:17 persuaded [3] - 76:6, 86:11, 86:14 pertained [1] - 67:22 pertinent [1] - 5:20 Phase [22] - 4:12, 4:17, 4:20, 8:3, 9:8, 9:11, 9:15, 9:28, 11:26, 15:5, 18:13, 18:21, 51:4, 53:26, 63:13, 67:5, 67:6, 67:13, 78:11, 84:2, 84:7, 92:22 phase [2] - 44:9, 84:1 Phase...(

people [24] - 6:22,

interjection [1] - 4:7 phased [3] - 59:11, 78:22, 85:22 photocopied [1] -2:26 phraseology [1] -29:5 physical [16] - 15:6, 15:20, 15:24, 16:4, 18:7, 19:13, 29:26, 34:9, 34:21, 34:23, 34:29, 39:4, 39:21, 63:14, 77:10, 77:16 physically [2] -10:11, 39:27 pick [2] - 64:15 picked [1] - 23:10 picture [2] - 63:27, 64:1 piecemeal [2] -52:12, 52:14 pieces [1] - 49:20 pile [1] - 18:23 pilgrimage [2] -86:26 place [12] - 14:20, 15:7, 33:28, 36:16, 37:24, 41:12, 59:17, 61:6, 65:24, 68:12, 86:3 plan [1] - 8:17 planning [1] - 46:25 play [1] - 29:19 playground [1] plenary [1] - 8:25 plus [1] - 85:11 Pm [2] - 5:27, 92:28 point [16] - 10:1, 12:5, 21:20, 33:14, 33:23, 39:24, 43:14, 47:2, 61:9, 62:21, 73:15, 74:15, 77:17, 80:15, 92:16 pointed [3] - 46:25, 56:19, 73:11 pointing [2] - 7:8, 67:17 points [3] - 36:7, 44:25, 86:5 policy [1] - 79:19 politics [1] - 79:14 poor [2] - 67:23, 69:6 popular [2] - 71:4, 71:26 population [1] -23:24 portion [2] - 69:20, 69:23 position [5] - 6:21,

39:29, 65:12, 70:16,
73:22
positive [5] - 22:9,
54:4, 54:11, 54:17,
65:24
possession [2] - 6:9,
20:26
possibilities [1] -
<u>26:1</u>
possibility [10] -
<u>25:29, 26:1, 26:5,</u>
26:6, 30:14, 30:25,
33:16, 33:21, 37:18,
<u>75:25</u>
possible [3] - 29:15,
<u>35:23, 58:7</u>
pot [1] - 75:16
potentially [2] - 40:5,
<u>40:10</u>
poverty [2] - 79:22,
<u>81:18</u>
practice [11] - 40:1,
<u>40:5, 40:18, 41:14,</u>
<u>41:15, 46:7, 46:9,</u>
46:14, 46:17, 46:21,
<u>78:18</u>
practice(
interjection [1] - 41:18
precise [1] - 21:26
prefect [9] - 17:10,
prefect [9] - 17:10, 50:23, 52:27, 53:1,
<u>53:6, 53:11, 53:12</u>
prefects [2] - 49:17,
<u>53:18</u>
premise [1] - 55:15
premises [3] - 57:9,
<u>57:29, 70:25</u>
prepare [1] - 7:28
prepared [4] - 7:17,
20:21, 23:1, 79:28
preparing [2] -
<u>52:26, 62:10</u>
presence [2] - 82:25,
DI COCITOC 2 02.20,
86:21
86:21 Present [1] - 2:4
<u>86:21</u>
86:21 Present [1] - 2:4 present [2] - 5:21, 90:6
86:21 Present [1] - 2:4 present [2] - 5:21, 90:6 presented [1] - 32:11
86:21 Present [1] - 2:4 present [2] - 5:21, 90:6
86:21 Present [1] - 2:4 present [2] - 5:21. 90:6 presented [1] - 32:11 preserve [2] - 54:5,
86:21 Present [1] - 2:4 present [2] - 5:21. 90:6 presented [1] - 32:11 preserve [2] - 54:5, 54:18 pressing [1] - 73:18
86:21 Present [1] - 2:4 present [2] - 5:21. 90:6 presented [1] - 32:11 preserve [2] - 54:5, 54:18 pressing [1] - 73:18 pressure [2] - 38:20.
86:21 Present [1] - 2:4 present [2] - 5:21. 90:6 presented [1] - 32:11 preserve [2] - 54:5, 54:18 pressing [1] - 73:18 pressure [2] - 38:20, 38:23
86:21 Present [1] - 2:4 present [2] - 5:21. 90:6 presented [1] - 32:11 preserve [2] - 54:5, 54:18 pressing [1] - 73:18 pressure [2] - 38:20. 38:23 presumably [5] -
86:21 Present [1] - 2:4 present [2] - 5:21. 90:6 presented [1] - 32:11 preserve [2] - 54:5, 54:18 pressing [1] - 73:18 pressure [2] - 38:20. 38:23 presumably [5] - 20:7, 21:18, 30:7,
86:21 Present [1] - 2:4 present [2] - 5:21. 90:6 presented [1] - 32:11 preserve [2] - 54:5, 54:18 pressing [1] - 73:18 pressure [2] - 38:20, 38:23 presumably [5] - 20:7, 21:18, 30:7, 38:27, 70:6
86:21 Present [1] - 2:4 present [2] - 5:21. 90:6 presented [1] - 32:11 preserve [2] - 54:5, 54:18 pressing [1] - 73:18 pressure [2] - 38:20, 38:23 presumably [5] - 20:7, 21:18, 30:7, 38:27, 70:6 presume [7] - 9:14,
86:21 Present [1] - 2:4 present [2] - 5:21. 90:6 presented [1] - 32:11 preserve [2] - 54:5, 54:18 pressing [1] - 73:18 pressure [2] - 38:20, 38:23 presumably [5] - 20:7, 21:18, 30:7, 38:27, 70:6

pretty [4] - 49:29,

60:19, 63:11, 92:22 previously [3] -11:29, 50:15, 74:27 priest [1] - 68:18 priests [2] - 37:14, 85:19 primarily [1] - 65:8 primary [5] - 57:8, 58:14, 58:16, 58:23, prime [1] - 24:27 principal [2] - 71:4, priorities [3] - 79:21, 81:6, 81:20 prison [3] - 65:8, 83:2, 83:6 prisons [1] - 83:3 privacy [1] - 34:3 private [2] - 4:22, 9:27 privilege [1] - 86:5 privileges [1] - 86:6 probation [1] - 74:29 problem [9] - 5:10, 5:17, 6:16, 6:24, 17:1 28:21, 28:22, 54:21, 83:13 problems [9] - 34:4, 55:6, 59:21, 60:5, 61:25, 68:10, 75:4, 75:20, 76:17 procedure [2] -48:23, 49:3 proceedings [1] process [6] - 65:21, productive [1] profession [1] -

83:28, 83:29, 84:1, 84:7 produced [1] - 39:12 67:18 76:24 professional [2] -7:22, 7:24 professionally [1] -76:21 professionals [1] -37:28 profile [1] - 25:2 programme [1] -57:13 programmes [1] -57:22 progress [1] - 65:25 progressed [1] -

79:11

prominent [2] -

86:25, 90:15

protection [1] -19:25 provided [3] - 23:23, 68:22, 75:2 province [1] - 87:12 Provinces [1] - 89:27 provinces [2] -90:20, 90:28 Provincial [13] -4:16, 5:21, 7:26, 9:15, 36:1, 67:16, 86:14, 88:5, 89:2, 89:15, 89:16, 91:25, 92:17 provisos [1] - 83:18 psychiatric [6] -30:5, 60:4, 68:9, 75:4, 76:17, 76:27 psychological [6] -28:16, 30:6, 34:5, 60:4, 76:26, 78:8 psychologically [2] -13:4, 55:29 Public [1] - 1:3 public [6] - 4:12, 4:13, 34:6, 48:2, 67:11, 68:20 publications [1] -48:4 punched [2] - 63:15, 63:20 punches [1] - 15:22 punish [1] - 34:21 punished [2] - 44:2, 48:1 Punishment [7] -15:18, 49:18, 49:27, 50:1, 52:17, 52:18, 52:19 punishment [37] -15:24, 17:1, 17:9, 17:18, 18:3, 22:26, 25:27, 34:23, 34:29, 39:5, 39:21, 40:6, 40:24, 40:27, 43:7, 43:12, 43:16, 43:25, 44:29, 46:29, 47:15, 47:27, 48:29, 51:27, 52:6, 78:3, 78:6, 78:8, 78:10, 78:12, 78:15,

promises [3] - 61:18,

proper [3] - 65:20.

properly [2] - 22:28,

property [2] - 56:2,

61:21, 61:22

65:24, 68:13

55:6

56:3

17:13, 52:25 pupils [7] - 10:18, 27:15, 58:16, 58:24, 67:24, 68:8, 76:28 purpose [9] - 9:26. 15:28, 19:23, 21:15, 24:15, 39:26, 46:1, 65:15, 92:11 purposes [4] - 7:18, 65:6, 66:5, 72:1 put [12] - 37:13, 44:3, 47:8, 48:20, 56:23, 60:10, 67:3, 70:18, 71:21, 86:3, 86:9, 89:4 puts [2] - 43:10 putting [2] - 73:17, 85:11

Q qualifications [1] -Questioned[4] - 3:9, 3:11.64:17.85:1 questioned [5] -43:27, 44:9, 60:2, 72:22 Questioning [2] -72:26, 91:16 questions [14] -11:20, 27:4, 44:22 44:23, 44:26, 47:27, 52:22, 64:13, 73:1, 78:27, 80:10, 84:20, 89:3, 92:12 quibble [1] - 45:21 quickly [1] - 18:28 quite [16] - 8:24, 23:1, 25:2, 32:7, 34:1, 38:23, 56:27, 63:11, 67:13, 69:15, 69:23, 70:7, 78:14, 79:6, 90:15. 90:21 quotation [2] - 68:4, 71:2 quote [1] - 74:26 <u>R</u>

raised [3] - 25:3, 47:27, 70:13 random [1] - 15:20 rape [3] - 10:15, <u>10:21, 12:8</u> rather [3] - 61:5, 72:19, 83:3 ratio [2] - 21:27, read [5] - 47:10,

76:8 reading [6] - 26:25, 26:26, 48:11, 57:14, 79:28, 80:15 real [4] - 68:10, 71:27, 75:23, 77:25 realise [2] - 11:28, 33:29 realised [3] - 57:24, 58:25, 60:8 really [18] - 11:14, 12:19, 12:21, 20:17, 21:22, 30:5, 36:25, 36:29, 51:7, 51:21, 57:11, 58:27, 75:19, 80:25, 80:27, 88:26, 88:29, 91:2 reason [1] - 70:26 reasonable [1] -67:25 reasonably [1] -55:24 reasons [4] - 24:20, 55:8, 67:22, 75:27 recalcitrant [1] -<u>83:12</u> received [2] - 5:26, 88:20 receptive [1] - 66:1 recognise [4] -57:26, 57:28, 58:17, 67:14 recognised [7] -17:8, 17:12, 58:6, 58:14, 59:1, 59:8, 59:17 recognition [2] -<u>60:14, 76:1</u> recollect [1] - 91:22 recollections [1] -25:12 recommend [4] -56:24, 60:16, 61:10, 61:11 record [2] - 49:23, 53:23 recorded [1] - 52:5 records [6] - 50:8, 51:5, 51:6, 52:9, 52:16, 52:19 recovered [2] -<u>52:12, 52:13</u> red [1] - 73:18 Reedy[7] - 2:6, 65:1, 65:6, 68:1, 69:10<u>,</u> 71:4, 71:26 refectory [3] - 68:14,

68:16, 68:23

refer [6] - 15:11,

53:25, 56:14, 57:1,

78:17, 78:19, 85:22,

punishments [2] -

85:25, 86:1, 86:4

28:26, 36:22, 37:22, 52:21 55:7 rub_[1] - 69:7 screening [4] -39:14, 77:13 relating [1] - 28:1 resources [18] rule [5] - 28:29, 29:6, 32:18, 32:20, 32:23, reference [11] - 6:8, relation [30] - 4:13, 22:23, 36:21, 36:23, 29:9, 29:21 32:25 24:2, 25:11, 44:6, 5:24, 5:27, 9:2, 14:23, 36:26, 38:14, 38:26, ruled [1] - 47:17 se [2] - 75:17, 75:21 44:17, 49:21, 50:10, 67:21, 67:26, 67:29, 18:12, 18:14, 19:13, rules [6] - 17:11, searing [1] - 14:9 65:2, 69:16, 78:4, 68:3, 68:6, 68:11, 25:11, 26:21, 27:29, 28:26, 29:2, 29:9, second [5] - 20:5, 28:15, 28:17, 31:28, 78:5 79:13, 81:24, 83:18, 49:22. 65:3. 74:27. 29:20, 29:28 85:6, 85:8 82<u>:1</u> references [1] -32:19, 33:16, 34:16, run [2] - 4:14, 89:28 68:17 34:20, 35:25, 37:18, Resources[1] - 38:13 running [5] - 36:16, Second_[1] - 79:9 42:21, 46:3, 46:21, referred [7] - 32:3, respect [7] - 5:11. secondary [1] -38:9, 62:1, 78:16, 36:20, 39:19, 50:15, 55:3, 63:14, 74:8, 39:4 39:21 53:21 87:29 78:18 74:7, 74:18, 76:28 77:11, 78:27, 83:23, 60:17, 60:21, 82:4 secret [1] - 72:20 Ryan[2] - 1:17, 84:3 referring [7] - 25:13, respected [1] - 60:19 secretary [1] - 39:20 36:2, 37:25, 39:8, relationship [3] respondent [1] -Secretary [2] - 69:11, <u>S</u> 53:5, 68:1, 82:29 72:4, 72:8, 72:14 2:27 71:3 relatively [1] - 59:9 refers [1] - 35:25 respondents [1] sad [1] - 67:15 secrete [1] - 21:17 release [1] - 49:25 reflect [2] - 31:6, 4:22 section [2] - 87:9. saints [1] - 31:25 34:17 released [3] - 50:22, responding [2] -87:10 sanctioned [1] reflected [3] - 36:9, 52:14, 75:26 88:21, 88:24 sections [1] - 29:15 78:19 80:17, 90:27 relevant [1] - 88:7 response [2] - 64:25, see [31] - 6:21, 8:19, saw [1] - 50:8 reflecting [2] - 33:24, relied [3] - 5:13, 5:28 67:20 10:14, 11:9, 11:15, **Sc**[3] - 2:7, 2:12, religion [1] - 65:16 66:9 responses [1] - 4:21 12:19, 20:20, 22:9, 2:19 reflection [5] - 68:29, rely [4] - 6:2, 49:17, responsibility [2] school [79] - 19:21, 26:29, 27:20, 27:25, 79:3, 82:1, 82:19 15:23, 72:6 67:25, 84:16 31:4, 31:17, 31:21, 22:11, 23:16, 28:26, reflections [1] remain [2] - 12:29, responsible [5] -33:5, 33:22, 33:23, 29:6, 33:26, 34:1, 83:20 66:15 38:1, 59:7, 87:29, 41:18, 44:1, 47:16, 36:27, 37:10, 38:9, reflects [1] - 31:3 remarks [4] - 37:23, 88:21, 88:23 49:27, 50:13, 50:26, 43:2, 43:4, 43:8, reform [4] - 59:15, 72:9, 72:16, 72:20 rest [2] - 55:24, 53:15, 55:2, 57:27, 43:13, 43:26, 44:3, 59:18, 68:23, 71:28 remedial [4] - 57:12, 60:27 62:5, 68:1, 71:21, 46:5, 47:15, 53:10, 5<u>8:26, 59:23, 60:27</u> 7<u>3:16, 92:21</u> reformable [1] result [4] - 25:3, 56:25, 57:7, 57:9, 65:26 remedy [1] - 76:4 46:7, 79:12, 83:27 seem [7] - 5:15, 57:28, 57:29, 58:6, reformation [1] remember [9] - 6:16, retreat [1] - 86:24 42:26, 79:6, 82:3, <u>58:12, 58:16, 58:18,</u> 65:28 13:18, 13:19, 13:20, 82:6, 83:13, 85:9 retrospect [3] -58:23, 58:28, 58:29, reformatories [4] -13:26, 14:5, 16:19, 33:12, 34:27, 35:15 segments [1] - 52:14 59:6, 59:7, 59:8, 56:16, 82:5, 83:1, 16:27, 25:8 self [2] - 7:24, 7:28 return [2] - 61:9, 59:11, 60:14, 60:22 89:28 remind [2] - 78:16, 75:25 60:27, 63:5, 65:7, Self [1] - 7:25 Reformatory 1] -85:25 reveal [1] - 32:22 self-taught [2] -65:8, 65:12, 65:14, removed [1] - 38:28 review [1] - 65:14 65:15, 65:19, 68:8, 7:24, 7:28 reformatory [11] rendering [1] - 82:14 revisits [1] - 33:10 68:23, 70:16, 70:21, Self-taught [1] - 7:25 59:17, 60:9, 64:22, replacing [1] - 65:17 rewards [2] - 86:4, 71:8, 71:9, 71:15, semantics [1] -65:8, 66:5, 67:8, 71:16, 72:1, 72:5, Report[10] - 39:7, 86:5 48:26 78:28, 79:1, 81:13, 42:1, 42:22, 48:1, 72:6, 72:15, 74:3, riot [7] - 20:10, send [2] - 43:3, 76:2 83:15, 90:4 56:14, 56:15, 56:19, 20:11, 21:7, 21:9, 74:5, 74:16, 75:5, senior [5] - 87:2, regard [12] - 8:11, <u>57:1, 60:1</u> 75:13, 76:2, 76:15, 46:24, 46:25, 62:24 87:14, 87:19, 87:22, 8:15, 15:6, 21:11, 76:16, 76:20, 77:4, report [7] - 39:10, rioting [1] - 62:27 87:24 23:15, 46:26, 50:3, 78:22, 79:22, 82:9, 39:11, 40:17, 43:26, riots [6] - 20:7, 20:9, sense [23] - 12:1, 50:17, 77:10, 77:14, 82:12, 82:20, 82:21, 44:4, 44:5, 49:21 18:7, 22:10, 32:11, 20:17, 20:23, 61:26, 77:20, 78:3 83:10, 83:11, 85:15, 32:12, 32:20, 32:23, represent [2] -62:9 regime [1] - 15:6 27:11, 88:6 robbed [1] - 16:26 85:23 34:5, 36:10, 38:20, regimented [1] represented [1] role [3] - 34:28, School [1] - 4:14 56:19, 57:29, 64:11, 22<u>:10</u> schooling [1] - 64:22 88:3 35:13, 87:26 65:16, 66:4, 66:24, schools [13] - 40:27, register [1] - 54:10 roll [1] - 54:10 reproduced [1] -66:25, 66:27, 66:28<u>,</u> Registrar[1] - 2:6 56:16, 56:17, 56:24, 82:26, 83:6, 84:10, Rome[2] - 89:25, 2:27 78:18, 78:21, 81:13, regulations [2] require [1] - 57:14 88:28 89:26 43:20, 78:20 82:13, 82:17, 85:27, research [1] - 68:20 sent [9] - 24:28, room [4] - 10:11, 89:28, 90:12 rejecting [1] - 47:9 57:5, 61:1, 62:18, Resident[1] - 64:29 41:4, 45:19, 88:19 Scoil [2] - 76:12, 88:8 relapses [1] - 65:25 residential [2] - 76:7, 65:14, 76:2, 76:15, rooms [1] - 23:17 relate [1] - 5:10 scouts [1] - 71:27 83:3 ropes [1] - 63:17 screened [2] - 13:19, related [1] - 29:28 separate [1] - 83:11 resonance [1] - 14:9 rota [1] - 38:28 relates [2] - 24:3, 32:15 separated [2] resource [2] - 38:16, round [1] - 25:18

<u>29:17, 29:18</u>	shorthand [1] - 1:30	Sometimes [1] - 6:18	stairs [3] - 45:4,	stress [2] - 24:8,
<u>serious [10] - 17:9,</u>	show [1] - 43:20	somewhat [1] -	<u>45:6, 45:18</u>	<u>24:21</u>
20:22, 61:25, 68:11,	showed [1] - 58:4	<u>21:19</u>	<u>stand</u> [1] - 83:14	stressed [1] - 25:28
<u>75:4, 75:20, 77:18,</u>	shows [2] - 44:1,	somewhere [3] -	standard [1] - 78:17	stresses [4] - 23:24,
<u>78:8, 78:25</u>	<u>46:10</u>	<u>47:13, 68:17, 88:19</u>	standards [2] -	23:29, 77:6, 85:24
serve [1] - 53:9	shrine [1] - 86:27	sorry [15] - 20:14,	<u>21:28, 22:4</u>	stressful [1] - 25:22
service [1] - 76:17	sic [1] - 4:16	<u>22:16, 25:21, 27:9,</u>	start [2] - 4:27, 55:5	Strictly[1] - 45:12
Services [2] - 2:26,	sickness [1] - 75:23	<u>30:9, 41:19, 41:25,</u>	started [5] - 8:18,	striking [1] - 40:6
<u>2:28</u>	side [2] - 15:19,	<u>41:26, 42:13, 42:14,</u>	<u>59:16, 76:6, 90:6,</u>	stroke [1] - 53:24
services [4] - 30:5,	<u>23:25</u>	<u>42:20, 44:20, 64:8,</u>	<u>92:2</u>	strong [1] - 20:2
<u>60:4, 68:9, 85:26</u>	<u>sides [1] - 87:4</u>	<u>74:23, 78:1</u>	State [8] - 45:16,	stronger [1] - 35:13
sessions [2] - 92:20,	sifting [1] - 88:17	Sorry [10] - 23:3,	56:2, 68:22, 68:26,	strongly [3] - 29:14,
<u>92:22</u>	<u>sign [7] - 37:12.</u>	<u>31:21, 31:26, 41:20,</u>	<u>70:17, 76:16, 79:13,</u>	<u>56:22, 70:28</u>
set [7] - 6:22, 13:9,	<u>62:8, 62:11, 62:12,</u>	<u>46:28, 55:18, 55:21,</u>	<u>85:26</u>	struck [5] - 39:23,
<u>23:16, 56:25, 83:1,</u>	<u>62:13, 63:4, 65:23</u>	<u>71:6, 81:1, 88:10</u>	state [3] - 26:10,	41:10, 48:29, 51:24,
<u>85:16, 85:27</u>	significance [1] -	sort [2] - 6:22, 28:16	<u>55:10, 79:16</u>	<u>84:12</u>
<u>setting [2] - 23:12,</u>	<u>80:8</u>	<u>sorted</u> [1] - 76:5	State's [2] - 68:27	structure [1] - 41:3
<u>56:28</u>	signs [1] - 65:24	sound [1] - 88:18	statement [13] -	struggle [1] - 60:12
settling [1] - 75:13	<u>simply [1] - 6:8</u>	<u>span [1] - 87:4</u>	<u>7:17, 7:18, 7:28, 8:2,</u>	student [2] - 14:28,
seven [4] - 25:18,	sinister [1] - 62:7	speaking [3] - 45:13,	<u>8:10, 9:26, 24:2,</u>	<u>74:1</u>
<u>32:21, 32:25, 53:24</u>	<u>sit [1] - 5:5</u>	<u>51:9, 53:28</u>	<u>52:26, 54:2, 64:24,</u>	students [3] - 15:21,
several [1] - 67:10	sitting [2] - 42:27,	Speaking [1] - 80:13	<u>79:28, 80:12, 81:29</u>	<u>30:17, 67:8</u>
severe [6] - 19:21,	<u>43:1</u>	special [7] - 34:7,	statements [1] -	studied [2] - 32:2,
<u>19:23, 19:27, 55:6,</u>	situation [24] - 6:25,	<u>56:24, 58:18, 59:8,</u>	<u>64:25</u>	<u>32:5</u>
<u>78:11, 78:13</u>	<u>7:13, 17:4, 17:20,</u>	60:14, 63:9, 85:26	States [1] - 85:20	study [3] - 14:23,
sex [1] - 10:16	<u>18:4, 19:6, 19:9,</u>	specific [2] - 10:3,	<u>stay [1] - 70:27</u>	<u>24:29, 32:10</u>
<u>Sexual_[1] - 32:5</u>	<u>19:16, 25:4, 33:25,</u>	<u>13:26</u>	stenographer [2] -	subject [3] - 8:14,
sexual [20] - 10:4,	34:9, 41:2, 60:19,	specifically [1] -	<u>23:9, 73:19</u>	<u>14:2, 53:8</u>
10:5, 10:28, 10:29,	60:21, 66:15, 67:22,	80:18	Stenography [2] -	subjects [1] - 58:27
<u>14:20, 26:24, 27:14,</u>	70:12, 77:9, 79:15,	speculate [1] - 26:19	<u>2:26, 2:28</u>	submissions [1] -
<u>27:19, 27:22, 28:8,</u>	<u>85:22, 85:28, 91:5</u>	speculation [1] -	<u>steps [2] - 54:9,</u>	<u>80:28</u>
<u>29:23, 31:4, 32:16,</u>	<u>situations</u> [2] - 91:1,	<u>26:19</u>	54:12	subsidiary [1] -
34:11, 34:22, 37:19, 63:24, 77:10, 77:16,	91:3	spent [2] - 30:15,	still [7] - 9:1, 38:5,	<u>65:18</u>
77:28	<u>six [4] - 51:10, 53:3,</u>	85:14	38:6, 43:7, 52:15, 59:29, 77:21	substandard [1] -
<u>sexuality [2] - 31:29,</u>	53:24, 53:29	<u>spoken [1] - 9:25</u> sport [2] - 59:22,	stomach [1] - 63:21	<u>66:17</u>
32:10	sixty [1] - 77:24	83:17	stone [1] - 70:2	succeeded [1] -
sexualization [1] -	<u>size [1] - 29:16</u>			<u>66:20</u>
30:23	skepticism [1] - 86:8	<u>spotted [1] - 20:18</u> spread [1] - 87:9	stood [1] - 79:20 stop [5] - 20:11.	<u>success [2] - 67:1,</u>
sexually [1] - 33:16	skills [2] - 7:28,	square [1] - 15:2	20:21, 22:17, 40:24.	82:20
Seán [1] - 1:17	<u>57:14</u>	St [2] - 4:13, 79:22	40:25	successfully [1] -
shall [6] - 8:9, 18:17.	slaps [1] - 50:17	<u>stable [1] - 68:22</u>	<u>stopped</u> [6] - 16:26,	82:29
25:5, 35:29, 40:8,	slightest [2] - 31:7.	stables [1] - 68:21	21:11, 40:1, 40:18,	suffered [1] - 55:26
61:14	46:17		43:13, 46:9	sufficient [3] - 56:10.
Shanley [13] - 1:21,	slightly [1] - 92:7	stacked [1] - 52:13	stories [2] - 54:4,	67:2, 76:26
86:18, 86:19, 86:23,	slow [2] - 79:10,	<u>staff [34] - 8:15,</u> 17:13, 19:24, 20:20,	54:17	suggest [6] - 21:5,
86:29, 87:6, 87:10,	79:18	21:25, 22:2, 22:3,	story [1] - 59:14	<u>22:25, 25:26, 27:16,</u>
87:14, 87:18, 87:21,	small [1] - 19:27	22:12, 23:15, 23:17,	straight [1] - 92:15	34:9, 34:26
87:27, 88:10, 89: <u>5</u>	smaller [1] - 29:17	23:19, 23:20, 24:23,	strange [1] - 72:4	<u>suggested [1] -</u> 70:22
Shanley's [1] - 92:11	society [6] - 31:1,	24:27, 26:24, 28:12,	strap [10] - 16:22,	suggesting [8] -
shocked [2] - 11:26,	<u>38:5, 75:28, 79:23,</u> 81:25	33:27, 34:7, 35:3,	16:26, 16:29, 17:28,	11:9, 17:15, 26:29,
11:29		<u>35:16, 38:20, 38:22,</u>	40:7, 41:11, 49:1,	<u>27:9, 27:14, 27:17,</u>
shocking [1] - 84:10	society's [2] - 79:13,	43:27, 55:26, 61:16,	50:8, 51:25, 52:25	<u>27:18, 76:10</u>
short [2] - 14:29,	79:21	66:16, 67:24, 76:20,	Straps [1] - 51:2	<u>suggestion</u> [1] - 26:7
74:1	solved [1] - 83:14	76:24, 77:6, 77:20,	straps [11] - 15:22,	suggests [3] - 33:5,
Short[1] - 15:1	someone [5] - 7:11, 12:7, 26:11, 48:29,	85:27	16:14, 17:18, 50:29,	34:20, 42:22
shortage [1] - 24:23	75:15	staffed [1] - 57:18	51:7, 51:10, 51:18,	<u>suitable</u> [2] - 32:24,
shortages [1] - 79:10	75:15 sometimes [4] -	stage [5] - 14:26,	52:6, 53:3, 63:15,	32:28
shortcomings [1] -	18:11, 19:8, 20:9,	15:11, 25:6, 35:21,	63:17	<u>32.20</u> <u>summary [1] - 25:15</u>
78:24	80:25	38:6	strength [1] - 58:5	summers [3] - 14:28,
	00.20		3 5 <u>3</u> 111 55.0	<u>3ummer 3 3 - 14.20,</u>

33:2, 74:2 summons [2] - 8:25, 8:26 sums [1] - 60:19 Superiors [1] - 76:10 superseded [1] -8:20 supervise [2] -34:23, 50:18 supervising [2] -22:28, 26:11 supervision [9] -14:28, 21:19, 21:27, 22:14, 23:15, 33:20, 34:16, 34:17 Supervision [1] -21:20 supervisory [2] -34:28, 35:13 supplied [1] - 2:27 supports [1] - 76:27 suppose [8] - 5:20, 19:3, 26:20, 34:11, 55:12, 70:14, 87:27, 87:28 surely [1] - 30:24 surprise [2] - 6:18, 31:12 surprised [1] - 48:17 surprising [4] -62:22, 62:23, 62:24, 62:25 surrounding [2] -11:14, 86:27 surviving [1] - 16:16 sworn [3] - 4:29, 15:5, 91:22 Sworn [1] - 5:2 symptom [1] - 37:10 system [12] - 15:15, 78:28, 79:1, 82:4, 82:27, 82:28, 85:10, 85:17, 85:18, 86:4, 86:8, 90:26

T

tailoring [1] - 57:16 taught [3] - 7:24, 7:25, 7:28 teachers [6] - 57:20, 58:1, 58:8, 59:10 Teaching[1] - 65:18 team [2] - 82:7, 88:23 tease [1] - 23:13 technical [5] - 56:18. 57:18, 58:25, 58:29, 60:26 tellingly [1] - 61:5

56:6, 56:9, 60:22, 61:15, 67:23, 69:9, 78:29, 80:28 terrible [1] - 90:24 terribly [2] - 41:25, 42:14 testicles [1] - 63:21 testimony [1] - 13:12 Thankfully[1] - 21:2 the...(interjection [1] - 45:29 the...read [1] - 71:29 themselves [9] -14:3, 17:18, 18:12, 18:16, 26:3, 72:22, 77:23, 85:16, 88:13 theology [1] - 32:2 Thereafter[1] - 79:7 thereafter [1] - 40:28 therefore [5] - 14:16, 14:19, 21:16, 27:20, 61:1 Therefore[1] - 29:28 thinking [2] - 85:9, 85:15 Thirdly[1] - 82:19 thirty [1] - 77:24 Thomas[1] - 60:18 three [4] - 24:29, 37:14, 61:27, 62:9 tiny [1] - 81:3 tired [1] - 68:15 to...(interjection [1] -41:24 today [6] - 4:17, 22:2, 31:8, 76:21, 79:11, 86:21 today's [1] - 22:4 Todays [1] - 4:13 together [3] - 69:7. 82:3, 85:12 toing [1] - 40:16 tone [1] - 33:11 took [2] - 15:7, 58:16 tools [1] - 21:13 topic [1] - 38:2 totally [2] - 47:28, 68:14

temptation [1] -

tendencies [1] -

term [3] - 14:14,

10:4, 15:14, 21:16,

28:8, 29:23, 29:27,

33:13, 35:28, 48:26,

50:3, 53:7, 53:11,

ten [2] - 21:26, 28:29

terms [22] - 8:6, 10:3,

65:27

<u>75:15</u>

71:28, 92:3

towards [4] - 12:5, 24:6, 58:21, 75:28 trades [5] - 25:1, 57:16, 60:28, 61:2, 65:18 tragic [1] - 67:15 train [2] - 24:28, 24:29 trained [5] - 31:28, 37:14, 38:4, 59:10, training [16] - 28:14, 28:16, 28:17, 30:4, 31:27, 32:18, 32:20, 37:16, 37:17, 37:18, 56:17, 56:18, 56:22, 56:26, 56:28, 79:19 transactions [1] -90:27 transcript [2] - 1:29, 44:18 Transcripts[1] - 2:26 transcripts [1] -53:25 transparent [1] -79:15 traps [2] - 6:22, 23:12 traumatic [1] - 75:23 travelled [1] - 48:7 treat [1] - 58:15 treated [2] - 25:28, 75:22 trip [1] - 69:12 trouble [3] - 76:9, 83:16, 90:24 troubles [2] - 75:20, trousers [1] - 41:7 true [13] - 1:29, 7:6, <u>12:17, 14:16, 14:19,</u> 18:19, 18:20, 27:7, 68:7, 76:23, 79:16, 90:25, 91:28 trust [2] - 35:3, 35:16 truth [1] - 22:29 try [4] - 7:1, 22:25, 23:13, 65:16 trying [5] - 35:23. 36:13, 45:14, 66:6 Tuesday[2] - 1:11, <u>4:1</u> turf [1] - 17:24 two [25] - 4:21, 5:8, 5:26, 9:8, 9:11, 9:19, 14:28, 16:19, 25:8, 29:15, 29:20, 29:28, 33:2, 44:25, 49:20, 53:29, 57:20, 67:10,

tough [1] - 63:11

67:11, 69:7, 73:29, 74:2, 91:1 type [6] - 29:24, 39:21, 40:6, 46:27, 46:28 types [1] - 11:19 <u>U</u>

12:26, 12:27

77:16, 78:26

78:11

- 59:26

35:17

unreasonably [1] -

unreservedly [2] -

unsafe [1] - 55:22

unsophisticated [1]

unsupervised [1] -

up [28] - 14:13,

18:24, 23:10, 23:16,

23:27, 38:2, 41:16,

51:9, 56:25, 57:27,

60:19, 62:18, 62:20,

63:25, 64:15, 65:17,

<u>71:10, 77:15, 79:6,</u>

83:5, 85:16, 85:27,

81:27, 82:4, 83:1,

upgrading [1] -

90:5, 90:16

various [13] - 8:8, 9:25, 10:2, 23:28, Uk[1] - 89:17 23:29, 24:16, 24:29, ultimately [5] -49:17, 52:25, 56:24, 39:11, 68:3, 68:7, 57:15, 61:2, 82:2 74:15, 74:16 versa [1] - 6:15 Ultimately[1] - 58:29 vexed [1] - 82:13 unassessed [1] vice [1] - 6:15 76:15 view [11] - 24:22, unchanged [1] -40:3, 42:1, 50:13, 66:15 51:19, 53:23, 54:20, under [2] - 38:23, 62:21, 74:21, 86:9, <u>92:8</u> 66:21 understaffing [1] views [1] - 54:11 61:14 Vines [1] - 61:11 understood [1] violence [1] - 15:20 11:13 vision [2] - 59:27, undoubtedly [1] -55:17 visit [3] - 69:17. unease [1] - 48:18 69:18, 69:22 Vocational [1] uneasy [3] - 72:8, 72:14, 82:10 57:19 Unfortunately [1] -53:1 unfortunately [2] -83:7 25:25, 79:14 votes [1] - 81:12 unhappy [1] - 62:13 voyeurism [1] universities [1] -10:17 37:15 vulnerability [1] unless [1] - 22:5 26:18 unlikely [1] - 14:13 unpleasant [2] -

85:14

upheavals [1] - 79:8

٧

variety [1] - 53:27

usual [1] - 4:23

vocational [1] - 58:2 voluntary [2] - 82:25, vulnerable [3] - 26:2, 26:8, 26:16

W

wants [3] - 4:26, 44:25, 62:20 War[1] - 79:9 Ward[1] - 2:8 warped [1] - 65:22 was' [1] - 80:27 was...(interjection_ [3] - 18:29, 66:10, 81:5 washroom [1] - 41:6 watch [1] - 37:19 watchful [1] - 28:13 water [1] - 87:4 ways [2] - 22:9, 38:21 weakness [1] - 65:27 weapons [1] - 21:17 week [2] - 25:18,

25:19 weeks [2] - 33:2, 74:2 weight [1] - 81:13 Well...(interjection [1] - 62:29 wheels [1] - 58:22 whereby [2] - 41:2, 48:29 wherefores [1] -75:27 whole [8] - 45:28, 58:28, 83:28, 87:7, 87:8, 88:25, 90:16, 90:26

wholly [1] - 21:7 widespread [4] -26:24, 27:19, 27:20,

31:4 wise [1] - 54:29 wish [1] - 15:12

withdrawing [1] -

74:24 withdrawn [7] -36:27, 36:28, 71:7, 71:9, 71:15, 71:16, 74:12

witness [10] - 5:21, 6:13, 7:16, 41:23, 41:24, 42:5, 44:25, 48:25, 49:7, 80:9

Witness[1] - 3:4 wonder [4] - 26:10,

54:2, 72:18, 79:26 wondering [4] -

69:9, 87:25, 87:28, 88:2

word [19] - 18:22, 19:12, 28:5, 33:15,

47:2, 47:6, 47:7, 47:9, 47:13, 47:17, 47:18,

47:20, 48:15, 48:16,

48:19, 51:1, 79:20,

84:4, 92:4

word...and [1] -71:29

words [1] - 67:16

Works[2] - 56:4,

68:16

works [1] - 70:15

workshops [2] -21:12, 21:13

world [3] - 37:27,

82:8, 87:9

World[1] - 79:9

worthwhile [2] -

66:24, 67:4

write [1] - 80:26

writes [1] - 50:3

writing [4] - 39:10,

52:24, 57:14, 74:29

written [5] - 2:28, 11:28, 60:17, 64:29,

<u>65:11</u>

wrongful [1] - 21:15 wrote [3] - 59:3,

68:24, 69:11

<u>Y</u>

yard [2] - 15:22,

year [6] - 9:24, 21:26,

25:17, 32:21, 32:25,

68:22

years [15] - 16:23, 16:25, 16:29, 19:28, 25:15, 36:17, 36:18, 40:28, 42:26, 48:4, 52:11, 58:11, 77:24,

79:21, 88:9

younger [2] - 29:12, 29:22

yourself [11] - 9:14, 11:11, 13:13, 14:26, 23:5, 23:8, 26:20, 30:15, 31:13, 31:27, 36:20